


Pacific marine national monuments do not harm fishing industry

February 20 2020



Papahanaumokuakea expansion boundaries. Credit: NOAA

New scientific findings released today in the peer-reviewed journal *Nature Communications*, show that expansion Aof the Pacific Remote Islands and Papahanaumokuakea marine national monuments did not cause overall economic harm to the Hawaii-based longline tuna fishing

fleet.

The results of this study, which was supported by the Pew Bertarelli Ocean Legacy Project, represent the first economic analysis of two of the largest protected areas on Earth. The monuments protect an area larger than the land mass of Alaska, Texas and California combined. The study co-authors, led by John Lynham, professor in the Department of Economics at the University of Hawai'i at Manoa, analyzed observer records of individual fishing events, logbook summary reports, and detailed satellite data on vessel movements.

"By analyzing independently collected data, we found that catch per unit effort has increased overall for the Hawaii-based longline industry following each expansion," says Lynham. "The bottom line is that these monuments are not causing substantial economic losses to the fishery."

In 2014 and 2016, President Barack Obama significantly expanded the monuments, collectively protecting a little more than 1 million square miles (2.78 million square kilometers) of healthy coral reefs, undocumented deep-sea creatures, and large predatory species such as sharks, tuna, and marine mammals. This built upon actions taken by President George W. Bush—who designated both the Pacific Remote Islands and Papahānaumokuākea marine national monuments in 2006 and 2009, respectively.



Reef fish Credit: NOAA

"The effect of a marine protected area on a fishery is often difficult to assess because of the many factors that affect catch such as ocean conditions, prices, and changing regulations. A strength of this study is that by comparing the longline tuna fishery to two other fisheries unaffected by the monument designation we've controlled for factors that could be biasing the results. Our conclusion from this is clear: Papahānaumokuākea and the Pacific Remote Islands marine national monuments have not hurt the fishing industry overall. This is not too surprising when you consider that, in 2015, when Papahānaumokuākea was still open to fishing, 97% of tuna fishing was taking place outside the [monument](#) in waters that are still open to fishing today."

Prior to the expansion of the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument, representatives of the longline [fishing industry](#) in Hawaii argued that the expansion would result in direct losses as high as \$10 million annually, and that total indirect losses to the fishing-dependent economy could reach \$30 million. The new analysis shows that after the expansions, the Hawaii-based longline industry has been catching more [fish](#), while the distance fleet the travels has remained unchanged. Moreover, the total catch and total revenue in the fishery have increased since the expansions began. Specifically, the average revenue from 2014 to 2017 was 13.7 percent higher than from 2010 to 2013.

More information: John Lynham et al, Impact of two of the world's largest protected areas on longline fishery catch rates, *Nature Communications* (2020). [DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-14588-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14588-3)

Provided by University of Hawaii at Manoa

Citation: Pacific marine national monuments do not harm fishing industry (2020, February 20) retrieved 5 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2020-02-pacific-marine-national-monuments-fishing.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--