

Humans aren't inherently selfish: We're actually hardwired to work together

August 21 2020, by Steve Taylor

	The second secon		
an in the second of the second	term a concerne	SUR A METER DW C TAS LE	attendades and making the con-
The state of the s	M Alleste Consess of the Land.	2 3560 Sev . SERNWARE BEALFACE	
MILE OF the A. BEEN LINE COUNTY COUNTY ON SECTION OF THE STATE STATE OF THE STATE OF THE SECTION	DIELLO LINNI WULL TH'NAME	! RISCHES 1 110 2 P.R : " PARENTE	as course Actions se get
ten ?	STEWN PROCEST & RECORDED IN . AFTER	Assa down Pro Overse 1 16	and the state of month
A BRIEF TETY IT, STREAM IN VIOLENTIAL STREET AND CONTRACT AND A PARTY	The state of the second of the second		To de the same
the state of Terror stations of the state of	The sold state and state a popular	A to Minnell and In the Tall	week store to bet and Up To 2
TO CONTROL OF SUCH IS SECTION OF THE	Drender + LLC K to Neot Wash to 12 1	LA TONE SALAMA	a second property of the second
touch interest upones until a comment that the published NO - MENT AC MUNICIPALITY	SECT. STATE MANES OF SOME STATE . THE		windown the Line 18 and
TO Salve W 30-1 0 8 m at We soul SHICKLODE The share	100 f ciras 004 f Near SHUNGS Onet 1	PHILIPS DATE: 1 HH : FORTH O	D wanty learne par I a least
BE do H. B. KASI ONE . HANY . ST. MER BOSH ROles . same HANDERRE.	Baresto Fre the Manual	Hierosna . Was wife	SBEN STE PILE A A
were I life a a real flatter would distint this Ch leave to a Chit Dibli identificial Anticipal Anticipal	RAMORES, - : 40 + 3 MILLE THE CHARLE MITE	THURST WALLEST A TANK THE STREET	PROPERS A 110 Y EN LA MEN
att. nine ', and stom nine net a nitor n.a. 1146' gat. Alan . Till he p the nine	OM SE SEN I DOOR SHITE STORY	2 cu 1 0 pero 1 vice o ques 1 6- cliff	P. 14 S.B 111 . Co. 3.0INIA
as I store to asked a name of the party of t	OTTHER DAMES TEACHER PERSON	THE REAL DATE THE ULL BEENE TO BE -	Lindon State of the one
The Desire to the ment of the desired of the desired of the desired	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSON OF	a want busiek and late lite	Let Characte of Villaco Le S L
CR 9 Win W marks land act the antenes too action on it HW agai w.	stiffer is at 1 corne	tik i a til I mete 24Wi Rate H 1	inger will thee 114
THE MARKET HAS THE	SOUTH EDIES L SANTES	CANA H SEAN LIOUR & THE MENT OF THE	DUID TO SEE A CHARME & SAME A SEE A
The state of the s	CREATION STATE	ANO SMOT YELD COLL I TON A !!	HENTELSK! ISht - ALP LUCK C. !
A. I serve out to be of the to M I MC & DE M SIMIN John I REMISSED BOLL WARD STATE !	MERITAGAIA : STEET	4 8 2 040 Ext sent 1 12000 Ext	THEOLOGICAL SUICELIST WINE TER
He 35 The Co ton to the control of t	7. 8 11 (3.12)	on M. Athe I Sommer Will ! to seem !	ameration of hit age - days is
The course management REMERARE NO 188 21 1 dec 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Octor LA. CK Into	mode to the whose account y his	SOUNG " of the to a most son "
are 1 Action 1 2 " Level City FOUH 11 0 Yollow Halvy Mook Level City Charles 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	one date	100 4 1 1 1 00 W W 1 7 0 100 1 P	AT PU E She I well And Il Boung
but I seen . Day I d Ata to 25 july of the order t Miscon would great of croits	other persons in	Contract HILO ACTE AS EN IT	2.4 c = 1385 hs 1 81 1 9 5 %
a I need the State of Deal's Mathite ten Betautt cers. I. to 10 6 71 SMARKED 1	Detre cias A. J	The Sides Cones & Alexan	"Ath 1 Ac 11 " Leanne & Alland
SECTION OF STREET SOME SECTION OF STREET STATE OF BEST OF STREET STATE OF STREET	APER Mile	Ni 13x. 8 Control 6 18 74	THE RESERVED AND SARVE THE
we are at one the manual fill as at I ting house; and a day it is a lower !	E E STATE	set bit gather son !	ALL CONTROL ON CONTROL OF
and in the state of the state o	THE . K	CAR A PROPERTY AND A STREET BOOK STORY	Ours a chiefe to the state of t
THE TO GET A DESCRIPTION AS A STREET OF THE THE PROPERTY AND A STREET AS A STR	SAIR S	tes a decorate of the Reg	and the state of the second or
The state of the s		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	Acceptance Co Par Portor 2
ing I there is to be the total or of market pleasers and works present and a carry to			THE PARTY OF THE P
death server from the Tarant to the To ame Mill Las T. For !	a de gal	Ladernewart Gover	The Leaving Handlat Flores
THE FACTOR THE REST OF THE PARTY OF THE STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		tunanma elati.	ENG HARD WE THE RES
of Targanicion a year of main at a land of bur at a plant to a land and and maintenant soft to the control of the transfer of the control of	2000	to discuss over 1 g on 2	accited to the
con more of the printer to be the tax his elect of the care		a lita Tirbariati I	at moons on A see lite "
As sheet \$11,0000 \$38 4 0651 Ermin \$35 14 4100 fests 11 1 7 6.06	1992 9	policy terantinamely	1214 I The Later
All printing lights and the last hand all surres and the state of	HANGA	And in the second	
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	the Residence of the State of t	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

