

Minnesota's forests, land offer chance to cut greenhouse gases

January 19 2021, by Jennifer Bjorhus and Greg Stanley



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Reforestation and cover cropping should be on the front lines of Minnesota's fight to reduce greenhouse gases, and could cut up to onefifth of the state's heat-trapping emissions.



The <u>climate impacts</u> of how Minnesotans manage and work the land is front and center in "Nature and Climate Solutions for Minnesota," a new report out this week by The Nature Conservancy.

"It's the type of solution that our state needs right now and that we haven't yet capitalized on," said lead author Sachi Graber, <u>climate</u> policy associate at the organization's local chapter.

The 13 practices the study measures underscore the power of simple plant photosynthesis, which sucks carbon out of the atmosphere. A mature tree, for example, absorbs roughly 48 pounds of carbon dioxide a year.

While greenhouse gases from electricity generation continue to fall as utilities retire and replace coal plants with cleaner energy sources, Minnesota has failed to meaningfully cut global warming emissions in nearly every other sector.

Not only have lawmakers not met the reductions they promised in the 2007 Next Generation Energy Act, they've actually allowed emissions to increase in most sectors of the state's economy. Greenhouse gases coming from croplands and livestock have jumped by about 10% since the Legislature vowed to curb emissions. Emissions from industrial centers, homes, businesses and commercial centers have all increased over that time, too.

Greenhouse gases coming from vehicles and transportation trickled down between 2005 and 2010, but have stayed virtually flat since then, according to the latest data from the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency.

The state now has a Climate Change Subcabinet trying to nail down concrete action steps to meet the <u>emission</u> cuts the Legislature set.



The Nature Conservancy estimates the maximum potential of fully implementing the 13 practices it measured would cut 26 million metric tonnes of carbon dioxide and its equivalents out of the air each year, on average. The practices include restoring grasslands, wetlands and peatlands—and avoiding digging them up in the future.

Farm and forest practices, however, clearly top the list for impacts.

The full reduction would require heavy adoption of cover crops and reduced or no tilling. It would also require reductions in the amount of fertilizer applied to reduce nitrogen dioxide emissions, switching from anhydrous ammonia to urea fertilizer and greater precision in applying it, such as varying the rates within fields.

Those results would also require adding more than 5 million acres of forest across the state, including urban areas, a goal Graber acknowledged as very ambitious.

"We think it would be great to see 1 million acres of forest acres in the near future," Graber said. "That would be a total win for us."

The state Department of Natural Resources said it fully supports the practices in the report, but the scale of reforestation outlined would required a huge investment of money and effort.

"That's a whole lot of seedlings," said Doug Tillma, manager of the DNR's strategic planning section.

He also noted that most of the formerly forested land open for reforestation is privately owned, and strong incentive programs would need to be created to entice private landowners to convert their land back to forest.



Graber said the Nature Conservancy has been talking about its findings with the state's Climate Change Subcabinet, and testified Thursday at the House Climate and Energy Finance and Policy committee.

"It comes down to investment," she said. "Cover crops don't seed themselves. Trees don't plant themselves in urban areas."

Pam Kiely, director of regulatory strategy at the Environmental Defense Fund, said such land-based natural solutions have a huge role to play. But there's no silver bullet for climate change.

"It is not a substitute for directly reducing emissions at the sources of pollution," Kiely said. "We absolutely need to reduce the amount of pollution that's going into the atmosphere, particularly in the near term."

©2021 the Star Tribune (Minneapolis)
Distributed by Tribune Content Agency, LLC.

Citation: Minnesota's forests, land offer chance to cut greenhouse gases (2021, January 19) retrieved 11 May 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2021-01-minnesota-forests-chance-greenhouse-gases.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.