

# 'Worst-case' CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenario is best for assessing climate risk and impacts to 2050

August 3 2020

---



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

The RCP 8.5 CO<sub>2</sub> emissions pathway, long considered a "worst case scenario" by the international science community, is the most appropriate for conducting assessments of climate change impacts by 2050, according to a new article published today in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. The work was authored by Woods

Hole Research Center (WHRC) Risk Program Director Dr. Christopher Schwalm, Dr. Spencer Glendon, a Senior Fellow at WHRC and founder of Probable Futures, and by WHRC President Dr. Philip Duffy. Long dismissed as alarmist or misleading, the paper argues that is actually the closest approximation of both historical emissions and anticipated outcomes of current global climate policies, tracking within 1% of actual emissions.

"Not only are the emissions consistent with RCP 8.5 in close agreement with historical total cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (within 1%), but RCP8.5 is also the best match out to mid-century under current and stated policies with still highly plausible levels of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 2100," the authors wrote. "... Not using RCP8.5 to describe the previous 15 years assumes a level of mitigation that did not occur, thereby skewing subsequent assessments by lessening the severity of warming and associated physical climate risk."

Four scenarios known as Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) were developed in 2005 for the most recent Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Assessment Report (AR5). The RCP scenarios are used in global [climate](#) models, and include historical greenhouse gas emissions until 2005, and projected emissions subsequently. RCP 8.5 assumes the greatest fossil fuel use, and a resulting additional 8.5 watts per square meter of radiative forcing by 2100.

The commentary also emphasizes that while there are signs of progress on bending the global emissions curve and that our emissions picture may change significantly by 2100, focusing on the unknowable, distant future may distort the current debate on these issues. "For purposes of informing societal decisions, shorter time horizons are highly relevant, and it is important to have scenarios which are useful on those horizons. Looking at mid-century and sooner, RCP8.5 is clearly the most useful choice," they wrote.

The article also notes that RCP 8.5 would not be significantly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic, adding that "we note that the usefulness of RCP 8.5 is not changed due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

Assuming pandemic restrictions remain in place until the end of 2020 would entail a reduction in emissions of -4.7 Gt CO<sub>2</sub>. This represents less than 1% of total cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions since 2005 for all RCPs and observations."

"Given the agreement of 2005-2020 historical and RCP8.5 total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the congruence between current policies and RCP8.5 emission levels to mid-century, RCP8.5 has continued utility, both as an instrument to explore mean outcomes as well as risk," they concluded. "Indeed, if RCP8.5 did not exist, we'd have to create it."

**More information:** Christopher R. Schwalm et al., "RCP8.5 tracks cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> emissions," *PNAS* (2020).

[www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.2007117117](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.2007117117)

Provided by Woods Hole Research Center

Citation: 'Worst-case' CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenario is best for assessing climate risk and impacts to 2050 (2020, August 3) retrieved 16 July 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2020-08-worst-case-co2-emissions-scenario-climate.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.