

How incoherent farm policies undermine Kenya's transformation agenda

June 22 2020, by Timothy Njagi Njeru

A key objective of <u>Kenya's agriculture growth and transformation</u> <u>strategy</u> and <u>the Big Four Agenda</u> is increasing smallholder productivity and incomes. The strategies also aim to enhance value-addition and agroprocessing, which could create employment in agricultural value chains. The overall goal is to transform rural economies into commercially viable concerns.

But the government sometimes pursues policies that undermine these objectives.

Sorghum farming is a case in point. In Kenya, sorghum is mainly grown in areas characterized by low rainfall and high temperatures. For decades, there was little incentive to grow the crop because <u>production</u> <u>costs</u> were high, market integration low, and yields consistently low at about 0.7 tons per hectare. Ethiopia has consistently attained a national yield of 2.5 tons/ha. Farmers were unable to break even. Production was mainly for domestic consumption.

But thanks to the <u>government policy</u> supporting the use of sorghum for commercial beer brewing in <u>2004</u>, through waiver of the excise duty, demand for sorghum increased, giving <u>smallholder farmers</u> an opportunity to <u>transform</u> their <u>agriculture and livelihoods</u>.

First, sorghum beer processing provided a stable market. Contracts entered between the main brewer and farmers guaranteed farmers a market and stable prices. Farmers responded by increasing their



production. Some attained up to 3.3 tons/ha, which translated to an increase in incomes of about 220%.

Contract farming for sorghum beer processing expanded from three counties in 2010 to the current ten counties, with four more in the pipeline. During this period, the number of farmers has grown from 2,300 to 48,000 and farm-gate price per kilogram from 23 to 37 KES. Yield has improved due to better agronomic services and inputs provided on credit by the industry.

Second, researchers have been given an incentive to support the industry and responded by doubling the number of improved varieties from 20 in 2012 to <u>40</u> in 2017. These improved varieties are higher yielding, drought tolerant, pest and disease resistant and tailored for specific soils, rainfall and temperature.

Third, the policies on flour blending provide additional uses for sorghum in agro-processing. Despite this growth, Kenya remains a net importer of sorghum.

But the sorghum value chain, which is now years in the making, faces severe disruption. The National Treasury now <u>seeks</u> to reduce the excise duty waiver for beer made from locally grown sorghum, millet or cassava or any other agricultural produce from 80% to 60%. This measure is of course intended to increase <u>tax revenue</u> for the government.

But this policy will likely result in <u>increased prices for the end</u> <u>consumers</u>. This will in turn force the processor to cut down on production, and thereby reduce demand for the raw material. It is important to note that the main objective of changing the policy in 2004 was to fight illicit brews by making sorghum beer more affordable for people with low incomes.



Reduced demand will not only lower sorghum prices but increase costs for farmers forced to invest in storage and management of unsold produce. And <u>more jobs will be lost</u> along the value chain as economic activity scales down.

Learning from past policy failures

Existing evidence shows that such a policy move is counterproductive. In 2013, a similar proposal was implemented when a 50% excise duty was introduced. As a result, the price of sorghum beer increased as the added tax was passed on to consumers. The demand for sorghum plummeted as the beer processors scaled down processed volumes and also canceled contracts for farmers.

This had a <u>negative impact not only for farmers</u>, but for others in the value chain, like input sellers, grain aggregators and transporters. Instead of the government raising revenue, it actually <u>lost Ksh 2 billion in</u> forgone tax revenue due to losses accruing to the sorghum beer processors and others in the chain.

The policy measure was rescinded in 2015.

The new regulation is ill-timed. This year, the agriculture sector has suffered several shocks. From December 2019, the desert locust invasion affected most of the arid and semi-arid lands. Also, excessive rainfall has been experienced in most parts of the country. Although the former did not pose a severe threat to sorghum farming, the latter posed a significant threat to productivity arising from <u>flooding and</u> <u>waterlogging</u>.

The COVID-19 pandemic has disrupted the economy in a way never experienced before. The demand for sorghum beer was already depressed following the <u>closure of bars</u>, <u>restaurants and hotels in March</u>



<u>2020</u>. Curtailing the industry during such economic shocks can only lead to worse effects for the economy.

Inconsistent policy choices

The adverse <u>policy</u> also contradicts other government's policies and investments. The government, through support from development partners such as the World Bank and European Union, has also invested heavily in the sorghum and millet value chains through the projects like <u>Kenya Climate Smart Agriculture Project</u>, the <u>National Agricultural and Rural Inclusive Growth Project</u> and the <u>Kenya Cereal Enhancement</u> <u>Program</u>. Several counties have prioritized sorghum as an essential food and commercial crop.

The president <u>opened a Ksh 14 billion plant in Kisumu County</u> two years ago which is serving as a key market for farmers in the western region. Another processing plant is being set up in Nakuru County. These investments have been made as a result of a stable and <u>predictable policy</u> <u>environment</u> that has existed in the past.

Across the value chain various players have invested and continue to do so with the expectation that this environment will persist and guarantee them a return on their investments. These actors include seed breeders working on <u>sorghum</u> varieties, seed companies, grain processors and investments in post-harvest storage and management.

The proposed regulation will be a disincentive to such investments, especially by the private sector, and possibly lead to capital flight.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



Provided by The Conversation

Citation: How incoherent farm policies undermine Kenya's transformation agenda (2020, June 22) retrieved 26 June 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2020-06-incoherent-farm-policies-undermine-kenya.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.