

## Shuttering fossil fuel power plants may cost less than expected

December 3 2020



The Gibson Generating Station is a coal-burning power plant located in Gibson County, Indiana. Credit: Emily Grubert, Georgia Tech

Decarbonizing U.S. electricity production will require both construction of renewable energy sources and retirement of power plants now



operated by fossil fuels. A generator-level model described in the December 4 issue of the journal *Science* suggests that most fossil fuel power plants could complete normal lifespans and still close by 2035 because so many facilities are nearing the end of their operational lives.

Meeting a 2035 deadline for decarbonizing U.S. electricity production, as proposed by the incoming U.S. presidential administration, would eliminate just 15% of the capacity-years left in plants powered by <u>fossil</u> <u>fuels</u>, says the article by Emily Grubert, a Georgia Institute of Technology researcher. Plant retirements are already underway, with 126 gigawatts of fossil generator capacity taken out of production between 2009 and 2018, including 33 gigawatts in 2017 and 2018 alone.

"Creating an electricity system that does not contribute to climate change is actually two processes—building carbon-free infrastructure like solar plants, and closing carbon-based infrastructure like coal plants," said Grubert, an assistant professor in Georgia Tech's School of Civil and Environmental Engineering. "My work shows that because a lot of U.S. fossil fuel plants are already pretty old, the target of decarbonization by 2035 would not require us to shut most of these plants down earlier than their typical lifespans."

Of U.S. fossil fuel-fired generation capacity, 73% (630 out of 840 gigawatts) will reach the end of its typical lifespan by 2035; that percentage would reach 96% by 2050, she says in the Policy Forum article. About 13% of U.S. fossil fuel-fired generation capacity (110 GW) operating in 2018 had already exceeded its typical lifespan.





This map shows the locations of electricity-generating facilities with projected lifespans that extend beyond 2035. Credit: Emily Grubert, Georgia Tech

Because typical lifespans are averages, some generators operate for longer than expected. Allowing facilities to run until they retire is thus likely insufficient for a 2035 decarbonization deadline, the article notes. Closure deadlines that strand assets relative to reasonable lifespan expectations, however, could create financial liability for debts and other costs. The research found that a 2035 deadline for completely retiring fossil-based electricity generators would only strand about 15% (1700 gigawatt-years) of fossil fuel-fired capacity life, along with about 20% (380,000 job-years) of direct power plant and fuel extraction jobs that existed in 2018.

In 2018, fossil fuel facilities operated in 1,248 of 3,141 counties,



directly employing about 157,000 people at generators and fuelextraction facilities. Plant closure deadlines can improve outcomes for workers and host communities by providing additional certainty, for example, by enabling specific advance planning for things like remediation, retraining for displaced workers, and revenue replacements.

"Closing large industrial facilities like power plants can be really disruptive for the people that work there and live in the surrounding communities," Grubert said. "We don't want to repeat the damage we saw with the collapse of the steel industry in the 70s and 80s, where people lost jobs, pensions, and stability without warning. We already know where the <u>plants</u> are, and who might be affected: using the 2035 decarbonization deadline to guide explicit, community grounded planning for what to do next can help, even without a lot of financial support."

Planning ahead will also help avoid creating new capital investment where that may not be needed long-term. "We shouldn't build new <u>fossil</u> <u>fuel power plants</u> that would still be young in 2035, and we need to have explicit plans for closures both to ensure the system keeps working and to limit disruption for host communities," she said.

Underlying policies governing the retirement of fossil <u>fuel</u>-powered facilities is the concept of a "just transition" that ensures material wellbeing and distributional justice for individuals and communities affected by a transition from fossil to non-fossil electricity systems. Determining which assets are "stranded," or required to close earlier than expected absent policy, is vital for managing compensation for remaining debt and/or lost revenue, Grubert said in the article.

**More information:** "Fossil electricity retirement deadlines for a just transition" *Science* (2020). <u>science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi ...</u> <u>1126/science.abe0375</u>



## Provided by Georgia Institute of Technology

Citation: Shuttering fossil fuel power plants may cost less than expected (2020, December 3) retrieved 20 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2020-12-shuttering-fossil-fuel-power.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.