

Fishing and pollution regulations don't help corals cope with climate change

February 19 2019



This image depicts some of the ecosystem services that a healthy reef provides to people. Credit: Bruno et al 2019

A new study from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill reports that protecting coral reefs from fishing and pollution does not help coral populations cope with climate change. The study also concludes that ocean warming is the primary cause of the global decline



of reef-building corals and that the only effective solution is to immediately and drastically reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

The new study published in the *Annual Review of Marine Science* found that <u>coral reefs</u> in areas with fishing and pollution regulations had the same level of decline as the coral reefs in unprotected areas, adding to the growing body of evidence that managed resilience efforts, like fishing and pollution regulations, don't work for coral reefs. This finding has important implications for how to protect reefs and best allocate scarce resources towards marine conservation.

Ocean warming is devastating <u>reef</u>-building corals around the world. About 75 percent of the living coral on the reefs of the Caribbean and south Florida has been killed off by warming seawater over the last 30 to 40 years. Australia's Great Barrier Reef was hit by extreme temperatures and mass bleaching in 2016 and 2017, wiping out roughly half of the remaining coral on the Great Barrier Reef's remote northern section.

Corals build up reefs over thousands of years via the slow accumulation of their skeletons and coral reef habitats are occupied by millions of other species, including grouper, sharks, and sea turtles. In addition to supporting tourism and fisheries, reefs protect coastal communities from storms by buffering the shoreline from waves. When corals die, these valuable services are lost.





Figure 4

Results of a meta-analysis of published studies measuring the effectiveness of local protection [i.e., marine protected areas (MPAs)] in reducing the effects of large-scale disturbances on (*a*) the loss in absolute coral cover, based on predisturbance coral cover minus postdisturbance cover (i.e., resistance), and (*b*) the postdisturbance increase in absolute coral cover (i.e., recovery rate). **Table 1** lists and describes the component studies. From each study, we recorded the mean disturbance impacts (coral cover per year) and recovery rates (percentage change in coral cover per year). We extracted values presented in a figure (as opposed to in a table or in the text) using the ImageJ tool developed by the National Institutes of Health. All analyses were performed in R.

Results of a meta-analysis of published studies measuring the effectiveness of local protection [i.e., marine protected areas (MPAs)] in reducing the effects of large-scale disturbances on (a) the loss in absolute coral cover, based on predisturbance coral cover minus postdisturbance cover (i.e., resistance) and (b) the postdisturbance increase in absolute coral cover (i.e. recovery rate). Table 1 lists and describes the component studies. From each study, we recorded the mean disturbance impacts (coral cover per year) and recovery rates (percentage change in coral cover per year). We extracted values presented in a figure (as opposed to in a table or in the text) using the ImageJ tool developed by the National Institutes of Health. All analyses were performed in R. Credit: Bruno et al 2019

The most common response to coral decline by policy makers and reef managers is to ban fishing based on the belief that fishing indirectly exacerbates ocean warming by enabling seaweeds that overgrow corals. The approach, referred to as managed resilience, assumes that threats to species and ecosystems are cumulative and that by minimizing as many



threats as possible, we can make ecosystems resilient to climate change, disease outbreaks, and other threats that cannot be addressed locally.

The study's authors, led by John Bruno who is a marine ecologist in the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, performed a quantitative review of 18 <u>case studies</u> that field-tested the effectiveness of the managed resilience approach. None found that it was effective. Protecting reefs inside Marine Protected Areas from fishing and pollution did not reduce how much coral was killed by <u>extreme temperatures</u> or how quickly <u>coral populations</u> recovered from coral disease, bleaching, and large storms.

"Managed resilience is the approach to saving reefs favored by many scientists, nongovernmental organizations, and government agencies, so it's surprising that it doesn't work. Yet the science is clear: fishery restrictions, while beneficial to overharvested species, do not help reefbuilding corals cope with human-caused ocean warming," said Bruno.



Figure 7

Temporal relationships between ocean warming and coral cover decline. The blue and pink bars show the average annual temperature anomalies for land and sea from a 1961–1990 baseline [based on the Hadley Centre Climatic Research Unit Temperature 4 (HadCRUT4) data set], and the black line shows the average annual coral-reef sea-surface temperature [from the Hadley Centre Global Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature 1 (HadISST1) data set]. The orange line shows the temporal trend in absolute mean Caribbean coral cover (based on a regional meta-analysis). Figure adapted from Gardner et al. (2003) and Lough et al. (2018).



Temporal relationships between ocean warming and coral cover decline. the blue and pink bars show the average annual temperature anomalies for land and sea from a 1961-1990 baseline [based on the Hadley Centre Climatic Research Unit Temperature 4 (HadCRUT4) data set], and the black line shows the average annual coral-reef sea-surface temperature [from the Hadley Centre Global Sea Ice and Sea Surface Temperature 1 (HadISST1) data set]. The orange line shows the temporal trend in absolute mean Caribbean coral cover (based on a regional meta-analysis). Figure adapted from Gardner et al. (2003) and Lough et al. (2018. Credit: Bruno et al 2019

The 18 individual studies measured the effectiveness of managed resilience by comparing the effects of large-scale disturbances, like mass bleaching events, major storms, and disease outbreaks, on coral cover inside Marine Protected Areas versus in unprotected reefs. Many also measured the rate of coral population recovery after storms. The decline in coral cover was measured directly, via scuba surveys of the reef, before and periodically after large-scale disturbances. Overall, the <u>meta-analysis</u> included data from 66 protected reefs and 89 unprotected reefs from 15 countries around the world.

The study also assessed evidence for various assumed causes of coral decline. For many, including overfishing, seaweeds, and pollution, evidence was minimal or uncertain. In contrast, the authors found that an overwhelming body of evidence indicates that <u>ocean warming</u> is the primary cause of the mass coral die-off that scientists have witnessed around the world.

More information: marxiv.org/ugk4v

Provided by University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill



Citation: Fishing and pollution regulations don't help corals cope with climate change (2019, February 19) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2019-02-fishing-pollution-dont-corals-cope.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.