

# Rainforest conservation in Peru must become more effective

April 8 2019

---



Renzo Giudice (center) visited several indigenous communities in Peru that participated in the conservation program and asked them about their experiences. Credit: © Photo: Renzo Giudice/Uni Bonn

A few years ago, the Peruvian government launched a program to protect the rainforest. However, an analysis by the Center for Development Research (ZEF) at the University of Bonn shows that its effect is small. But the researchers also have good news: Three measures could probably significantly increase effectiveness. The study is now published in the journal *Environmental Research Letters*.

In their publication, the scientists analyze Peru's National Forest Conservation Program (NFCP). It was launched by the Peruvian government in 2010 to curb the deforestation of the [rainforest](#). The program is aimed at [indigenous communities](#) in the Amazon region and is mainly funded from public Peruvian sources and by international donors.

Communities that succeed in effectively curbing deforestation in their territory and establishing sustainable production systems receive a compensation payment, the equivalent of \$3 per hectare of forest per year. They also receive technical assistance to implement the sustainable production systems. "We have investigated the extent to which the program has successfully contributed to forest conservation," explains Renzo Giudice. The Peruvian is a Ph.D. candidate and researcher at the ZEF with a DAAD scholarship. His [doctoral thesis](#) is supervised by Prof. Dr. Jan Börner, whose working group investigates the economic aspects of sustainable land use.

Giudice has studied the development of forest cover in 50 indigenous communities participating in the program. For comparison, he used 50 other communities that had not enrolled in the NFCP, but were otherwise very similar to those of the first group. "We were able to prove that the loss of forest among NFCP participants was somewhat lower," he states as a central outcome. "However, this difference was very small."







Renzo Giudice visiting the participating indigenous communities. Credit: ©  
Photo: Renzo Giudice/Uni Bonn

Why was this? Giudice and his colleagues cite two main reasons for this: On the one hand, communities themselves could decide which part of their land they designated as a protected area. "They often chose the strategy that made the most economic sense," explains the scientist: "Particularly areas that are already hardly threatened by deforestation were declared protection zones." These are areas that are unsuitable or inaccessible for agriculture.

## **Violations only punished leniently**

In addition, the government did not consistently punish violations of the program's conditions. The people living in villages in the Amazon region are among Peru's poorest social classes. The NFCP therefore generally has two objectives: on the one hand, to protect the forest, and on the other, to combat poverty. "This is probably the reason why those responsible turned a blind eye when the environmental goal was not achieved," explains Giudice.







The cultivation of cocoa plants is often part of the sustainable production systems implemented by the participating communities. Credit: © Photo: Renzo Giudice/Uni Bonn

The scientists propose that the program be redesigned to increase its effectiveness in a socially responsible way. Corresponding recommendations for action were also made to those responsible for the program in the course of the study. First, the participating communities should have to enroll their entire territory and not only selected parts. And secondly, the government should more closely monitor compliance with the agreed protection goals. Violations of the rules would by no means have to lead to the exclusion of an indigenous community from the program, but only to a reduction in the compensation payment by an amount corresponding to the loss of forest. Land use would then always remain a voluntary decision of the community.

There is also a third point: Those responsible should primarily offer participation in the program to those communities whose areas are most ecologically endangered. While the government had drawn up a list of priority areas in advance, the criteria for this ranking were chosen inappropriately and were not communicated transparently. Some of the funds flowed into areas where the forest is still doing quite well, anyway. "The available money should be distributed more efficiently," Giudice emphasizes. "The aim must be to benefit above all the indigenous communities where the [forest](#) is most threatened."

The Amazon rainforest is regarded as an extremely important ecosystem that is the basis of life for millions of people. Furthermore, it is an important climate factor, not only because of its carbon storage service, but also as a motor for global water cycles.

**More information:** Renzo Giudice et al, Selection biases and spillovers from collective conservation incentives in the Peruvian Amazon, *Environmental Research Letters* (2019). [DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/aafc83](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aafc83)

Provided by University of Bonn

Citation: Rainforest conservation in Peru must become more effective (2019, April 8) retrieved 18 July 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-04-rainforest-peru-effective.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------