

# Why plants don't die from cancer

June 24 2019, by Stuart Thompson

---



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

Chernobyl has become a byword for catastrophe. The 1986 nuclear disaster, recently brought back into the public eye by the hugely popular [TV show](#) of the same name, [caused thousands of cancers](#), turned a once populous area into a ghost city, and resulted in the setting up of an exclusion zone 2600km<sup>2</sup> in size.

But Chernobyl's exclusion zone isn't devoid of life. [Wolves, boars](#) and

[bears](#) have returned to the lush forests surrounding the old nuclear plant. And when it comes to vegetation, all but the most [vulnerable and exposed plant life](#) never died in the first place, and even in the most radioactive areas of the zone, vegetation was recovering [within three years](#).

Humans and other mammals and birds would have been killed [many times over](#) by the radiation that plants in the most contaminated areas received. So why is plant life so resilient to radiation and [nuclear disaster](#) ?

To answer this question, we first need to understand how radiation from nuclear reactors affects living [cells](#). Chernobyl's radioactive material is "unstable" because it is constantly firing out [high energy particles](#) and waves that [smash cellular structures](#) or produce reactive chemicals which attack the cells' machinery.

Most parts of the cell are replaceable if damaged, but DNA is a crucial exception. At higher radiation doses, DNA becomes garbled and cells die quickly. Lower doses can cause subtler damage in the form of [mutations](#) altering the way that the cell functions—for example, causing it to become cancerous, multiply uncontrollably, and spread to other parts of the body.

In [animals](#) this is often fatal, because their cells and systems are highly specialized and inflexible. Think of animal biology as an intricate machine in which each cell and organ has a place and purpose, and [all parts must work and cooperate for the individual to survive](#). A human cannot manage without a brain, heart or lungs.

Plants, however, develop in a much more flexible and organic way. Because they can't move, they have no choice but to adapt to the circumstances in which they find themselves. Rather than having a

defined structure as an animal does, plants [make it up](#) as they go along. Whether they grow deeper roots or a taller stem [depends on](#) the balance of chemical signals from other parts of the plant and the "wood wide web," as well as light, temperature, water and nutrient conditions.

Critically, unlike animal cells, almost all plant cells are able to create new cells of whatever type the plant needs. This is why a gardener can grow new plants from cuttings, with roots sprouting from what was once a stem or leaf.

All of this means that plants can replace dead cells or tissues [much more easily](#) than animals, whether the damage is due to being attacked by an animal or to radiation.



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

And while radiation and other types of DNA damage can cause tumors in plants, mutated cells are generally not able to spread from one part of the plant to another as cancers do, thanks to the [rigid, interconnecting walls](#) surrounding plant cells. Nor are [such tumors fatal](#) in the vast majority of cases, because the plant can find ways to work around the malfunctioning tissue.

Interestingly, in addition to this innate resilience to radiation, some plants in the Chernobyl exclusion zone seem to be using extra mechanisms to [protect](#) their DNA, changing its chemistry to make it [more resistant to damage](#), and turning on systems to [repair](#) it if this doesn't work. Levels of natural radiation on the Earth's surface were [much higher](#) in the distant past when early plants were evolving, so plants in the exclusion zone may be drawing upon adaptations dating back to this time in order to survive.

## **A new lease of life**

Life is now thriving around Chernobyl. Populations of many plant and animal species are actually [greater](#) than they were before the disaster.





Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

Given the tragic loss and shortening of human lives associated with Chernobyl, this [resurgence of nature](#) may surprise you. Radiation does have [demonstrably harmful](#) effects on [plant life](#), and may shorten the lives of individual [plants](#) and animals. But if life-sustaining resources are in abundant enough supply and burdens are not fatal, then life will flourish.

Crucially, the burden brought by [radiation](#) at Chernobyl is less severe than the benefits reaped from humans leaving the area. Now essentially one of Europe's largest nature preserves, the ecosystem supports more life than before, even if each individual cycle of that [life](#) lasts a little less.

In a way, the Chernobyl disaster reveals the true extent of our

environmental impact on the planet. Harmful as it was, the nuclear accident was far less destructive to the local ecosystem than we were. In driving ourselves away from the area, we have created space for nature to return.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why plants don't die from cancer (2019, June 24) retrieved 10 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-06-dont-die-cancer.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--