There has long been a general assumption that human beings are <u>essentially selfish</u>. We're apparently ruthless, with strong impulses to compete against each other for resources and to accumulate power and possessions.



If we are kind to one another, it's usually because we have ulterior motives. If we are good, it's only because we have managed to control and transcend our innate selfishness and brutality.

This bleak view of human nature is closely associated with the science writer Richard Dawkins, whose book "The Selfish Gene" became popular because it fit so well with (and helped to justify) the competitive and individualistic ethos of late 20th-century societies.

Like many others, Dawkins justifies his views with reference to the field of <u>evolutionary psychology</u>. Evolutionary psychology theorizes that present-day human traits developed in <u>prehistoric times</u>, during what is <u>termed</u> the "environment of evolutionary adaptedness."

This is usually seen as a period of intense competition, when life was a kind of Roman gladiatorial battle in which only the traits that gave people a <u>survival advantage</u> were selected and all others fell by the wayside. And because people's survival depended on access to resources—think rivers, forests and animals—there was bound to be competition and conflict between <u>rival groups</u>, which led to the development of traits like <u>racism and warfare</u>.

This seems logical. But in fact the assumption it's based on—that prehistoric life was a desperate struggle for survival—is false.

Prehistoric abundance

It's important to remember that in the prehistoric era, the world was very sparsely populated. So it's likely there was an abundance of resources for <u>hunter-gatherer groups</u>.

According to <u>some estimates</u>, around 15,000 years ago, the population of Europe was only 29,000, and the population of the whole world was less



than half a million. With such small population densities, it seems unlikely that prehistoric hunter-gatherer groups had to compete against each other or had any need to develop ruthlessness and competitiveness, or to go to war.

Indeed, <u>many anthropologists</u> now agree that war is a late development in human history, arising with the first <u>agricultural settlements</u>.

Contemporary evidence

There's also significant evidence from contemporary hunter-gatherer groups who live in the same way as prehistoric humans. One of the striking things about such groups is their egalitarianism.

As the anthropologist <u>Bruce Knauft</u> has remarked, hunter-gatherers are characterized by "extreme political and sexual egalitarianism." Individuals in such groups don't accumulate their own property and possessions. They have a moral obligation to share everything. They also have methods of preserving egalitarianism by ensuring that status differences don't arise.

The !Kung of southern Africa, for example, swap arrows before going hunting and when an animal is killed, the credit does not go to the person who fired the arrow, but to the person who the arrow belongs to. And if a person becomes too domineering or arrogant, the other members of the group ostracize them.

Typically in such groups, men have <u>no authority</u> over women. Women usually choose their own marriage partners, decide what work they want to do and work whenever they choose to. And if a marriage breaks down, they have custody rights over their children.

Many anthropologists agree that such egalitarian societies were normal



until a few thousand years ago, when population growth led to the development of farming and a <u>settled lifestyle</u>.

Altruism and egalitarianism

In view of the above, there seems little reason to assume that traits such as racism, warfare and male domination should have been selected by evolution—as they would have been of little benefit to us. Individuals who behaved selfishly and ruthlessly would be less likely to survive, since they would have been ostracized from their groups.

It makes more sense then to see traits such as cooperation, egalitarianism, altruism and peacefulness as natural to human beings. These were the traits that have been prevalent in human life for tens of thousands of years. So presumably these traits are still strong in us now.

Of course, you might argue that if this is case, why do present day humans often behave so selfishly and ruthlessly? Why are these <u>negative</u> <u>traits</u> so normal in many cultures? Perhaps though these traits should be seen as the result of environmental and psychological factors.

Research has shown repeatedly that when the natural habitats of primates are disrupted, they tend to become more violent and hierarchical. So it could well be that the same thing has has happened to us, since we gave up the hunter-gatherer lifestyle.

In my book "The Fall," I suggest that the end of the hunter-gatherer lifestyle and the advent of farming was connected to a psychological change that occurred in some groups of people. There was a new sense of individuality and separateness, which led a new selfishness, and ultimately to hierarchical societies, patriarchy and warfare.

At any rate, these negative traits appear to have developed so recently



that it doesn't seem feasible to explain them in adaptive or evolutionary terms. Meaning that the "good" side of our nature is much more deeprooted than the "evil" side.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Humans aren't inherently selfish: We're actually hardwired to work together (2020, August 21) retrieved 17 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2020-08-humans-inherently-selfish-hardwired.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.