


A push for a shift in the value system that defines 'impact' and 'success'

June 15 2021



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Discussions of a broken value system are ubiquitous in science, especially after the COVID-19 pandemic served to expose inequality globally. However, according to the authors of an article publishing 15th

June 2021 in the open access journal *PLOS Biology*, science itself is not "broken," but it was built on deeply-entrenched, systemic sexist and racist values, which perpetuate biases through the continued focus on citation rates and impact factors.

The author maintain that while equity within science has advanced thanks to the tireless efforts of generations of systemically marginalized groups, the system remains outdated, colonialist, and patriarchal. It overemphasizes contributions of males, and downplays the breadth of an individuals' meaningful scientific impacts outside of [scientific literature](#)—especially the contributions of women and other marginalized groups.

To write this article, a group of 24 women from across the world came together to explore long-standing problems associated with narrow definitions of success and impact in science. Co-lead author Dr. Sarah Davies, an Assistant Professor of Biology, says "As scientists, we should let the data speak for themselves—citations and impact metrics have been repeatedly shown to be sexist and racist, yet we still use them. It is [high time](#) we shift to a system that appreciates the varied avenues of impact in science and valuing mentorship and advocating for diversity will be key components of this change".

The paper calls for the global scientific community—especially those in positions of power and privilege—to reject all forms of implicit and systematic biases, especially when they have been thoroughly studied and documented—as is the case with citation and publication biases.

The authors advocate for dismantling the current biased value system by expanding measures of success beyond citations, in order to appreciate the multifaceted nature of scientific impact. Specifically, they call for a [paradigm shift](#) to scientific values based on multidimensional mentorship that incorporate diverse measures of success and impact and promote mentee wellbeing.

Dr. Sangeeta Mangubhai, a Fijian scientist with the Wildlife Conservation Society explains: "We propose building a new academic model that values the recruitment and retention of diverse scientists through fostering safe and healthy work environments. This new model calls for the rebuilding of the outdated value system to advance [science](#) through principles of justice, equity, diversity, and inclusion."

These authors join the call to action of all members of the scientific community—particularly those in positions of power—to re-evaluate how we define impact, and invest resources to accelerate change toward a more equitable, inclusive, and just system.

More information: Davies SW, Putnam HM, Ainsworth T, Baum JK, Bove CB, Crosby SC, et al. (2021) Promoting inclusive metrics of success and impact to dismantle a discriminatory reward system in science. *PLoS Biol* 19(6): e3001282.
doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001282

Provided by Public Library of Science

Citation: A push for a shift in the value system that defines 'impact' and 'success' (2021, June 15) retrieved 19 July 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2021-06-shift-impact-success.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--