

A third of our waste comes from buildings. This one's designed for reuse and cuts emissions by 88%

November 11 2020, by Roberto Minunno, Greg Morrison, Richard L. Gruner, Timothy Michael O'grady



Credit: Curtin University

Designing buildings so they can easily be taken apart and the materials reused provides a feasible and commercial pathway for minimizing waste and greenhouse gas emissions. Our <u>research</u> shows one such Curtin University building, the <u>Legacy Living Lab</u> in Fremantle, reduces construction waste and cuts emissions to almost a tenth of what a



conventional building process would produce.

The modular, circular economy <u>building</u> produces benefits in all six environmental indicators we assessed. It's part of <u>our vision</u> for the <u>decarbonisation of buildings</u>.

Learning from nature

Natural biogeochemical cycles create little or no waste. These circular cycles eventually transform used material into a new resource. For example, through the nutrient cycle a fallen leaf provides the <u>building</u> <u>blocks</u> for future leaves.

It's simple and beautiful. The opposite of a linear model of "take, make, dispose." It's complex and ugly.

Unfortunately, this is the model industrialized society has adopted. And not only for our coffee pods, which mostly <u>end up in landfill</u>, but also for most commercial and residential buildings.

The building industry consumes about 50% of mineral resources and produces about 35% of waste. It's a major source of global greenhouse gas emissions. What, then, can be done?

Rethinking 'downward spiral' recycling

The answer lies in revisiting the basics of our recycling practices. Since the late 1990s, recycling has been <u>considered an environmental solution</u>, with recycling bins popping up everywhere. However, researchers and consumers alike have begun to realize recycling often comes down to mere "wishcycling." <u>Less than 10% of plastics</u> is actually being recycled.



Reprocessing is considered the best way to keep materials in use, particularly for artifacts like coffee cups or microchips. However, for many other products, such as building materials, recycling often translates into less than helpful down-cycling.

Even seemingly environmentally benign material, such as timber, often cannot be recycled. Rather, it is remanufactured into products of lower economic value and quality. The material is in a downward spiral that only delays its disposal to landfill.

Clearly, it is much better for the environment if we can find ways to reuse products. Indeed, there are "reusable alternatives for almost everything: beeswax or silicone food wraps, reusable coffee pods, shampoo and conditioner bars, reusable safety razors and bars of soap, rather than liquid soap."

Applying reuse principles to buildings

Can this reuse practice be adopted for buildings too? After all, a building is a sophisticated and complex product compared to coffee pods or cling wrap.





The reuse of steel frames for building saves costs and reduces resource consumption and emissions. Author provided

Researchers from Curtin University Sustainability Policy (CUSP) Institute put this question to the test by building a modular, reusable laboratory. It's a place where researchers, builders and citizens alike can meet to prototype and study new products.

The Legacy Living Lab (L3) is a highly functional, state-of-the-art building with offices and space for collaboration. Yet it can be taken apart – <u>deconstructed or disassembled</u> – moved and <u>reused anywhere</u> within weeks.



Findings from studying the environmental impact of this facility point towards a resounding yes to the question of whether reuse practices can be adopted for buildings. The in-built reuse practices of the L3 <u>save 18</u> tons of construction materials from disposal compared to common building industry practices. This leads to an 88% decrease in greenhouse gas emissions.

So how was this done? Simply, by choosing reused steel frames, opting for steel foundations instead of concrete, and designing internal wall cladding that's easily disassembled. This makes it almost as easy to take the building apart as your average Lego spaceship.

When the time comes to decommission the building, it can be deconstructed as eight modules. These can be moved to the next site for reuse rather than being demolished.





The Legacy Living Lab is a highly functional building that can be taken apart and reused elsewhere within a matter of days. Author provided

Modular buildings are made of box-shaped structures, built off-site and delivered on-site in a matter of hours. This has the added benefit of <u>minimum disruption for our cities</u> compared to traditional construction sites.

Modular buildings come in all shapes and dimensions, from tiny houses to skyscrapers and factories. They are often <u>more cost-effective</u> to produce than traditional double-brick constructions.



Thus, as well as a minimal environmental footprint, the advantages of modular buildings include flexibility, speed and cost.

Creating a new building materials market

By adopting easily disassembled modular buildings, we can create a whole new market for reusable building materials. Design-fordisassembly and closed-loop supply chains can keep building components in the material loop as they are—without the need for wishywashy and wasteful recycling procedures.



The internal wall cladding is designed to be easily taken apart. Author provided





The building's eight modules were built off-site and then rapidly put together on site. Author provided

Similar to the way nature operates, the team at CUSP created a building whose byproducts from one process remain in the loop as inputs for the next, keeping waste to a minimum. In this way, disassembly becomes much safer and cleaner, which benefits our cities and their residents.

All that does not mean <u>recycling building waste</u> isn't beneficial. It all depends on the project. Timber can at least be chipped into garden



mulch, bricks and concrete crushed into road base, and so forth.

But this approach is not nearly as neat as nature's way of handling waste. Design for disassembly and modularity comes closer to that. It can lead the way towards a marketplace where it is common practice to retain material in the supply chain.

If our goal is to create products and processes that "<u>solve our greatest</u> <u>design challenges sustainably</u> and in solidarity with all life on Earth," it's time we turned toward nature. We believe it will be a wiser guide than any other in our efforts to <u>redefine wasteful</u>, <u>linear business models</u>.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: A third of our waste comes from buildings. This one's designed for reuse and cuts emissions by 88% (2020, November 11) retrieved 17 July 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2020-11-reuse-emissions.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.