

## Women with caring responsibilities at greater risk of poverty

May 28 2020, by Belinda Henwood

A new report by UNSW Social Policy Research Centre (SPRC) and Australian Council of Social Services (ACOSS) highlights the relationship between caring roles and poverty in Australia. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, households with a female main income earner and children were more than twice as likely to live in poverty as those with a male main income earner.

The "Poverty in Australia 2020: Part 2—Who is affected?" report, released today, also finds that <u>unemployed people</u> were at greatest risk of poverty, with two-thirds of affected households living below the poverty line. The findings confirm, once again, the inadequacy of pre-COVID payments for people who are unemployed.

Associate Professor Bruce Bradbury at the SPRC, the report's lead researcher, says this report shows the impact that a lack of paid work and having to rely on <u>income</u> support has on poverty.

"The high poverty rates among income support recipients in 2017-18 point to what will happen to a much wider section of the population if the current COVID-19 income support payments cease in September without an adequate replacement," he says.

"As of January 2018, the single rate of Newstart [plus rent assistance] was \$117 below the disposable income poverty line. Consequently, around two-thirds of households where the reference person was unemployed were in poverty after taking account of housing costs.



Similarly, more than half of households relying on Parenting Payment were below the poverty line," Associate Professor Bradbury says.

Director of the SPRC, Professor Carla Treloar, says this report shines a light on those groups most at risk of poverty in Australia and reveals its disproportionate impact on women and <u>children</u> and those who are not currently in paid work.

"The question is, now that we have the data, what will we do to provide the safety net that's needed to lift these households out of poverty?

"We can see in recent months how doubling unemployment payments has dramatically improved life for people who have lost their jobs. It's clear we must continue the action on income support, and devise solutions on housing and job creation to lift more people out of poverty."

Jacqueline Phillips, ACOSS Acting CEO, says this report exposes the disproportionate impact of poverty on households where women are the main income earners. These households are twice as likely to live in poverty as those where men are the main income earners (19% and 10%, respectively), with the gap even higher in households with children (at 23% and 10% respectively).

"Disturbingly, more than a third of single mothers and their children are living in poverty [37%]. The challenges faced by single mothers that lead to so many being on low incomes have serious implications for the wellbeing of those women and their children.

"Early indications suggest that women have been suffering some of the worst economic impacts of the current pandemic, and effective policy action is needed to ensure this does not translate into an ongoing reduction in female employment or an increase in poverty amongst women and children. A snapback on childcare or income support risks



trapping single mothers and their children in poverty."

Poverty rates vary a great deal among different groups. The main reasons for this are also three main drivers of poverty: access to employment, the level of public income support available to people with low or no incomes, and housing costs.

"People who were unemployed were at greatest risk of poverty, with twothirds of affected households living below the poverty line, highlighting the gross inadequacy of the \$40 a day unemployment payment pre-COVID. The doubling of JobSeeker as part of the COVID-19 response has transformed people's lives. The government must now ensure that the pathway out of the pandemic is also a pathway out of poverty by setting a permanent income floor above the poverty line," says Ms Phillips.

The report also reveals that renters are almost twice as likely to live in poverty as home-owners (19% compared with 9%) with public housing tenants at greatest risk (58%). The very high proportion of public housing tenants living in poverty reflects the disadvantage they face, the highly targeted nature of public housing and the low rates of income support payments pre-pandemic.

"The government can reduce poverty by permanently lifting social security payments above poverty levels, boosting jobs growth, investing in social housing and ensuring that childcare is available for all families who need it for the duration of the economic recovery and beyond," says Ms Phillips.

The Poverty in Australia 2020: Part 2 report compares the impact of poverty on different people in the community, broken down by age, family type income source, and labour market and housing status. It includes estimates of poverty among people with disabilities and those



from culturally and ethnically diverse communities. The report, which analyses Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) data from 2017-18, provides a baseline against which to measure the impact of the current COVID-19 pandemic on poverty in Australia.

## Report snapshot:

- Being unemployed remains the greatest poverty risk factor, with two-thirds (66%) of households where the main earner is unemployed living below the poverty line. This is directly related to the level of pre-pandemic income support payments.
- The single rate of Newstart in January 2018 (including Rent Assistance and Energy Supplement) was \$117pw below the poverty line (\$185pw if they did not receive Rent Assistance). Youth Allowance (plus these supplements) was \$164pw below the poverty line (\$232pw without Rent Assistance).
- Households that have to rely mainly on social security payments (e.g. unemployment, parenting, and disability payments) are five times more likely to experience poverty (36%) than those receiving most income from wages and salaries (7%). However, 38% of those in poverty are wage-earners; the majority are households with children.
- In households where the main earner is a female, the poverty rate is 19% almost double the rate when the main earner is male (10%).
- Single parent families where the main earner is a woman have twice the rate of poverty (37%) as those where the main earner is a man (18%).
- The biggest differences in poverty rates between households with male and female main earners are in families with children. The average poverty rate among people in families with children where the main income-earner is female is 23%, compared with 10% where the main income-earner is male (which is the more



common arrangement). In contrast, among households without children, the average poverty rate where the main income-earner is female is 12%, compared with 10% where the main income-earner is male.

- Nearly half the children in sole parent families live in poverty (44%) compared with 13% for children living with both parents.
- While those of working age are at greater risk of poverty than older people, over 65s who are living in private rental face a relatively high risk of poverty (39%).
- Renters are almost twice as likely to live in <u>poverty</u> as homeowners (19% compared with 9%) with public housing tenants at greatest risk (58%).

**More information:** Poverty in Australia 2020: Part 2 – Who is affected? <u>povertyandinequality.acoss.org</u> ... <u>stralia-2020-Part-2-</u>%E2%80%93-Who-is-affected\_Final.pdf

## Provided by University of New South Wales

Citation: Women with caring responsibilities at greater risk of poverty (2020, May 28) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2020-05-women-responsibilities-greater-poverty.html">https://phys.org/news/2020-05-women-responsibilities-greater-poverty.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.