

## Seeing smaller through cells: A natural singlecell biomagnifier for subwavelength imaging







Schematic illustration and material characterization. (a) Schematic illustration of the experimental setup. A conventional reflection-mode microscope equipped with a CCD camera and ×100 objective lens was used to observe samples and record images. The inset shown in a PC screen schematically depicting how the biomagnifier is used to magnify and image the subcellular structures inside a bio sample. (b) SEM image of the fiber tip with a diameter of 1.0  $\mu$ m at its tapered end. (c) SEM image showing yeast cell-based biomagnifiers with smooth surfaces and spherical shapes. d-f Dark-field images showing 644-nm red light (d), 532-nm green light (e), and 473-nm blue light (f) transmitting through the biomagnifier and being focused into subwavelength light spots with waist radii of 370, 300, and 270 nm, respectively. Credit: Light: Science & Applications, doi: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4

Optical microscopes and tweezers can image and manipulate objects at the microscale for applications in cellular and molecular biology. The optical resolution is, however, hampered by the <u>diffraction limit</u> and therefore both microscopes and tweezers are unable to image and manipulate nano-objects directly. Emerging techniques in plasmonic/photonic nanoscopes and <u>nanotweezers</u> aim to achieve nanometer-scale resolution, although high-index material structures can easily cause mechanical and photothermal damage to the nanoscale biospecimens.

In a recent study now published on *Light: Science & Applications*, Yuchao Li and colleagues at the Institute of Nanophotonics in China, developed an optical microscope system using living cells as tiny lenses to image and manipulate objects smaller than the <u>wavelength of light</u>. They showed sub-diffraction-limit imaging and manipulation of nano-objects with a non-invasive device, which they constructed by trapping a cell on a fiber tip. The trapped cell formed a biomagnifier that could magnify nanostructures with a resolution of 100 nm, under white light



microscopy. Using the biomagnifier, Li et al. formed a nano-optical trap to precisely manipulate an individual nanoparticle with a 50 nm radius. The technique provides a high-precision tool for <u>optical imaging</u>, sensing and assembly of bio-nanomaterials without mechanical or photothermal damage.

Optical imaging to manipulate small objects is crucial for <u>medical</u> <u>diagnosis</u>, <u>biological sensing</u>, <u>cellular exploration</u>, <u>molecular training</u> and <u>materials assembly</u>. Tweezers and microscopes are <u>standard devices for</u> <u>noncontact imaging</u> and manipulation of minute samples ranging from a few nanometers to several microns. Nevertheless, it is challenging to use the technology <u>to image at the nanoscale</u>, since optical resolution is restricted to approximately half the illumination wavelength.

Scientists have achieved dramatic progress of near-field nanoscopes and nanotweezers in the past few decades to achieve <u>optical imaging at</u> <u>nanometer resolution</u>. These <u>imaging techniques</u> were withheld by high-index inorganic materials such as <u>noble metals and semiconductors</u> used for their fabrication—that can mechanically damage samples of biological cells or tissue during near-field imaging and manipulation.

Scientists therefore investigated <u>simpler optical imaging schemes</u> based on dielectric microspheres to overcome the <u>diffraction limit</u> common to conventional microscopes. While the technique is label-free and feasible, such microspheres are based on artificial inorganic materials such as silicon dioxide (SiO<sub>2</sub>), titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) and barium titanate (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>). Researchers are therefore interested in developing a natural biomaterial to construct a biocompatible device for bioimaging, manipulation and biomagnification at nanoscale spatial resolution.





(a) Schematic illustration of the experimental setup. A conventional reflectionmode microscope equipped with a CCD camera and  $\times 100$  objective lens was used to observe samples and record images. The inset shown in a PC screen schematically depicting how the biomagnifier is used to magnify and image the subcellular structures inside a bio sample. Credit: Light: Science & Applications, doi: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4

Li et al. selected biological cells to replace microspheres since cells are both abundant and biocompatible in contact with biological systems. For instance, scientists can use living cells to manipulate light in biological environments and act as <u>optofluidic microlenses</u>, <u>optical probes</u> and even incorporate *E.coli* as <u>biophotonic waveguides</u>. In the present work, Li et al. enhanced the index contrast of living cells by using a spherical shape semi-immersed in a medium to achieve focusing at the sub-wavelength. The scientists captured biological <u>images</u> using the subdiffraction light spot to illuminate targeted samples along with white-light microscopy. The nano-sized light spot exerted a strong optical gradient force to trap and manipulate a single nanoparticle enabling the biomagnifier to also



function as an optical nanotweezer.

