

Analyzing pros and cons of two composite manufacturing methods

July 31 2020



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Airplane wings, wind turbine blades, and other large parts are typically created using bulk polymerization in composite manufacturing facilities. They are heated and cured in enormous autoclaves and heated molds as



big as the finished part. Frontal polymerization is a new out-of-autoclave method for composite manufacturing that doesn't require a large facility investment. Researchers at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign conducted a study pitting one process against the other to discover the pros and cons of each.

"Frontal <u>polymerization</u> doesn't use an autoclave at all, so it doesn't require that huge upfront investment," said Bliss Professor Philippe Geubelle in the Department of Aerospace Engineering at the U of I. "It's a chemical reaction sustained by the release of heat as the front propagates. It can save a lot of energy and it generates much less carbon dioxide, so that's an environmental benefit."

Geubelle said they began comparing the two methods by looking at the thermo-chemical equations in order to model the two polymerization processes. In that way, they could compare the methods for a variety of composite materials, and particularly, the time duration each method takes to manufacture the same part.

"The key contribution from the theoretical point of view is we've rewritten the reaction-diffusion equations to extract the two most important nondimensional parameters," Geubelle said. "Using just these two parameters allowed us to look at a wide range of chemical parameters, such as the activation energy and the heat of reaction, and at the impact of the initial temperature of the resin."

Geubelle said this method helped to compare the composite manufacturing processes based on bulk and frontal polymerization in terms of the time it takes to manufacture a part. The study found that there were instances when one or the other was faster.

"Imagine you want to make something that is one meter long. Frontal polymerization will be able to do complete the task before bulk



polymerization starts to kick in," Geubelle said. "On the other hand, if you want to make something that is 10 meters long, then bulk polymerization may actually take place before the front reaches the other end of the part. It's the competition between these two processes that we analyzed in this study."

He went on to say there are several ways to speed up the process for frontal polymerization: start the front at both ends so it goes twice as fast, or heat it from the bottom by using a heated panel beneath it. "That process is so fast, we refer to it as flash curing," Geubelle said, "but it does use more energy than for a single front."

Manufacturing composite parts using frontal polymerization instead of bulk polymerization has a lot of advantages.

"With frontal polymerization, you don't need the large capital investment of the autoclave, making it a very attractive option," Geubelle said. "The time it takes to cure a composite part is also much shorter and the environmental impact is substantially reduced."

The study, "Frontal vs. bulk polymerization of fiber-reinforced polymermatrix composites," was written by S. Vyas, X. Zhang, E. Goli, and P. H. Geubelle. It is published in *Composites Science and Technology*.

More information: S. Vyas et al. Frontal vs. bulk polymerization of fiber-reinforced polymer-matrix composites, *Composites Science and Technology* (2020). DOI: 10.1016/j.compscitech.2020.108303

Provided by University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Citation: Analyzing pros and cons of two composite manufacturing methods (2020, July 31)



retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2020-07-pros-cons-composite-methods.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.