

How our food choices cut into forests and put us closer to viruses

August 21 2020, by Terry Sunderland



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

As the global population has doubled to 7.8 billion in about 50 years, industrial agriculture has increased the output from fields and farms to feed humanity. One of the negative outcomes of this transformation has been the extreme [simplification of ecological systems](#), with complex multi-functional landscapes converted to vast swaths of monocultures.

From [cattle farming](#) to [oil palm plantations](#), industrial agriculture remains the greatest [driver of deforestation](#), particularly in the tropics. And as [agricultural activities](#) expand and [intensify](#), ecosystems lose [plants, wildlife and other biodiversity](#).

[The permanent transformation](#) of forested landscapes for commodity crops currently drives more than a quarter of all global deforestation. This includes soy, palm oil, beef cattle, coffee, cocoa, sugar and other key ingredients of our increasingly simplified and [highly processed](#) diets.

The erosion of the [forest](#) frontier has also increased our exposure to [infectious diseases](#), such as [Ebola](#), [malaria](#) and other [zoonotic diseases](#). Spillover [incidents would be far less prevalent](#) without human encroachment into the forest.

We need to examine our [global food system](#): Is it doing its job, or is it contributing to forest destruction and [biodiversity loss](#)—and putting human life at risk?

What are we eating?

The food most associated with biodiversity loss also tends to also be connected to [unhealthy diets](#) across the globe. Fifty years after the [Green Revolution](#)—the transition to intensive, high yielding food production reliant on a limited number of crop and livestock species—nearly 800 million people still go to bed hungry; one in three is malnourished; and up to two billion people suffer some sort of micronutrient deficiency and associated health impacts, such as stunting or wasting.

The [environmental impacts](#) of our agricultural systems are also severe. The [agricultural sector](#) is responsible for up to [30 percent of greenhouse gas emissions](#), soil erosion, excessive water use, the loss of important pollinators and chemical pollution, among other impacts. It is pushing

[planetary boundaries](#) even further.

In short, modern agriculture is failing to sustain the people and the ecological resources on which they rely. The incidence of [infectious diseases](#) correlates with the current loss of biodiversity.



Credit: Laura James from Pexels

Deforestation and disease

Few viruses have generated more global response than the SARS-CoV-2 virus responsible for the current pandemic. Yet in the [past 20 years](#),

humanity has also faced SARS, MERS, H1N1, Chikungunya, Zika and numerous local outbreaks of Ebola. All of them are [zoonotic diseases](#) and at least one, Ebola, has been linked to deforestation.

Farming large numbers of genetically similar livestock along the forest frontier may [provide a route for pathogens](#) to mutate and become transmissible to humans. Forest loss and landscape change bring humans and wildlife into ever-increasing proximity, heightening the risk of an [infectious disease spillover](#).

An estimated [70 percent of the global forest estate is now within just one kilometer of a forest edge](#)—a statistic that starkly illustrates the problem. We are destroying that critical buffer that forests provide.

Zoonoses may be more prevalent in simplified systems with lower levels of biodiversity. In contrast, more diverse communities lower the risk of spillover into human populations. This form of natural control is known as the "dilution effect" and illustrates why biodiversity is an important regulatory mechanism.

The pandemic is further [heightening pressures on forests](#). Increased unemployment, poverty and food insecurity in [urban areas](#) is [forcing internal migration](#), as people return to their rural homes, particularly in the tropics. This trend will no doubt increase demands on remaining forest resources for fuel wood, timber and further conversion for small-scale agriculture.

Wet markets under scrutiny

The links between zoonoses and wildlife has led to [many calls](#) during the current pandemic to ban the harvest and sale of wild meat and other forms of animal source foods. That might be too hasty a reaction: wild meat is an [essential resource](#) for millions of rural people, particularly in

the absence of alternative animal food sources.

It is, however, not necessarily essential for urban dwellers who do have alternative sources of animal protein to purchase wild meat as a "luxury" item. Urban markets selling wild meat could increase the risk of zoonotic spillover but not all wet markets are the same. There are countless wet markets throughout the world that do not sell wildlife products and such markets are [fundamental to the food security and nutrition as well as the livelihoods](#) of hundreds of millions of people.

Even before the COVID-19 pandemic took hold, international agencies, including the Committee on World Food Security, have [been concerned](#) about the long-term viability of our current food system: could it provide diverse and nutritious diets while maintaining environmental sustainability and landscape diversity? The current pandemic has highlighted [major shortfalls](#) in our environmental stewardship.

We must harness the interconnected nature of our forests and food systems more effectively if we are to avoid future crises. Better integration of forests, agroforests (the incorporation of trees into agricultural systems) [at the broader landscape scale](#), breaking down the institutional, economic, political and spatial separation of forestry and agriculture, can provide the key to a more sustainable, [food](#) secure and healthier future.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: How our food choices cut into forests and put us closer to viruses (2020, August 21) retrieved 27 April 2024 from

<https://phys.org/news/2020-08-food-choices-forests-closer-viruses.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.