The scientists conducted all experiments under a reflection-mode optical microscope coupled to a charge-coupled device (CCD) camera and objective lens. They used light sources at 390 nm, 560 nm and 808 nm for excitation, illumination and trapping respectively. Using an optical fiber with a tapered tip, Li et al. trapped the biomagnifier at the end of the fiber, which they controlled by moving the tip using a micromanipulator. Li et al. selected smooth and spherical cells to minimize image aberration and noted the cells to exhibit better focusing performance when semi-immersed in solution to maintain cell viability.





Experimental imaging performance of different biomagnifiers. (a) Schematic diagram showing that the biomagnifier collects the near-field nanostructures from an object and forms a virtually magnified image that can be captured by a conventional optical microscope. (b–e) Optical images of different biomagnifiers constructed from bacterial (b), yeast (c), red blood (d), and stem cells (e) that are partially submerged in cell suspension. f SEM image of a two-dimensional hexagonal close-packed silica nanosphere array assembled by a photopheresis technique. (g–j) Optical images of the silica nanosphere array magnified through biomagnifiers based on bacterial (g), yeast (h), red blood (i), and stem cells (j). (k) SEM image of the surface of a Blu-ray disk grating with a line width of 200 nm and spacing of 100 nm. l–o Optical images of the Blu-ray



grating structure magnified through biomagnifiers based on bacterial (l), yeast (m), red blood (n), and stem cells (o). p Intensity profile along the dotted line across the Blu-ray grating structure indicated in o. q Blue dots showing the magnification factor M of the images obtained by the biomagnifiers as a function of the biomagnifier diameter. Credit: Light: Science & Applications, doi: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4

During experimental imaging, the scientists positioned a semisubmerged biomagnifier on top of a test sample and collected the underlying near-field information from the sample, to form a <u>virtual</u> <u>image</u> as detected by an optical microscope. Li et al. prepared a variety of biomagnifiers using diverse cells including bacteria, yeast, red blood cells and stem cells. For the first imaging sample, they used a twodimensional hexagonal silica nanosphere array with a 200 nm diameter on a glass substrate using a <u>photophoretic technique</u>.

Only nanospheres with biomagnifiers on top of them could be resolved during imaging, whereas nanospheres without biomagnifiers could not be resolved using a conventional microscope. The magnification factor M of the stem-cell based biomagnifiers was determined to be 3.3 times larger (x3.3), and the scientists showed the experimental M depended on the biomagnifier's diameter. Subsequently, Li et al. performed all experiments using biomagnifiers of this diameter.





Nano-optical imaging of subcellular structures and nanopatterned letters. (a, b) Optical images of the subcellular structures of a human epithelial cell using a conventional optical microscope (a) and biomagnifiers (b). The positions of four biomagnifiers are marked as A–D. For comparison, the biomagnifiers can resolve the fibrous cytoskeleton (indicated as A–C) inside the cell and two-layer structures (indicated as D) on the cell membrane, which are indistinguishable by the conventional microscope. c–e SEM (c), dark-field (d), and optical images (e) of nanopatterned letters JNU representing the acronym of Jinan University. The line width of the nanopatterned letters is 100 nm, which is smaller than the diffraction-limit resolution of the conventional optical microscope. f–h Optical images showing that the biomagnifier trapped on the fiber tip can scan and



image the nanopatterned letters J (f), N (g), and U (h) by moving the fiber. The line width of the nanopatterned letters was magnified from 100 to 400 nm. Credit: Light: Science & Applications, doi: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4

To investigate the applications of biomagnifiers, Li et al. imaged <u>human</u> <u>epithelial cells</u> as imaging targets by growing epithelial cells on a mirror substrate for enhanced light-matter interactions via the interference of the illumination light and reflection light. While it was difficult to distinguish the <u>fibrous cytoskeleton</u> and bilayer structures under a conventional optical microscope, after positioning a biomagnifier on top of the epithelial <u>cells</u> the scientists were able to resolve both structures. To improve the imaging field of view (FOV), they trapped the biomagnifier on a fiber tip and moved it to scan the samples. For example, Li et al. used the setup to scan nanopatterned letters that stood for an acronym of Jinan University—JNU, which they first created on silicon using <u>electron-beam lithography</u>.





