

Australia can 'rewild' swathes of territory by focusing on what makes it unique

February 27 2019, by Oisín Sweeney, John Turnbull, Menna Elizabeth Jones, Mike Letnic And Thomas Newsome



Eastern quolls have been introduced in Booderee Nation Park as part of a rewilding project. Credit: Oisin Sweeney

Since colonisation, a dizzying array of Australia's native species and ecosystems have been <u>altered or removed altogether</u>. It therefore seems natural to consider the idea of restoring what's been lost – a process termed "rewilding."



Now a global trend, rewilding projects aim to restore functional ecosystems. The rationale is that by reactivating the often complex relationships between species – such as <u>apex predators</u> and their prey, for example – these ecosystems once again become able to sustain themselves.

Rewilding has successfully captured the public interest, particularly overseas. Conservation group <u>Rewilding Europe</u> has a network of <u>eight</u> <u>rewilding areas</u> and a further 59 related projects, covering 6 million hectares in total.

The <u>reintroduction of wolves</u> to Yellowstone National Park in the United States remains the most recognised example of rewilding. The wolves reduced elk numbers and changed their behaviour, which allowed vegetation to grow and stabilise stream banks.

It's not hard to see why rewilding is popular, given that it sounds a note of <u>hope and inspiration</u> amid the seemingly endless stories of <u>despair</u> <u>over ecological disaster</u>.

But in Australia, we need to do rewilding differently. The particular challenges we face with issues such as introduced species mean that, like Vegemite, our rewilding future must have a unique flavour.

Australian values

Our recently published <u>paper</u> builds on findings from a <u>rewilding forum</u> held in Sydney in late 2016. Academics, government and nongovernment agencies met to discuss some of the outstanding issues around rewilding in Australia. Despite the large, diverse audience and wide-ranging views, the forum succeeded in identifying some <u>key</u> <u>themes</u>.



Peninsulas often make good locations for rewilding in Australia because their geography allows the impacts of introduced predators to be minimised. Booderee National Park at Jervis Bay has reintroduced <u>long-</u><u>nosed potoroos</u>, <u>southern brown bandicoots</u> and <u>eastern quolls</u>.

A much bigger Peninsula, Yorke, in South Australia, is the site of an <u>ambitious project</u> to reintroduce 20 species currently extinct in the area.

The widespread removal of dingoes has reduced natural control on introduced species such as foxes and cats. This in turn has <u>allowed</u> feral cats and red foxes to prey on small digging native mammals in the absence of a larger competitor. Meanwhile, declines in Tasmanian devils <u>have been mirrored</u> by declines in smaller predators like eastern quolls, and changes to <u>cat behaviour</u>, suggesting devils indirectly affect other species.

Many of these digging mammals have declined continent-wide and disappeared completely from other areas. This in turn has resulted in <u>knock-on effects</u>, such as altered fire regimes and changes to plant diversity.

It's no surprise, then, that our workshop identified restoring predators and small mammals as priorities in Australia. Lots of work is already going on to restore small mammal populations, such as via <u>Australian</u> <u>Wildlife Conservancy's</u> and <u>Arid Recovery's</u> fenced exclosures, from which foxes and cats have been eliminated.

But exclosures are also contrary to the aims of rewilding, because they need ongoing maintenance and do not help the ecosystem inside to be self-sustaining. They can also <u>exacerbate prey naïveté</u>, whereby the native mammals fail to recognise and avoid introduced predators.

It may therefore be useful to view fences as a stepping stone to restoring



small mammal populations to broader landscapes, helped by a variety of means including <u>promoting co-evolution</u> of native and introduced species, incentives to farmers, and the use of <u>guardian animals</u>.

Australia is different

<u>Passive rewilding</u> – the removal of human agriculture, resulting in the return of natural vegetation – has had positive impacts on biodiversity in Europe. It could have similarly positive impacts here, for example by increasing the density of tree hollows in previously logged forest and woodland. But a complete removal of management is unlikely to be effective because of, for example, the need to manage fire and the presence of introduced species like miner birds that exert influences on <u>other species</u> and even <u>entire ecosystems</u>.

In arid areas, simply removing agriculture is unlikely to halt the declines in biodiversity unless deliberate steps are taken to control pest plants and animals and to shift the ecosystem into a preferred state. In oceans, where rewilding is no less urgent due to declines in large predatory fish, passive rewilding may be more feasible, as marine protected areas can result in recovery of fish, provided <u>certain key criteria</u> are met.

Reintroducing large (bigger than 100kg) herbivores is part of rewilding efforts in <u>Europe</u> and <u>Asia</u>. Yet in Australia, all large herbivores are introduced and are generally perceived as having negative impacts.

"Natural" control of such <u>species</u> is not possible due to a lack of big native predators. Introducing "surrogates" of long-extinct predators (as are <u>used elsewhere</u> in rewilding) would have predictable results in terms of human acceptance (farmers wouldn't like it), but uncertain impacts on ecosystems.



What about people?

People can benefit from rewilding – either directly, through wildlife tourism income or reduced kangaroo grazing on farmland, or indirectly such as via provision of services like flood control. So rewilding should not, as has been suggested elsewhere, necessarily separate humans from nature. Aboriginal owned and managed land offers huge opportunities in this regard because it covers 52% of the country and is home to many threatened species. In urban areas, rewilding will have to be a compromise between what is acceptable to humans and what benefits ecosystems most.

There is clearly an appetite among scientists and managers for bold interventions such as <u>trial reintroductions of Tasmanian devils</u> to mainland Australia to restore <u>ancient food chains</u> or allowing dingoes to return to areas where they occur at low densities and are functionally extinct. Rewilding's focus on restoration of ecosystem processes can complement, but not replace, existing conservation approaches. For example, we still need to achieve a comprehensive, adequate and representative reserve network on land and at sea.

Development of a rewilding vision and strategy would be a valuable first step towards maximising the potential of <u>rewilding</u>, tracking success, and persuading governments to fund it.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Australia can 'rewild' swathes of territory by focusing on what makes it unique (2019, February 27) retrieved 1 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2019-02-australia-rewild-swathes-</u>



territory-focusing.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.