

Every mainland Australian state now allows GMO crops

June 28 2021, by Daniel Tan



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

On July 1, the New South Wales government will [lift a ban](#) on genetically modified (GM) crops after an 18-year moratorium. It will mean GM crops can now be grown in every Australian state except Tasmania.

Major farming groups have [welcomed](#) the move. GM proponents say the biotechnology leads to better crop yields and may solve [food shortages](#) and reduce infestations of weeds and pests.

But opponents [say](#) GM crops are a potential threat to the environment and human health. They fear the technology will encourage superweeds, increase [antibiotic resistance](#) and food allergies in humans and may have other unintended effects.

So where does the truth lie? Academic research suggests GM crops are generally safe for humans and the environment, and so I believe the NSW government's decision should be welcomed.

What is genetic modification?

[Genetic modification](#) is the use of technology to change the genes of living things. It involves scientists injecting one organism's DNA with genes from another, to give it a desirable trait such as resistance to drought, extreme temperature or pests.

Genetically modified crops were introduced commercially in the 1990s. The NSW moratorium began in 2003 following concerns from some importers and manufacturers. For example, countries in the Middle East and Southeast Asia had been [refusing](#) GM grain, and Canada and Saudi Arabia had indicated they did not want GM-fed livestock.

[Announcing](#) the lifting of the ban in March, NSW Agriculture Minister Adam Marshall said his government had been working to ensure trade and marketing issues surrounding GM food were well managed. He said the Commonwealth Gene Technology Regulator will assess all applications to grow GM crops, ensuring they are safe for people and the environment.

The NSW decision follows similar moves by other mainland states in recent years, including South Australia, which lifted the GM ban in 2020 (with an exemption for Kangaroo Island). A moratorium remains in the ACT.

The NSW government says allowing cultivation of GM crops will increase agricultural competitiveness and productivity, and bring up to A\$4.8 billion in benefits over the next decade.

Benefits of lifting of the GM ban

So are the benefits of GM crops real? To answer this question, we can look to three precedents: GM canola, cotton and safflower, which have been grown in Australia for many years. These crops were exempt from the moratoria in NSW and other states, and evidence suggests their cultivation has been a success.

GM cotton has been modified with insecticidal genes, which research shows makes it [more resistant](#) to pests. The modified cotton also requires less [insecticide use](#).

GM canola has been transformed to make it resistant to herbicides, which enables [better weed control](#).

State moratoria delayed the introduction of GM canola, including in NSW. [Research](#) in 2018 found, across Australia, the environmental costs of the delay included an extra 6.5 million kilograms of active ingredients applied to canola land, and an extra 24.2 million kg of greenhouse gas and other emissions released. Economic costs included a net loss to canola farmers of A\$485.6 million.

In recent years, Australian regulators [allowed](#) cultivation of canola modified to contain long-chain omega-3 fatty acids, prized for their

[health benefits](#). The canola variety was hailed as the world's first plant-based source of omega-3 and may reduce reliance on fish stocks.

Safflower has been genetically modified to contain higher amounts of oleic acid. These renewable oils can be used [in place of petroleum](#), a finite resource, in products [such as](#) fuels, plastics and cosmetics.

What are the risks?

[Experts concede](#) there are limits to what can be known about the health effects of any food over the long term. However, [scientists broadly](#) agree the evidence so far suggests GM crops are [safe to eat](#). This view is backed by the World Health Organization.

Foods derived from GM plants are consumed by millions of people in many countries. And in Australia, authorities [rigorously assess](#) all GM foods before they're sold to [consumers](#).

However many countries [still ban](#) the the cultivation of GM foods. And some people remain worried about the effects on human health. Concerns [include](#) that antibiotic resistance may be transferred from plants to humans, or that GM foods will trigger [allergic reactions](#).

Experts have concluded the risk of antibiotic resistance is not substantial. There is [some](#) evidence of a small number of GM crops being allergenic. But since GM crops undergo extensive allergen testing, they should not be riskier than conventional crops once cleared for market release.

[Other GM opponents say](#) the technology poses environmental risks—for example that herbicide-resistant GM crops can become "superweeds."

Research [has found](#) weed resistance to the herbicide glyphosate is a problem, and there is [some evidence](#) of glyphosate-resistant canola

persisting outside farms in Australia. Management strategies [can reduce](#) the chance of superweeds developing, but more research is needed.

And it should be noted that while the use of herbicide-resistant crops sometimes leads to less herbicide use, the decrease is often not sustained. Researchers [also say](#) a reduction in the kilograms of pesticides used does not necessarily predict environmental or health effects.

Some critics oppose GM crops on the basis that they allow a few large companies—which breed and commercialise seeds—to control food supplies. For example, in 2015 it was [reported](#) the GM maize seed sector in South Africa was owned by just two companies, which meant small farmers could not compete.

Researchers have [proposed measures](#) to counter this corporate concentration of power, by strengthening competition policies, boosting public sector support for diverse food systems and curbing corporate influence in the policy process.

The issue of cross-contamination is also a concern for organic farmers and consumers. In a well-known case from Western Australia, organic farmer Steve Marsh's crop was [contaminated](#) in 2010 with GM canola, causing him to lose his organic certification.

Looking ahead

The lifting of the NSW ban on GM crops means Australian mainland states have a consistent approach, and provides new opportunities for Australian growers and consumers.

There are still issues with GM [crops](#) to be ironed out, and there's a need for continued stringent regulation to ensure human and environmental safety. Opposition to the practice will no doubt remain in some quarters.

However this may lessen over time as the technology develops and long-term outcomes become clearer.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Every mainland Australian state now allows GMO crops (2021, June 28) retrieved 23 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2021-06-mainland-australian-state-gmo-crops.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.