

At last, acknowledging royal women's political power

April 16 2019



Profile of queen Ankhnespepy II of Egypt from her funerary temple. Credit: Juan R. Lazaro/Wikimedia

The narratives we tell about the past often feature a cast of familiar main characters: kings and rulers, warriors and diplomats—men who made laws and fought wars, who held power over others in their own lands and beyond. When women enter our stories, we rarely afford them much agency. But across the globe in a variety of societies, royal women found



ways to advance the issues they cared about and advocate for the people important to them.

In a recent paper published in the *Journal of Archaeological Research*, anthropologist Paula Sabloff analyzes the archeological and written records of eight premodern states separated by both time and space, detailing ways that queen rulers and main wives took political action. Her <u>comparative analysis</u> reveals similar patterns in the societies despite the fact that they were isolated from one another.

Sabloff's analysis includes three types of regions: independent states or city-states (including the Mari Kingdom of Old Babylonia, 2000-1600 BC, and Protohistoric Hawai'i, AD 1570-1788); empires (Old Kingdom Egypt, 2686-2181 BC, Late Shang China, 1250-1046 BC, the Aztec Empire, AD 1440-1520, and the Inca Empire, AD 1460-1532); and states in regions that contained both states and empires (Late Classic Maya, AD 600-800, and Postclassic Zapotec, AD 1050-1500).

As Sabloff described in <u>another recent paper</u>, <u>women</u> were often used as bargaining chips, used to form strategic alliances between states through marriage. "Here are examples of, even when women were pawns in marriage, they still ended up with a lot of <u>power</u>," she says. She found remarkable similarities in the types of power that royal women used.

"Queen rulers held nearly the same political power as kings," she explains. "Main wives were active players in determining succession, governing the polity, building inter- and intrapolity alliances, and expanding or defending territory." These women also exerted influence by obligating courtiers and tradesmen through patron-client relationships, interceded on behalf of their relatives, and sometimes spied on or conspired against their royal husbands.

"Political agency wasn't just about waging war," says Sabloff. "It was



about being able to influence policy, to influence who is on the throne. There were levels of agency, but hers was right behind his."

More information: Paula L. W. Sabloff, The Political Agency of Royal Women: A Comparative Analysis of Eight Premodern States According to Societal Rules and Roles, *Journal of Archaeological Research* (2019). DOI: 10.1007/s10814-019-09131-y

Provided by Santa Fe Institute

Citation: At last, acknowledging royal women's political power (2019, April 16) retrieved 11 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2019-04-acknowledging-royal-women-political-power.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.