

# Research rethinks tutorial teaching

February 20 2019, by Jan Feld, Nicolás Salamanca And Ulf Zoelitz

---



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

Professors and graduate students are at opposite ends of the university hierarchy in terms of experience, qualifications and pay. But at many universities, both do the same job: they teach tutorials offered in parallel with lectures.

Our [research](#) explores whether it makes sense for professors to teach tutorials – and we found it doesn't. They are no more effective as tutorial

instructors than students.

This finding implies that universities can reduce costs or free up professors' time by asking students to teach more tutorials.

## Measuring instructors' effectiveness

We conducted a survey about tutorial instruction in OECD universities. Our [results](#) show that tutorials are used in 63% of OECD universities. At 25% of these institutions, tutorials are taught by students, 29% by professors and 46% by a mixture of the two.

Using professors to teach small groups is expensive, and [reducing costs is a central concern](#) given the [increases in tuition fees](#) and [student debt](#).

We have studied the costs and benefits of using tutorial instructors with different academic ranks, using data from a Dutch [business school](#) that offers four key features. First, tutorials are taught by a wide range of instructors, ranging from bachelor's students to full professors. Second, the school's dataset is large enough (we observe more than 12,000 students) to give us enough statistical power to detect even small differences between instructors.

Third, at this business school students are randomly assigned to instructors of different academic ranks, creating a perfect experiment for seeing whether academic rank matters. Finally, we were able to supplement these already excellent data with measures of students' satisfaction with the course, and students' earnings and job satisfaction after graduation, for some of these students. This is important since instructors might matter in many ways and we need to cast a wide net to capture a range of student outcomes.

## Students just as effective

Overall, our results show that lower-ranked instructors teach tutorials as effectively as higher-ranked ones. The most effective instructors – postdoctoral researchers – increase students grades by less than 0.02 points on a 10-point grade scale compared with student instructors. The differences between all other instructor types, from student instructor and full professor, is smaller than that.

Full professors are also no better than student instructors in improving students' grades in the next related course or job satisfaction and earnings after graduation. We do, however, find that higher-ranked instructors achieve somewhat better course evaluations, but these differences are small.

These findings are counter-intuitive. Yet they are consistent with the general [findings](#) in primary and secondary education that formal education does a poor job at predicting who teaches well.

What could be the reason why all the extra qualification and experience of professors does not translate into better results for their students? The content of [tutorials](#) might be adjusted in a way that students can easily teach them. Further, lower-ranked instructors may compensate for their lack of experience by being better able to relate to students and being more motivated.

## Key implication

The implications of our findings are obvious. Universities can free up resources by not asking their most expensive staff to do a job that students can do equally well. We show that the business school we study can reduce the overall wages they pay to tutorial instructors by 50% if

they only employ student instructors.

There are, of course, reasons why universities might not want to exclusively rely on [student](#) instructors. Students might not be able to teach some more technically advanced master's courses. There might be some research-inactive but tenured professors whose most valuable use of time is tutorial teaching. And, as with other research that rely on data from one institution, future studies need to show whether our results hold in other universities as well.

But even if these studies uncover some benefits to students of being taught by a professor, we would be surprised if these are worth the extra costs.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Research rethinks tutorial teaching (2019, February 20) retrieved 16 August 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-02-rethinks-tutorial.html>

|  |
|--|
| <p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p> |
|--|