

## Long after 9/11, Americans still willing to pay more for increased security at venues

September 10 2020, by Emily Gersema



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

In the 19 years that have passed since Sept. 11, 2001, Americans have seen significant increases in counterterrorism security in public venues, including more security guards, closed-circuit TV cameras, metal



detectors and bag checks.

Businesses and federal officials have often wondered: Do these measures deter patrons and result in any economic losses for these venues? Or have Americans grown accustomed to them?

The USC Center for Risk and Economic Analysis of Terrorism Events (CREATE) decided to find out by conducting surveys of patrons of events, or those who thought of attending, at the following three venues: a Major League Baseball stadium, an arena that hosts NBA games and NHL matches, and a metropolitan-area convention center.

To project the economic impact of counterterrorism <u>security</u> measures, the researchers included responses from a representative sample of 1,276 adults who had attended or intended to attend an event at either of those venues in the past four years or sometime in the near future.

"Our study indicates that terrorism countermeasures actually resulted in higher attendance at public venues such as stadiums, arenas and convention centers," said Adam Rose, a study team leader who is the director of CREATE and a research professor at the USC Price School of Public Policy. "This results in a sizeable increase in revenues at these types of sites, ranging from 8 to 59%, and a significant increase in activity for the surrounding economy, though it is relatively small in percentage terms."

CREATE recently submitted the findings in a report to the U.S. Department of Homeland Security's Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency. The report predates the coronavirus pandemic, which has forced venues to halt public events.

Since 9/11, and particularly following the numerous lone wolf-type attacks in the past several years, the public appears to have adapted to



the increased security deployed at public venues, said Rose and coauthor Richard John, a CREATE associate director specializing in risk perception.

To visit public venues today, Americans face additional pandemicrelated measures like wearing masks, social distancing and temperature checks. However, Rose and John noted that it may take some time for some patrons to accept those measures.

## Counterterrorism security measures do not deter potential event attendees

The findings indicate that American adults in the surveys view countermeasures such as closed-circuit TV, the addition of security or law enforcement officers, metal detectors and bag-checks as effective for improving safety and reducing risk of crime and terrorism.

"They do not view the countermeasures as either an inconvenience or an invasion of privacy," John said. "Of the four countermeasures, bag checks are viewed as the most inconvenient and the most invasive of privacy."

Countermeasures were unlikely to deter patrons from considering attending a future event. A vast majority of them also expressed a desire to attend either the same or a greater number of events with enhanced security and countermeasures.

The venues host markedly different events in different regions of the United States.

"Beliefs, attitudes, and intentions to attend events employing security measures are consistent across the three venues," said John, also a



professor of psychology at the USC Dornsife College of Letters, Arts and Sciences. "The similarity of these response patterns suggests that our findings are generalizable across a broad range of public assembly venues in the U.S."

Patrons, on average, indicated a willingness to attend more events at the local venues following the installation of additional counterterrorism security measures, which would result in an increase in their revenues from ticket sales.

Attendance of events at the MLB stadium was projected to increase, both among local residents—nearly 24% more—and those living outside of the area—nearly 21% more. Revenue related to the stadium was expected to increase by more than \$35.2 million.

Revenue related to NBA games was anticipated to increase by more than \$22 million, while attendance among local residents was expected to increase by 41% and nearly 46% among out-of-towners. NHL game revenue was projected to increase nearly \$8 million, while attendance was expected to increase 12% among locals and 8% among outsiders.

Revenue associated with the convention center was projected to increase by nearly \$60 million, with a 43% increase in attendance expected among locals and a 60% increase among out-of-towners.

Ticket sales and attendance increases also matter because they translate into additional direct and indirect spending on goods and services at businesses throughout the area.

## Study finds that Americans accept security, privacy trade-offs



Between 18% to 33% of respondents in the public assembly venue study said counterterrorism measures like closed-circuit TV and law enforcement patrols, metal detectors at entrances and bag inspections would increase their likelihood of attending events at the venues. Only a very small percentage—3%—said such security measures dampened the likelihood that they would attend an event.

Some customers said that they would be willing to pay more than \$5 to attend events to cover additional measures to increase security.

Forty-eight percent said they would pay at least \$5 for a 90% reduction in risk of a terrorism event, and 38% said they were willing to pay at least \$5 for even just a 10% reduction. Such responses indicate "that it is not actually risk reduction that the customers value but the act of increasing countermeasures," the researchers wrote.

An increase in security measures means there are trade-offs for patrons, such as a loss of privacy and time waiting in lines for events.

"Customers' willingness to pay demonstrates a very slight sensitivity to inconvenience due to wait time increases," John said. At the same time, "their willingness to pay is completely insensitive to changes in privacy invasiveness and constant across whether the reduction in risk is for crime or for terrorism."

Other authors of the study were Dan Wei, Jonathan Eyer and Katie Byrd, also of CREATE.

Rose noted that the methods the research team used for this study could be applied to analyze the economic impact of recent measures that were implemented to reduce the spread of COVID-19.



## Provided by University of Southern California

Citation: Long after 9/11, Americans still willing to pay more for increased security at venues (2020, September 10) retrieved 6 May 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2020-09-americans-venues.html">https://phys.org/news/2020-09-americans-venues.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.