

Limiting warming to 2 degrees C will require emission cuts across entire food system

June 5 2019



A food market in Nicaragua. Credit: Adriana Varón / CIAT

Agriculture and the food system have long been challenging subjects for climate negotiators at the United Nations' annual gathering to advance the global agenda on climate change. Issues related to mitigation, fiscal



responsibility, subsidies, food sovereignty, cultural identity and national agriculture-based economies have long made the topics taboo, says Ana María Loboguerrero, the head of Global Policy Research of the CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), led by the International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT).

Better understanding <u>agriculture</u> and the <u>food system</u>'s unique place in <u>climate change</u>—as both drivers of climate change and victims of it—is helping to increase support for climate action. Unfortunately, progress across the food system is lagging, according to a new analysis published in Sustainability by Loboguerrero and CCAFS colleagues. To change this, the researchers are calling for <u>climate change adaptation</u> and mitigation strategies for agriculture to extend to the entire food system.

"If you think about the two-degree increase, efforts need to go beyond the agricultural sector," said Loboguerrero. "This means reducing emissions by stopping deforestation, decreasing food loss and waste, reducing supply chain emissions, and rethinking human diets, if we really want to get on track to that target."

Agriculture is typically lumped together with forestry and land-use to account for about 25 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions. On its own, agriculture accounts for about 10 to 12 percent of all emissions. But up to one-third of food produced is wasted between the farm and the table. This accounts for another 8 percent of global emissions, and if just 25 percent of this waste could be saved, it would be enough to feed 870 million people a year.

The review found that adoption of emission-reducing practices in agriculture is slow. Even under the most optimistic uptake scenarios, studies have shown that by 2030 these practices will only contribute 21-40 percent of a one-gigaton reduction in carbon dioxide (CO_2)



emissions, which would be about 1 percent of current annual CO₂ emissions. On the other hand, food waste reduction presents such an important opportunity for reducing emissions intensity. Across regions, agricultural production wastes about one-third of food in the production stage. In <u>rich countries</u>, most of the loss comes in the consumption stage.

"Food loss and waste is a big opportunity," Loboguerrero said.

"Addressing this issue can reduce emissions intensity, potentially improve global nutrition and boost the bottom line for smallholders, who are hardest hit by losses on the farm."

Another challenge in bringing down emissions from agriculture is the projected growth of meat and dairy production. By 2000, the sector contributed an estimated 18 percent of all human-caused greenhouse gas emissions, when accounting for related deforestation and land-use change as well. Given current trends in population growth and meat consumption, the sector could account for about a quarter of all emissions by 2050.





Traditional livestock system in Colombia. Credit: Neil Palmer / CIAT

"Reducing meat and dairy emissions needs to be a priority," said Lini Wollenberg, Flagship Leader of CCAFS' low-emissions development research. "And the good news is that we have lots of options in the pipeline, ranging from low-emissions cattle to meat alternatives. We need more research and development to make some of these options a reality."

Planned migration and other hard discussions

The review analyzes some 160 papers across global agriculture and food systems, but focuses primarily on smallholder farming and <u>food</u> supply



chains, which sustain as many as 2.5 billion people. Small holders contribute about one-third of global agriculture emissions (or about 3-4 percent of the global total) but smallholders are disproportionately exposed to climate risk.

Many farmers can adapt, provided access to the proper tools. These include technology that can help increase smallholders' access to climate information and markets, insurance schemes that can reduce risk of crop loss, government support including social safety nets, and the implementation of climate-smart agriculture (which seeks to increase smallholder productivity, help farms adapt to climate change and reduce their contribution to climate change).

But desertification, <u>sea-level rise</u>, soil degradation, and other inevitable changes have placed hundreds of millions of people on an irreversible track to migration, even if rapid global action is taken on climate.

"There are some farmers that shouldn't do agriculture at all due to already precarious conditions that do not allow them to ensure a meaningful livelihood, and with <u>climate</u> change, it is going to make it worse," Loboguerrero said. "If that migration is going to happen anyway, then policymakers and planners need to really start thinking about this and how they can work with these migrants to help them find better livelihoods where they migrate."

Back at the negotiation table, Loboguerrero says adaptation—learning to cope with the problem—is a far easier sell than mitigation—reducing emissions—which is often seen as constraining farming options and increasing costs. This is the case for some economies that depend heavily on agriculture. In the long term however, the costs of adaptation will possibly be larger, and reducing emissions now will help reduce the need to adapt.



"There is a lot of tension and you can see it when you go into the negotiations. Some countries don't even want to begin discussions on the mitigation side of things," Loboguerrero said. "Adaptation is something that everyone, however, agrees is necessary. Everyone is keen on discussing adaptation. Some adaptation measures have the co-benefit for mitigation. It's like an entrance to discussing some things at some points that are a little bit taboo in the negotiations."

More information: Ana Maria Loboguerrero et al, Food and Earth Systems: Priorities for Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation for Agriculture and Food Systems, *Sustainability* (2019). DOI: 10.3390/su11051372

Provided by International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT)

Citation: Limiting warming to 2 degrees C will require emission cuts across entire food system (2019, June 5) retrieved 10 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2019-06-limiting-degrees-require-emission-entire.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.