

A century of misunderstanding of a key tool in the economics of natural resources

May 11 2020



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

In the past few weeks, oil prices have fallen to record lows. This development was not predicted by the Hotelling rule, an equation proposed in 1931 that remains central to the economics of natural resources today. In an article published on 7 May 2020 in the *Canadian Journal of Economics*, economists Roberto Ferreira da Cunha, of the Berkeley Research Group, and Antoine Missemer, of the CNRS, present



the results of a groundbreaking historical survey of documents from Harold Hotelling's archives. They show that in fact this 'rule' was not designed to investigate energy markets. More generally, their study questions the theoretical instruments used to address energy and environmental issues.

In an article written in 1931, the American economist and mathematician Harold Hotelling published a model to describe the evolution of the prices of non-renewable resources. Following the 1973 oil crisis, the model aroused fresh interest: the growth theorist Robert Solow named the initial equation in this article 'the Hotelling rule," establishing it as a fundamental principle of the economics of nonrenewable resources. However, the prices observed over the past century have never been in line with this equation, something which has constantly puzzled economists.

Despite everything, the Hotelling rule still retains its central status in the economics of mineral and <u>energy</u> resources: it is on this basis that more sophisticated 'extensions' are constructed to account for market realities. Roberto Ferreira da Cunha, from the Berkeley Research Group (Brazil), and Antoine Missemer, a CNRS researcher attached to CIRED, the International Centre for Research on Environment and Development (CNRS/CIRAD/AgroParisTech/Ecole des Ponts ParisTech/EHESS), undertook a detailed and unprecedented examination of Harold Hotelling's archives. By analysing the origins of the model, they conclude that its scope of validity is more limited than commonly established, and decisively clarify the reasons for its empirical weaknesses.

Hotelling's drafts, as well as his correspondence, with oil engineers for example, point to a reinterpretation of the 1931 article. It turns out that the "rule," which he had devised as early as 1924 for abstract assets, was in no way intended to be applied to the concrete case of mineral and



energy resources. From 1925 to 1930, Hotelling himself identified unavoidable geological constraints that changed his initial result: increased <u>production costs</u> as extraction progresses, or the cost resulting from ramped up production. As he outlined, this transformed his model, which was then potentially able to describe bell-shaped production paths, such as those used in debates about peak oil.

The two researchers thus show that, if the Hotelling rule has such difficulty in passing the hurdle of empirical tests in the field of energy and mineral resources, it is because it was not designed for that! They propose to reconstruct the models used in this area, taking as a starting point an alternative Hotelling rule that is more in line with geological realities. More generally, their study questions the theoretical instruments used to address energy and environmental issues today. History, and in this case the history of economic thought, can help to take a fresh look at tools that, although considered well established, still deserve to be questioned.

More information: Roberto Ferreira da Cunha et al. The Hotelling rule in non-renewable resource economics: A reassessment, *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique* (2020). DOI: 10.1111/caje.12444

Provided by CNRS

Citation: A century of misunderstanding of a key tool in the economics of natural resources (2020, May 11) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2020-05-century-key-tool-economics-natural.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.