

Genome editing for food: How do people react?

July 13 2021



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

A research team from the University of Göttingen and the University of British Columbia (Canada) has investigated how people in five different countries react to various usages of genome editing in agriculture. The



researchers looked at which uses are accepted and how the risks and benefits of the new breeding technologies are rated by people. The results show only minor differences between the countries studied—Germany, Italy, Canada, Austria and the U.S.. In all countries, making changes to the genome is more likely to be deemed acceptable when used in crops rather than in livestock. The study was published in *Agriculture and Human Values*.

Relatively new breeding technologies, such as CRISPR gene editing, have enabled a range of new opportunities for plant and <u>animal breeding</u>. In the EU, the technology falls under genetic engineering legislation and is therefore subject to rigorous restrictions. However, the use of gene technologies remains controversial. Between June and November 2019, the research team collected views on this topic via online surveys from around 3,700 people from five countries. Five different applications of gene editing were evaluated: three relate to <u>disease resistance</u> in people, plants, or <u>animals</u>; and two relate to achieving either better quality of produce or a larger quantity of product from cattle.

"We were able to observe that the purpose of the gene modification plays a major role in how it is rated," says first author Dr. Gesa Busch from the University of Göttingen. "If the technology is used to make animals resistant to disease, approval is greater than if the technology is used to increase the output from animals." Overall, however, the respondents reacted very differently to the uses of the new breeding methods. Four different groups can be identified: strong supporters, supporters, neutrals, and opponents of the technology. The opponents (24 percent) identify high risks and calls for a ban of the technology, regardless of possible benefits. The strong supporters (21 percent) see few risks and many advantages. The supporters (26 percent) see many advantages but also risks. Whereas those who were neutral (29 percent) show no strong opinion on the subject.



More information: Gesa Busch et al, Citizen views on genome editing: effects of species and purpose, *Agriculture and Human Values* (2021). DOI: 10.1007/s10460-021-10235-9

Provided by University of Göttingen

Citation: Genome editing for food: How do people react? (2021, July 13) retrieved 2 May 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2021-07-genome-food-people-react.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.