

Why scientists intentionally spilled oil into a Canadian lake

August 7 2020, by Jeffrey Cederwall, Sawyer Stoyanovich



A captive adult dragonfly trapped in the sticky surface slick of Canadian diluted bitumen from a controlled experimental oil spill in a boreal lake located in Northwestern Ontario during the summer of 2018. Credit: Jeffrey Cederwall

Canada sits on the [third-largest oil reserve in the world](#). Most of it is in the Alberta oilsands, where companies extract bitumen, a crude oil with the consistency of peanut butter.

To get the oil to pass through pipelines, petroleum engineers mix the oil with lighter components—usually byproducts of natural gas production—to dilute and liquefy the bitumen. This mixture is called "diluted bitumen" or dilbit for short.

Alberta is landlocked. To reach refineries and the international market, dilbit is transported through a network of pipelines and railways over vast stretches of land, riddled with lakes, rivers and wetlands.

But oil pipelines can leak or rupture, and spill their contents into the environment. In July 2010, for example, an Enbridge pipeline in Marshall, Mich., [spilled at least three million liters of dilbit into the Kalamazoo River](#), of which an estimated 680,000 liters sank.

The issues of inland spills aren't new. They are [more common than marine spills](#) but often overlooked or unreported. Generally, we know much less about how [oil spills](#) affect freshwater ecosystems than the ocean and, [when it comes to dilbit spills, we know even less](#).

To find out, our research team carefully spilled dilbit into mini-lakes to uncover the real-world responses of the oil and its impact on aquatic life.

Recreating a freshwater oil spill

We created mini-lakes with 1,400 liter tanks, filled with [lake](#) sediment, water and the natural community of microscopic plankton retrieved from a lake on the Canadian boreal shield. We then spilled a scaled-down volume of dilbit—less than two liters—into the "lake."

We left the tanks exposed to sunlight, temperature changes and weather while we monitored the viscosity and density of the oil slick on the surface of the water. These parameters are important for understanding when the oil might sink and how it can be cleaned up. We also tracked the oil beneath the surface, its [chemical composition](#) and its impact on the plankton living there.

Crude oil floats because it is less dense than water, allowing spilled oil to be skimmed off the the water surface. But this isn't always true for heavy Canadian [crude oil](#).



Comparison of the dilbit surface slick on Day 0 (left) and Day 8 (right). Left: The freshly added dilbit initially covers the water surface as a smooth slick. Right: Overtime the dilbit slick grew thicker, developed a surface crust and changed colour. Following heavy rain, roughly half the oil sank to the bottom. Credit: BOREAL Study 2017

Dilbit can sink under certain conditions, such as a turbulent river with a lot of suspended sediment and other particles. These particles can bind to the oil and make it denser, as happened on the Kalamazoo River in 2010.

Past research using wave tanks has suggested [dilbit would not sink](#) in a lake. Other studies in test tubes have shown that [dilbit can sink](#), but only when vigorously mixed with much more suspended sediment than what is typically experienced in nature.

[Our research](#) showed that after only one day, dilbit became too viscous for conventional cleanup methods to perform well. When it rained eight days after our experimental spill, the dilbit slicks broke up and about half of them sank to the sediment in these mini-lakes.

Implications for oil spill cleanup

After an oil spill into water, cleanup crews use booms, skimmers and sometimes even fire to remove the spilled oil from the water's surface. None of this is possible if the oil sinks.

To clean up sunken oil, the submerged oil and sediment must be dug up. This invasive removal is both expensive and may further harm an ecosystem by removing entire communities living in the sediment and re-suspending oil back to the water column. Sinking substantially [increases the cleanup costs](#) and timelines and reduces recovery.

If the spilled dilbit gets too viscous, conventional skimmers don't work well, but if it gets too dense it sinks.

Our results show that dilbit can sink in lakes given enough time, and highlight the need to consider different weather scenarios in risk assessments.

Life under an oil slick

Plankton are microscopic organisms that form the base of the food web

that supports fish populations. They are also quite sensitive to environmental changes, such as a dilbit spill, making them an ideal group to study.



Researchers carefully add dilbit into a large in-lake enclosure in Lake 260 at the IISD Experimental Lakes Area in 2018. Credit: Jose Luis Rodriguez-Gil

[We found](#) that dilbit spills reduced the overall amount of the zooplankton and algae in the water, but not all species were affected to the same degree.

Some algae species showed signs of recovery after the dilbit sank, but zooplankton appeared more sensitive in the long-term. Larger zooplankton are an important food for fish, so if their numbers drop for a prolonged period, fish could starve. Our initial study lasted 11 days, so

we don't know if this would occur.

Bacterial abundance, on the other hand, increased following the dilbit spill. The composition of the bacterial community shifted to include more oil-eating microbes, which could help mitigate some of the impact of residue oil.

We have seen this before in the ocean. After marine oil spills, [bacterial blooms of oil-eating microbes are common](#).

Oil breakdown by bacteria offers a promise for some remediation following spills but is likely not a silver bullet, especially for heavy oils such as dilbit. After a dilbit spill, most of the heaviest petroleum compounds comprising natural bitumen will still likely remain, as [oil-eating microbes prefer](#) lighter, more accessible oil.

Research coming down the pipeline

We know that freshwater dilbit spills may have different implications for clean up and can dramatically affect aquatic life in the short-term, but we still need to understand the long-term impacts of dilbit in a variety of environments and spill sizes.

In 2018, we conducted a larger study using in-lake enclosures at the [IISD-Experimental Lakes Area](#), a research facility in northwestern Ontario that's world-renowned for hosting experiments in whole lakes. Research from these experimental lakes has shaped water quality policies, such as [acid rain](#) and phosphates, by providing more accurate answers than those that come from a lab test tube.

However, unlike typical whole lake studies, we did not just release dilbit into a single lake. By using large in-lake enclosures, [we created](#) seven different spill sizes, using multiple levels of oil containment to prevent

an intentional oil spill from becoming a terrible accident.

Last summer, a [follow-up study](#) evaluated different oil cleanup options in a freshwater lake using similar in-lake enclosures to figure out which methods work best. Combined, the results of these studies will help inform the risk assessments for dilbit spills, cleanup methods, environmental policy and hopefully mitigate the impacts of future spills.

No one wants an oil [spill](#) in their backyard, but sometimes recreating these accidents through small controlled spills is the best way to understand them.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why scientists intentionally spilled oil into a Canadian lake (2020, August 7) retrieved 11 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2020-08-scientists-intentionally-oil-canadian-lake.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--