

# It's not too late to save the night sky, but governments need to get serious about protecting it

June 25 2021, by Samantha Lawler and Aaron Boley



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

In early 2021, just after the <u>Perseverance Rover</u> landed on Mars, a purported image of <u>the Martian night sky went viral</u>. In that image, above the sleek metal of a Mars rover, the clearly defined Milky Way cuts from horizon to horizon, crossing a sky filled with so many stars



that there is no darkness.

Millions of people were excited to see the unblemished night sky from another planet, with no <u>light pollution</u> from cities, no flashing aircraft and no significant satellite presence.

<u>The photo is not real</u>; rather, it is a clever juxtaposition of NASA images and long-exposure astrophotography. So why did it go viral?

### The threatened night sky

Urban light pollution has vastly changed our relationship with the night sky: <u>80 percent of North Americans</u> cannot see the Milky Way from where they live today. Electricity is so cheap and plentiful that we use it to shine lights into the sky for no reason other than <u>laziness and poor planning</u>.

The lack of darkness that many people now experience due to <u>urban</u> <u>light pollution</u> has been linked to many <u>physical and mental health issues</u>, both in <u>humans</u> and <u>wildlife</u>.

But we are now faced with a new source of light pollution: systems of tens of thousands of <u>communications satellites</u>. The construction of these so-called megaconstellations is already changing the night sky.

Indeed, observations by professional astronomers have shown that <u>many</u> of the current Starlink megaconstellation satellites are <u>visible to the</u> naked eye when sunlit.

Megaconstellations have the potential to significantly benefit society by increasing connectivity of isolated communities, a significant challenge in many parts of Canada. At the same time, the negative effects of megaconstellations must be understood by decision makers and properly



regulated.

While urban residents might not notice this change, many people around the world will—especially those from cultures that have <u>strong ties to</u> <u>stargazing</u> and <u>traditional knowledge of the sky</u>.

Canada has an <u>obligation to consult with First Nations</u> so that each may independently make a decision before allowing development of a resource that <u>Indigenous Canadians have traditionally had access to for cultural practices</u>.

### The damage to science

Astronomy organizations worldwide are concerned about the damage to science that will be caused by megaconstellations and other forms of light and radio pollution, and have responded through efforts such as the "Dark and Quiet Skies Report" and the "SATCON1 Report."

Astronomers will <u>require more telescope time</u> to carry out the same taxpayer-funded science goals, and will need to spend time and money studying the brightness of these satellites and developing new software for mitigation efforts.

Radio astronomers expect to <u>lose even more of the radio spectrum</u> to megaconstellation communication noise, requiring additional investments in research and development.

At the request of the <u>Canadian Astronomical Society</u>, we wrote a report that contains <u>a list of recommendations for what Canada can do to</u> <u>address the many negative impacts of megaconstellations at the national</u> <u>and international levels</u>.





The number of satellites that are sunlit and above the horizon from different latitudes on Earth at different times of night, on the June Solstice. Yellow is the highest density: more than 3,000 sunlit satellites at that time. Credit: Samantha Lawler/Aaron Boley

#### A satellite-filled sky

We ran a simulation with 65,000 satellites on their proposed orbits (this includes <u>Starlink</u>, <u>OneWeb</u>, <u>Kuiper</u> and <u>StarNet/GW</u>). We found that there will be more than 1,500 sunlit satellites at any given moment all night, every night in the summertime from Canada. Not all of these will be visible, as their brightness depends on the shape, reflective properties



and orbit of each satellite. But there are currently no regulations that limit their brightness.

There are currently <u>about 20,000</u> tracked objects in orbit, including active satellites, defunct satellites, rocket bodies and pieces of <u>space</u> <u>junk</u>. There are <u>10 to 100 times</u> more pieces of untracked space junk that are small but still dangerous: tiny bits of debris from rocket launches, satellite deployment, fragmentations (explosions) and even <u>tools dropped by astronauts</u>.

These small objects seem innocuous, but in <u>Low Earth Orbit (LEO)</u>, they travel at speeds over seven kilometers per second, many times faster than a bullet, on randomly crossing orbits.

Companies are making substantial progress toward placing at least 65,000 satellites into LEO. Current leader SpaceX has over <u>1,600</u> <u>Starlink</u> satellites already in orbit, in a region inhabited by a troubling density of untracked debris.

When two satellites collide (as happened for the first time in 2009), they produce a spray of fast-moving debris. One destroyed satellite makes hundreds to thousands of pieces of trackable space junk, each of which could destroy other satellites, producing still more space junk. Any major fragmentation event will place limitations on space use, endanger crewed space habitation of LEO, and could cause widespread disruptions to services that we rely on every day.

## **Space junk re-entries**

As highlighted by the recent uncontrolled re-entries of the Long March <u>5B rocket booster</u> over the Indian Ocean in May 2021 and the SpaceX <u>Falcon 9 rocket stage</u> over the Pacific Northwest in March 2021, re-entries are not without risks. A portion of the March 2021 Falcon 9



rocket even survived to <u>impact with the ground</u> in a farmer's field in Washington State.



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

The <u>current rules</u> date to the Space Race era. There is a framework for liability, but the only time this was tested was when a U.S.S.R. satellite <u>spread nuclear waste across the Northwest Territories</u> in 1978.

There are also environmental impacts, both from <u>rocket launches</u> and <u>disposal of satellites</u>. SpaceX plans for 42,000 Starlink satellites which will be replaced every five years. This means on average six tons of satellites will be destroyed *every day*. That material will be deposited in the upper atmosphere upon re-entry. While this is less than the <u>54 tons</u> of meteoroids that hit Earth's atmosphere every day, the composition is



very different: Starlink satellites are mainly aluminum by weight; meteoroids are one percent.

We do not know what could happen when several tons of aluminum are deposited in the upper atmosphere every single day. SpaceX is going to run this experiment without any environmental oversight.

Because of the orientation of the proposed <u>satellite</u> orbits, much of Canada's population will sit under some of the highest densities of satellites, so we can expect to see a disproportionate share of de-orbiting space junk.

### **Regulation of satellites is key**

We need to recognize that LEO is intimately connected to our atmosphere, oceans, and land. We need regulation of satellites now, before there is irreparable damage to our sky. We hope the Government of Canada will act on <u>these recommendations</u> with an urgency that matches the frenetic speed of space development.

While several megaconstellation companies are already in dialog with astronomers, the improvements they make to their satellites for the benefit of astronomy are entirely voluntary. We shouldn't have to make a choice between the night sky and global internet. With proper regulation of satellites in LEO, we can have both.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



#### Provided by The Conversation

Citation: It's not too late to save the night sky, but governments need to get serious about protecting it (2021, June 25) retrieved 18 July 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2021-06-late-night-sky.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.