

Government grants deliver highest returns for college financing, says study

February 3 2020

Government grants deliver highest returns to finance higher education, says study

A new joint study of education policy in the United States shows that the existing student aid program of grants and subsidized loans increases both welfare and efficiency in the U.S. economy.

Further expanding grant programs based academic performance would be even more economically beneficial.

The current level of federal aid (grants & loans) is welfare improving and accounts for **over 4% of GDP**, with the **grants and loans being of roughly equal importance.**

2012 figures show the U.S.

Returns on investment from college subsidies are large: **one-third of ability tested** grant recipients earn an **extra \$2,300 per year** over their working life.

A new joint study of education policy in the United States shows that the existing student aid program of grants and subsidized loans increases both welfare and efficiency in the U.S. economy. Credit: Vancouver School of Economics/University of British Columbia

Merit-based grants are a government's best bet for providing effective student aid for long-term economic growth—increasing both welfare

(measured in terms of long-term well-being outcomes) and efficiency, according to a new joint study from the University of British Columbia, Queen's, Princeton and Yale. The study focuses on current education policy in the United States, and finds that the current system of grants and loans has significant long-term value.

Figures from 2012 show the U.S. [federal government](#) spends around \$150 billion on grants and loans annually. Given such a sizeable investment, the researchers wanted to test the effectiveness of such spending and found the current amount of federal aid is extremely valuable.

"We found that a \$1000 increase in grants per year for every [student](#), which corresponds to roughly a 50 per cent increase on average, would lead to a long-run gain in GDP of close to one per cent," said study co-author Giovanni Gallipoli, an associate professor at the Vancouver School of Economics at UBC. "This is a comparatively large return on investment."

The study finds grants remain the most effective at improving the country's overall welfare, more so than loans or tax cuts. The study's economic modelling shows that one third of ability-tested [grant](#) recipients make an extra \$2,300 per year in earnings over their entire careers, confirming the high return per dollar spent.

The researchers say there will be [additional benefits](#) if grant programs are further expanded, especially those based on academic performance and merit.

The researchers argue ability-tested grants work best because they prioritize those students who are likely to have the highest returns to college attendance and who are most likely to complete a [college education](#), irrespective of family and social background. These students

have their tuition funded, based on grades and [test scores](#), deriving large gains from their degree in the labour market.

The researchers do recognize that this method may have potential flaws because students from well-off families could still have an advantage to receive grants based on performance. These students would have greater access to different supports or resources, like tutors or mentors, to advance their cognitive skills that are simply not available to working class children with the same or similar abilities. For this reason, the researchers do see a significant benefit in keeping a portion of federal aid based on need intact.

"This approach also benefits non-recipients, through overall economic growth," said Gallipoli. "One key finding of the study is that expanding post-[secondary education](#) for any given generation reduces the cost of human capital accumulation for future generations to come."

Removing tuition grants completely would result in a drop in college attainment of more than three percentage points, and reduce output and welfare by two and three per cent respectively.

"Without grants, the student body would possess lower skills, and the system would become much more reliant on parental wealth and transfers," said Gallipoli.

Gallipoli also said the study's findings could have implications for education policy outside of the U.S., including here in Canada.

"The U.S. system, through a mix of grants and subsidized loans, funds [education](#) for students from very poor backgrounds quite well, provided they do well academically," he said. "In Canada, similar funding doesn't really exist, nor is it as readily available or as expansive to such a group."

The study, Education Policy and Intergenerational Transfers in Equilibrium, was published in December 2019 in *The Journal of Political Economy*.

More information: Brant Abbott et al, Education Policy and Intergenerational Transfers in Equilibrium, *Journal of Political Economy* (2018). [DOI: 10.1086/702241](https://doi.org/10.1086/702241)

Provided by University of British Columbia

Citation: Government grants deliver highest returns for college financing, says study (2020, February 3) retrieved 8 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2020-02-grants-highest-college.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.