

# All publicly funded Australian research could soon be free for you, the taxpayer, to read

February 25 2019, by Ritesh Chugh And Kenneth Howah

---



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

What happens to research that is funded by taxpayers? A lot ends up in subscription-only journals, protected from the eyes of most by a paywall.

But a new initiative known as [Plan S](#) could change that. Plan S focuses on making all publicly funded research immediately fully and freely

available by open access publication.

It sounds like a good idea – but there are possible downsides. This model could potentially undermine [peer review](#), the process vital for ensuring the rigour and quality of published research. It could also increase costs of publication for researchers and funding bodies. So let's do Plan S right.

## **Strong backing in Europe**

Plan S is an initiative of an international consortium of research funders known as [cOAlition S](#). This includes European national funders [UK Research and Innovation](#), the [Science Foundation of Ireland](#), and others. [Charitable foundations](#) such as Wellcome and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation are also signed up.

[Chinese](#) and [Indian](#) officials have expressed their support for this open access publishing movement.

Plan S aims to make scientific publications resulting from publicly funded research by national and European research councils and funding bodies directly available in [open access journals](#) or platforms after 1 January 2020.

Plan S [stipulates](#) that all articles should be published in open access mode only, with no paywalls (including in [hybrid journals](#), where some content is open access and some paid) with the following conditions:

- unrestricted usage and free distribution
- authors retain copyright
- funders or universities pay the open access publication fees.

## Flaws in the current publication system

The ethical base for Plan S is sound and would undoubtedly make sense to most Australians – that is, publications that have been funded by taxpayer dollars should be readily accessible to the [public](#) immediately.

Currently, members of the public and many parts of the research community do not have easy access to research outputs for comment and scrutiny.

Research is hidden behind [paywalls](#) in subscription-only journals. Research institutions spend billions of dollars globally on subscriptions.

Hiding valuable research results – particularly those that were taxpayer-funded – behind paywalls is a drawback of the existing scholarly publication model.

The model has built its reputation on a rigorous peer review process and a strong track record. Unfortunately, although it does highlight [the need for high quality open access journals](#), Plan S lacks adequate detail on this.

This may lead to a proliferation of journals that comply with Plan S but may not have a good history and an efficient review process, thus compromising the publishing of credible results.

## Implications for taxpayer-funded research

The cOAlition S [claims](#) that: "Publication paywalls are withholding a substantial amount of research results from a large fraction of the scientific community and from society as a whole."

The basic philosophy is that, in principle, "[no science should be locked behind paywalls!](#)". The coalition website defines "science" broadly, to include the humanities.

Hence, taxpayers can expect to see research articles resulting from public money freely available online.

If adopted, researchers will need access to more funding, particularly as open access publishing costs can be [as high as US\\$5,000](#) per paper.

The implementation of Plan S could also encourage publishers to increase their publishing prices, as they mitigate potential revenue losses in the transition from a subscription-based model.

Some researchers have labelled Plan S a serious [violation](#) of academic freedom, as it restricts their choice of suitable high-quality publication platforms.

If Australia does not adopt Plan S, it could potentially restrict collaboration, publishing, and funding opportunities with research bodies who subscribe to this ambitious movement.

## **Are Australians ready?**

The basic notion of open access has won wide acceptance. But it's also attracted strong criticism, with some [claiming deleterious effects](#) on young researchers of dividing the world into "Plan S" and "non-Plan S" publications.

Open access is already [a policy](#) of the Australian Research Council (ARC), which requires that: "Any Research Outputs arising from an ARC supported research Project must be made openly accessible within a twelve (12) month period from the date of publication."

However, the same policy stipulates that "contractual obligations" is an acceptable reason for non-compliance within a 12-month period. In effect, this still allows publication contracts to effectively keep research permanently behind paywalls.

A Plan S implementation would disallow this. It would require that authors retain full copyright even after publication, and open access would be required immediately with no 12-month delay.

In this way, Plan S could be seen as merely extending existing Australian funding policy principles.

## **Rethink how we do things**

Despite the potential for downsides, we argue universities and research organisations in Australia should consider aligning their policies with Plan S and promote the [advantages](#) of open access to the research community.

Research funders can consider making [mandatory open access](#) a condition of grant funding.

Plan S will enable the public to freely access publications, enabling them to come to their own conclusions rather than having intermediaries interpret.

Plan S appears to be a wave that is heading this way so Australians, researchers and research organisations in particular, should start thinking and talking about how it might affect things here.

After all, if this level of open access becomes the norm in Europe, China and India – which combined account for more than one-third of [global output](#) of scientific papers – the resulting critical mass would probably

force a progressive action of some kind here.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: All publicly funded Australian research could soon be free for you, the taxpayer, to read (2019, February 25) retrieved 12 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-02-publicly-funded-australian-free-taxpayer.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.