

# Planting trees is no substitute for natural forests

August 7 2019, by Dr Kate Dooley And Brendan Mackey



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Tomorrow a <u>special report</u> on how land use affects climate change will be released by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Land degradation, deforestation, and the expansion of our deserts, along with agriculture and the other ways people shape land, are all major



contributors to global climate change.

Conversely, <u>trees</u> remove <u>carbon dioxide</u> and store it safely in their trunks, roots and branches. Research published in July estimated that <u>planting a trillion trees</u> could be a powerful tool against <u>climate</u> change.

However, planting new trees as a climate action pales in comparison to protecting existing forests. Restoring degraded forests and expanding them by 350 million hectares will store a <u>comparable amount of carbon</u> as 900 million hectares of new trees.

## **Natural climate solutions**

Using ecological mechanisms for reducing and storing <u>carbon</u> is a growing field of study. Broadly known as "<u>natural climate solutions</u>," carbon can be stored in wetlands, grasslands, natural forests and agriculture.

This is called "sequestration," and the more diverse and longer-lived the ecosystem, the more it helps mitigate the effect of climate change.

Research has <u>estimated</u> these natural carbon sinks can provide 37% of the CO<sub>2</sub> reduction needed to keep the rise in global temperatures below 2°C.

But this research can be wrongly interpreted to imply that the priority is to plant young trees. In fact, the major climate solution is the protection and recovery of carbon-rich and long-lived ecosystems, especially natural forests.

With the imminent release of the new IPCC report, now is a good time to prioritise the protection and recovery of existing ecosystems over planting trees.



Forest ecosystems (including the soil) store more carbon than the atmosphere. Their loss would <u>trigger emissions</u> that would exceed the remaining carbon budget for limiting global warming to less than the 2°C above pre-industrial levels, let alone 1.5°C, threshold.

Natural <u>forest</u> systems, with their rich and complex biodiversity, the product of ecological and evolutionary processes, are stable, resilient, far better at <u>adapting to changing conditions</u> and <u>store more carbon</u> than young, degraded or plantation forests.



Credit: AI-generated image (<u>disclaimer</u>)

#### **Protect existing trees**

Forest degradation is caused by selective logging, temporary clearing,



and other human land use. In some areas, emissions from degradation can <u>exceed those of deforestation</u>. Once damaged, natural ecosystems are more vulnerable to drought, fires and climate change.

Recently published research found <u>helping natural forest regrow</u> can have a globally significant effect on carbon dioxide levels. This approach—called proforestation—is a more effective, immediate and low-cost method for removing and storing atmospheric carbon in the long-term than tree planting. And it can be used across many different kinds of forests around the world.

Avoiding further loss and degradation of primary forests and intact forest landscapes, and allowing degraded forests to naturally regrow, would reduce global carbon emissions annually by about 1 gigatonnes (Gt), and reduce another 2-4 Gt of carbon emissions just through natural regrowth.

Research has predicted that protecting primary forests while allowing degraded forests to recover, along with limited expansion of natural forests, would remove <u>153 billion tonnes of carbon from the atmosphere between now and 2150</u>.

<u>Every country with forests</u> can contribute to this effort. In fact, research shows that <u>community land management</u> is the best way to improve natural forests and help trees recover from degradation.

# By the numbers

Tree planting carries more limited climate benefits. The recent Science paper focused on mapping and quantifying increases in tree canopy cover in areas that naturally support trees. However, increasing canopy cover through natural forest regeneration can sequester 40 times more carbon over the course of the century than establishing new plantations.



We need to think very carefully about how we use land that has already been cleared: land is a finite resource, and we need to grow food and resources for a global population set to hit <u>9 billion by 2050</u>.

Any expansion of <u>natural forest</u> area is best achieved through allowing degraded forests to naturally recover. Allowing trees to regenerate naturally, using nearby remnants of primary forests and seed banks in the soil of recently cleared forests, is <u>more likely to result in a resilient and diverse forest</u> than planting massive numbers of seedlings.

Instead of planting entirely new areas, we should prioritize reconnecting forested areas and restoring the edges of forest, to protect their mature core. This means our carbon-storing forests will be more resilient and longer-lasting.

For forests to effectively help avert dangerous <u>climate change</u>, global and regional policies are needed to protect, restore and regenerate natural forests, alongside a carbon-zero energy economy.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

### Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Planting trees is no substitute for natural forests (2019, August 7) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2019-08-trees-substitute-natural-forests.html">https://phys.org/news/2019-08-trees-substitute-natural-forests.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.