

# Plants don't think, they grow: The case against plant consciousness

July 3 2019

---



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

If a tree falls, and no one's there to hear it, does it feel pain and loneliness? No, experts argue in an opinion article publishing on July 3rd in the journal *Trends in Plant Science*. They draw this conclusion from

the research of Todd Feinberg and Jon Mallatt, which explores the evolution of consciousness through comparative studies of simple and complex animal brains.

"Feinberg and Mallatt concluded that only vertebrates, arthropods, and cephalopods possess the threshold brain structure for consciousness. And if there are animals that don't have consciousness, then you can be pretty confident that [plants](#), which don't even have neurons—let alone brains—don't have it either," says Lincoln Taiz, Professor Emeritus of molecular, cell, and [developmental biology](#) at University of California at Santa Cruz.

The topic of whether plants can think, learn, and intentionally choose their actions has been under debate since the establishment of plant neurobiology as a field in 2006 (10.1016/j.tplants.2006.06.009). Taiz was an original signer of a letter, also in *Trends in Plant Science* (10.1016/j.tplants.2007.03.002), arguing against the suggestion that plants have neurobiology to study at all.

"The biggest danger of anthropomorphizing plants in research is that it undermines the objectivity of the researcher," Taiz says. "What we've seen is that plants and animals evolved very different life strategies. The brain is very expensive organ, and there's absolutely no advantage to the plant to have a highly developed [nervous system](#)."

Plant neurobiology proponents draw parallels between electrical signaling in plants and nervous systems in animals. But Taiz and his co-authors argue that the proponents draw this parallel by describing the brain as something no more complex than a sponge. The Feinberg-Mallatt model of consciousness, by contrast, describes a specific level of organizational complexity of the [brain](#) that is required for subjective experience.

Plants use [electrical signals](#) in two ways: to regulate the distribution of charged molecules across membranes and to send messages long-distance across the organism. In the former, a plant's leaves might curl up because the movement of ions resulted in movement of water out of the cells, which changes their shape; and in the latter, an insect bite on one leaf might initiate defense responses of distant leaves. Both actions can appear like a plant is choosing to react to a stimulus, but Taiz and his co-authors emphasize that these responses are genetically encoded and have been fine-tuned through generations of natural selection.

"I feel a special responsibility to take a public position because I'm a co-author of a plant physiology textbook," he says. "I know a lot of people in the plant neurobiology community would like to see their field in the textbooks, but so far, there are just too many unanswered questions."

One frequently referenced study on plant learning is the apparent habituation of *Mimosa pudica* (10.1007/s00442-013-2873-7). In this experiment, a plant is dropped, and its leaves curl up in defense. After being dropped many times, but sustaining no serious damage, the leaves stop curling. When the plant is shaken, the leaves do curl, ostensibly ruling out motor fatigue as a cause of the lack of response when dropped.

"The shaking was actually quite violent. Because the shaking stimulus was stronger than the dropping stimulus, it doesn't definitively rule out sensory adaptation, which doesn't involve learning," Taiz argues.

"Related experiments with peas purporting to show Pavlovian classical conditioning are also problematical because of the lack of sufficient controls."

Taiz and his co-authors hope that further research will address the questions left unanswered by current plant neurobiology experiments by using more stringent conditions and controls.

**More information:** *Trends in Plant Science*, Taiz et al.: "Plants Neither Possess Nor Require Consciousness" [www.cell.com/trends/plant-sci ...](http://www.cell.com/trends/plant-sci...)  
[1360-1385\(19\)30126-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.008) , DOI: [10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2019.05.008)

Provided by Cell Press

Citation: Plants don't think, they grow: The case against plant consciousness (2019, July 3)  
retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-07-dont-case-consciousness.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.