

Study confirms and ranks nursery value of coastal habitats

March 27 2019, by David Malmquist



Mangroves, like these shown at low tide, provide important nursery habitat for juveniles of many marine species. Credit: © D. Malmquist/VIMS.



A comprehensive analysis of more than 11,000 previous coastal-habitat measurements suggests that mangroves and seagrasses provide the greatest value as "nurseries" for young fishes and invertebrates, providing key guidance for managers of threatened marine resources.

Published today in *Conservation Letters*, the analysis began as a class project at William & Mary's Virginia Institute of Marine Science.

Lead author Jonathan Lefcheck, now a coordinating scientist with the Smithsonian's Tennenbaum Marine Observatories Network, says "Our results confirm the <u>nursery</u> function of a range of structured habitats, which supports their conservation, restoration, and management at a time when our coastal environments are increasingly impacted by human activities."

In addition to mangroves and seagrasses, "structured" <u>marine habitats</u> include marshes, coral and oyster reefs, and patches of rock or rubble. Scientists have long considered these habitats better nursery grounds than flat stretches of seafloor sand or mud because of their many elevated nooks and crannies; the team's analysis was designed to test this idea and determine the relative value of different structured habitats for juveniles of marine species.

"Given how often the word 'nursery' is used to justify marine conservation and management, we thought it was critical to see what the huge body of evidence out there had to say," says Lefcheck. "The good news is we found that most structured habitats often translate to greater abundance, growth, and survival of juvenile fishes, crabs, and shrimps. That means more fish for fisheries and stronger coastal economies, and more protection for endangered species."

In an increasingly common approach called a <u>meta-analysis</u>, the team began by searching the published scientific literature using a long string



of terms including "nursery" or "habitat complexity" and "marine" or "estuary." They used additional criteria to winnow their search results to a core group of 160 studies that had compared the performance of juvenile organisms in structured and unstructured habitats. These studies, conducted worldwide between 1986 and 2016, included 11,236 statistical comparisons of juvenile success. The team then analyzed these comparisons for both general conclusions and specific nuances.



Seagrass beds provide better nursery habitat than flat stretches of seafloor sand or mud because of their many nooks and crannies. Credit: © E. French-E. Shields/VIMS.



Lefcheck and his co-authors say their findings substantiate the general nursery value of structured versus unstructured habitats. All the structured habitats they analyzed—with the exception of kelp and macroalgal "seaweed'—held significantly higher densities of juvenile fishes and invertebrates, and in some cases their resident juveniles exhibited enhanced growth and survival as well.

Focusing on the relative value of specific structured habitats, they found the highest juvenile density in mangroves and seagrasses. There was little difference among the habitats in terms of juvenile growth or survival, except for coral reefs, which had slightly greater juvenile growth compared to seagrasses, mangroves, or macroalgae.

The nursery ground for a nursery study

The idea for the team's study of nursery value took birth during a 2016 graduate-level course taught by Lefcheck-then a VIMS post-doctoral fellow—and VIMS professor Robert Orth. They teamed with graduate students A.J. Johnson, Bruce Pfirrmann, and Bethany Williams; post-doctoral fellow Ashley Smyth; and extramural colleagues Brent Hughes, Doug Rasher, and Michael Beck to undertake their meta-analysis as a classroom assignment.

"Pursuing this as a class project allowed the students to do something beyond the lecture and look at a real-world topic," says Orth. "It was a lot of effort, but the students came through and really worked hard to make it happen."

"The concept of a habitat serving as a nursery has been in mainstream ecology for a long time," he adds, "but there really was no precise definition" until 2001, when Beck, Orth, and colleagues published one in a Bioscience article that has now been cited more than 2,000 times. Simply put, they defined a nursery as any habitat where juveniles of a



species have a greater level of "productivity" per unit area than they do in other habitats. Importantly, they also laid out four factors that researchers could use to test for this increased productivity—juvenile density, growth, survival, and movement to adult habitats. A slew of follow-on studies ensued.

Findings from these follow-on studies formed the basis of the current team's meta-analysis, with the goal of charting progress toward a workable definition of a nursery habitat as put forth in 2001 paper. They consider a workable definition one that managers can apply when prioritizing conservation decisions in the real world of limited resources and competing uses.

Beck, now at the University of California Santa Cruz, says "The beauty of our nursery-roles hypothesis is its simplicity, which is a huge benefit for coastal habitat and fishery managers. Scientists often develop evermore complex models, which managers will never be able to practically measure. In our analysis, we avoid that problem by focusing on simple tests."

Though they found clear evidence that mangroves and seagrasses are important nursery grounds, Lefcheck's team cautions that their analysis was hindered by the relatively small number of studies focused on other, less studied habitats.

"We still don't really know what's going on with oyster reefs and kelp forests," says Lefheck. "So we have more work to do to bring our understanding of those habitats up to what we know from well-studied ones like seagrasses and mangroves." Such knowledge will help clarify the management of those habitats in the same way as it has for seagrasses and mangroves.

The researchers also note they found no studies that had used



recruitment—the survival of juveniles into the adult population—as a measure of a <u>habitat</u>'s nursery value. They encourage such studies as another means to clarify and refine the nursery-role hypothesis.

"We trust this study will serve as a call-to-arms for coastal researchers to refocus their efforts on these other habitats and responses," says Lefcheck. "In the future, we should have an even greater wealth of evidence to show how important coastal ecosystems are for both marine organisms and the human beings that rely on them."

More information: Jonathan S. Lefcheck et al, Are coastal habitats important nurseries? A meta-analysis, *Conservation Letters* (2019). <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.1111/conl.12645</u>

Provided by Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Citation: Study confirms and ranks nursery value of coastal habitats (2019, March 27) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2019-03-nursery-coastal-habitats.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.