LEFT: Optical manipulation of a single fluorescent nanoparticle. (a) Schematic diagram showing a fluorescent nanoparticle suspended on the surface of a mirror and trapped by the biomagnifier. (b) SEM image showing the PS fluorescent nanoparticles with an average radius of 50 nm. (c) Emission spectrum showing the central emission wavelength of the fluorescent nanoparticles located at 600 nm. (d-f) Optical images show the trapping process of a single PS nanoparticle with the biomagnifier. The process consisted of three successive steps: before trapping (d), during trapping (e), and after release (f). g-i Fluorescence images showing the fluorescence spot of the PS nanoparticle before being trapped (g), during trapping (h), and after release (i). j–l Three-dimensional color mapping of the fluorescence spots of the nanoparticle as shown in g-i. m Real-time trace of the position of the trapped nanoparticle in the x and y directions. (n) Trapping potential of the trapped nanoparticle in the x and y directions with parabola fittings. (o) Composite fluorescence images show the movement trace of the trapped nanoparticle in the x-y plane by controlled movement of the biomagnifier. RIGHT: Numerical simulation and calculation. (a-c) Optical intensity distributions of light focusing by a 4-µm biomagnifier fully immersed in water (a), semi-immersed in water (b), and suspended on the surface of a mirror (c). The illumination light source was set as a Gaussian beam with a wavelength of 560 nm. (d–f) Optical intensity distributions of the light spots from the biomagnifier corresponding to (a-c) in the x-z plane. (g) Optical intensity profiles at the focal planes of the output light from the biomagnifiers in the x direction. (h) FEM simulation results for the normalized waist of the light spot w/ $\lambda$  (w is the waist radius of the light spot and  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the input light) and the ratio D/d (the width of the linear region where light enters the biomagnifier at its front surface is referred to as D, and the width of the output light beam at the rear surface is (d) as a function of the biomagnifier diameter. (i) Simulated intensity distribution of near-infrared trapping light showing that a nanoparticle (radius: 50 nm) is trapped in the gap between the biomagnifier and mirror. The input optical power of the trapping light was set to 10 mW. (j) Simulated optical forces of the nanoparticle trapped in the light spot as a function of the nanoparticle position along the x direction. (k) Calculated trapping potential of the trapped nanoparticle as a function of the position along the x direction. Credit: Light: Science & Applications, doi: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4



Thereafter, when they simultaneously irradiated near infrared (IR) and UV laser beams on the biomagnifier through an objective lens, they could trap and excite the nanoparticles. For these experiments, the scientists used fluorescent nanoparticles with a 50 nm average radius. When they trapped a single nanoparticle in the focus of a biomagnifier, they observed both optical and fluorescent images of the sample of interest. Li et al. then calculated the trapping stiffness of the particle in real-time using standard optical tweezers. The ability to manipulate a single nanoparticle without contact and precisely via optics will be useful to assemble well-regulated nanostructures. When Li et al. numerically investigated the imaging mechanism and trapping stiffness of biomagnifiers using 3-D simulation and <u>COMSOL software</u>. They observed the subdiffraction-limit light focusing ability resulted from a combined "photonic nanojet" effect and coherent interference enhancement by the mirror.

Limitations of the method included imaging aberration and distortion due to the inhomogeneous intracellular structures of the natural biomagnifier, compared to dielectric microspheres with uniform refractive indices. Fortunately, intracellular materials were optically transparent to visible and near-infrared light and the optical interactions were relatively weak inside a single cell. Intracellular activities could also change the partial refractive index distribution in a cell to cause light distortion during trapping and imaging, but most intracellular activities were ultrafast and did not influence the imaging scheme.

In this way, Yuchao Li and colleagues developed a new experimental imaging technique and verified the experimental capabilities with FEM simulations. Li et al. integrated optical nanoscopes and nanotweezers in a single device to image and manipulate nanostructures simultaneously for the first time in the present work. They promoted the resolution of the technique to 100 nm and proposed a label-free imaging procedure. The scientists envision the living biomagnifier to open new opportunities in



super-resolution imaging, real-time sensing and precise nano-assembly of bionanomaterials to form nanoarchitectures of interest.

**More information:** Yuchao Li et al. Single-cell biomagnifier for optical nanoscopes and nanotweezers, *Light: Science & Applications* (2019). DOI: 10.1038/s41377-019-0168-4

Hamid Pahlevaninezhad et al. Nano-optic endoscope for high-resolution optical coherence tomography in vivo, *Nature Photonics* (2018). DOI: 10.1038/s41566-018-0224-2

Bo Huang et al. Breaking the Diffraction Barrier: Super-Resolution Imaging of Cells, *Cell* (2010). DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.002

© 2019 Science X Network

Citation: Seeing smaller through cells: A natural single-cell biomagnifier for subwavelength imaging (2019, July 22) retrieved 1 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2019-07-smaller-cells-natural-single-cell-biomagnifier.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.