

Artificial refuges for wildlife are a popular stopgap for habitat destruction, but more research is needed

July 28 2021, by Darcy Watchorn, Dale Nimmo, Mitchell Cowan, Tim Doherty



Credit: Marcus Lange from Pexels

Wildlife worldwide is facing a housing crisis. When land is cleared for agriculture, mining, and urbanization, habitats and natural refuges go with it, such as [tree hollows](#), [rock piles](#) and [large logs](#).

The ideal solution is to tackle the [threats](#) that cause habitat loss. But some refuges take hundreds of years to recover once destroyed, and some [may never recover](#) without help. Tree hollows, for example, can take [180 years](#) to develop.

As a result, conservationists have increasingly looked to human-made solutions as a stopgap. That's where artificial refuges come in.

If the goal of artificial refuges is to replace lost or degraded habitat, then it is important we have a good understanding of how well they perform. [Our new research](#) reviewed artificial refuges worldwide—and we found the science underpinning them is often not up to scratch.

What are artificial refuges?

Artificial refuges provide wildlife places to shelter, breed, hibernate, or nest, helping them survive in disturbed environments, whether degraded forests, deserts or urban and agricultural landscapes.

You're probably already familiar with some. Nest boxes for birds and mammals are one example found in many urban and rural areas. They provide a substitute for tree hollows when land is cleared.

Other examples include [artificial stone cavities](#) used in Norway to provide places for newts to hibernate in urban and agricultural environments, and [artificial bark](#) used in the U.S. to allow bats to roost in the absence of trees. And in France, [artificial burrows](#) provide [refuge](#) for lizards in lieu of their favored rabbit burrows.



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

But do we know if they work?

Artificial refuges can be highly effective. In central Europe, for example, [nest boxes](#) allowed isolated populations of a colorful bird, the hoopoe, to reconnect—boosting the local genetic diversity.

Still, they are far from a sure thing, having at times [fallen short](#) of their promise to provide suitable homes for wildlife.

[One study](#) from Catalonia found 42 soprano pipistrelles (a type of bat) had died from dehydration within wooden bat boxes, due to a lack of ventilation and high sun exposure.

[Another study](#) from Australia found artificial burrows for the

endangered pygmy blue tongue lizard had a [design flaw](#) that forced lizards to enter backwards. This increased their risk of predation from snakes and birds.

And the video below from Czech conservation project [Birds Online](#) shows a pine marten (a forest-dwelling mammal) and tree sparrow infiltrating nest boxes to steal the eggs of Tengmalm's owls and common starlings.



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))




So why is this happening?

[Our research](#) investigated the state of the science regarding artificial refuges worldwide.

We looked at more than 220 studies, and we found they often lacked the rigor to justify their widespread use as a conservation tool. Important factors were often overlooked, such as how temperatures inside artificial refuges compare to natural refuges, and the local abundance of food or predators.

Alarming, just under 40% of studies compared artificial refuges to a control, making it impossible to determine the impacts artificial refuges have on the target species, positive or negative.

This is a big problem, because artificial refuges are increasingly incorporated into programs that seek to "offset" [habitat destruction](#). [Offsetting](#) involves protecting or creating habitat to compensate for ecological harm caused by land clearing from, for instance, mining or urbanization.

<p>Design the refuge</p> 	<p>(1) Determine key attributes of high-quality natural refuges. Key attributes include thermal properties, entrance dimensions, and inner dimensions.</p> <p>(2) Design artificial refuges to mirror the characteristics of natural refuges. Consider refuge longevity. This may require working with engineers and industrial designers.</p>
<p>Trial the refuge</p> 	<p>(3) Undertake field trials by placing artificial refuges in a way that mimics the natural setting. Key factors include refuge orientation and the distance to resources such as water and potential food sources.</p> <p>(4) Monitor the level of use by target and non-target species, including potential competitors and predators. Further refine artificial refuges if needed to exclude competitors or predators.</p>
<p>Monitor the refuge</p> 	<p>(5) Undertake a before-after-control-impact study of artificial refuges, selecting control sites that are ecologically similar.</p> <p>(6) Monitor the responses of individuals and the population to artificial refuge implementation. Key variables include survival, occupancy, breeding productivity, and population growth rate.</p> <p>(7) Use adaptive management to improve uptake and effectiveness of artificial refuges (e.g. predator control, revegetation).</p>

Some key steps arising from our research which suggest a way forward for artificial refuge science and implementation. Author provided

For example, one project in Australia relied heavily on [nest boxes](#) to offset the loss of old, hollow-bearing trees.

But a scientific review of the project showed it to be a failure, due to low rates of uptake by target species (such as the superb parrot) and the rapid deterioration of the nest boxes from falling trees.

The future of artificial refuges

There is little doubt artificial refuges will continue to play a role in confronting Earth's biodiversity crisis, but their limitations need to be recognized, and the science underpinning them must improve. Our [new review](#) points out areas of improvement that spans design, implementation, and monitoring, so take a look if you're involved in these sorts of projects.

We also urge for more partnerships between [ecologists, engineers, designers and the broader community](#). This is because [interdisciplinary collaboration](#) brings together different ways of thinking and helps to shed new light on complex problems.

It's clear improving the science around artificial refuges is well worth the investment, as they can give struggling wildlife worldwide a fighting chance against further habitat destruction and climate change.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Artificial refuges for wildlife are a popular stopgap for habitat destruction, but more research is needed (2021, July 28) retrieved 26 June 2024 from

<https://phys.org/news/2021-07-artificial-refuges-wildlife-popular-stopgap.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.