


## The periodic table is 150 – but it could have looked very different

January 2 2019, by Mark Lorch



Theodor Benfey's spira table (1964). Credit: DePiep/Wikipedia




The periodic table stares down from the walls of just about every chemistry lab. The credit for its creation generally goes to <a href="Dimitri">Dimitri</a>
<a href="Mendeleev">Mendeleev</a>, a Russian chemist who in 1869 wrote out the known elements (of which there were 63 at the time) on cards and then arranged them in columns and rows according to their chemical and physical properties. To celebrate the 150th anniversary of this pivotal moment in science, the UN has proclaimed 2019 to be the <a href="International year of the Periodic Table">International year of the Periodic Table</a>.

But the <u>periodic table</u> didn't actually start with Mendeleev. Many had tinkered with arranging the elements. Decades before, chemist John Dalton tried to <u>create a table</u> as well as some rather interesting symbols for the elements (they didn't catch on). And just a few years before Mendeleev sat down with his deck of homemade cards, <u>John Newlands</u> also created a table sorting the elements by their properties.

Mendeleev's genius was in what he left out of his table. He recognised that certain elements were missing, yet to be discovered. So where Dalton, Newlands and others had laid out what was known, Mendeleev left space for the unknown. Even more amazingly, he accurately predicted the properties of the missing elements.

Notice the question marks in his table above? For example, next to Al (aluminium) there's space for an unknown metal. Mendeleev foretold it would have an atomic mass of 68, a density of six grams per cubic centimetre and a very low melting point. Six years later Paul Émile Lecoq de Boisbaudran, isolated gallium and sure enough it slotted right into the gap with an atomic mass of 69.7, a density of 5.9g/cm<sup>3</sup> and a melting point so low that it becomes liquid in your hand. Mendeleev did the same for scandium, germanium and technetium (which wasn't discovered until 1937, 30 years after his death).





John Dalton's element list. Credit: Wikimedia Commons



At first glance Mendeleev's table doesn't look much like the one we are familiar with. For one thing, the modern table has a bunch of elements that Mendeleev overlooked (and failed to leave room for), most notably the noble gases (such as helium, neon, argon). And the table is oriented differently to our modern version, with elements we now place together in columns arranged in rows.

But once you give Mendeleev's table a 90-degree turn, the similarity to the modern version becomes apparent. For example, the halogens – fluorine (F), chlorine (Cl), bromine (Br), and Iodine (I) (the J symbol in Mendeleev's table) – all appear next to one another. Today they are arranged in the table's 17th column (or group 17 as chemists prefer to call it).

		-	Transition of the Contract of	_
		Ti = 50	Zr = 90	? == 180
		V == 51	Nb - 94	Ta = 182
		Cr = 52	Mo - 96	W = 186
	,	Mn 55	Rh = 104,4	Pt = 197.4
		Fe - 56	Ru — 104,4	Ir = 198
	Ni =	- Co - 59	Pd = 106,6	Os 199
H=1		Cu = 63,4	Ag = 108	Hg = 200
Be - 9,4	Mg = 24	Zn = 65.2	Cd = 112	•
B === 11	A = 27.4	? 68	Ur == 116	Au - 197?
C == 12	Si = 28	? — 70	8n = 118	
N = 14	P = 31	$A_8 = 75$	8b = 122	Bi = 210?
0 - 16	S = 32	8e = 79.4	Te = 128?	
$\mathbf{F} = 19$	Cl = 35,5	Br = 80	J = 127	
Li = 7 Na = 23	K = 39	Rb = 85.4	Ce - 133	T1 = 204
	Ca = 40	Sr = 87.6	Ba == 137	Pb - 207
	? - 45	Ce = 92		A Transaction of the Control of the
	?Er 56	La = 94		
	?Yt == 60	Di == 95		
	9In = 75.6	Th = 118?		
		TH - 110.		




Dimitry Mendeleev's table complete with missing elements. Credit: Wikimedia Commons

## Period of experimentation

It may seem a small leap from this to the familiar diagram but, years after Mendeleev's publications, there was plenty of experimentation with alternative layouts for the elements. Even before the table got its permanent right-angle flip, folks suggested some weird and wonderful twists.

One particularly striking example is Heinrich <u>Baumhauer's spiral</u>, published in 1870, with hydrogen at its centre and elements with increasing atomic mass spiralling outwards. The elements that fall on each of the wheel's spokes share common properties just as those in a column (group) do so in today's table. There was also Henry Basset's <u>rather odd "dumb-bell"</u> formulation of 1892.





Today's periodic table. Credit: Offnfopt/Wikipedia

Nevertheless, by the beginning of the 20th century, the table had settled down into a familiar horizontal format with the <u>strikingly modern</u> looking version from Heinrich Werner in 1905. For the first time, the noble gases appeared in their now familiar position on the far right of the table. Werner also tried to take a leaf out of Mendeleev's book by leaving gaps, although he rather overdid the guess work with suggestions for elements lighter than hydrogen and another sitting between hydrogen and helium (none of which exist).

Despite this rather modern looking table, there was still a bit of rearranging to be done. Particularly influential was <u>Charles Janet's</u> version. He took a physicist's approach to the table and used a newly discovered quantum theory to create a layout based on electron configurations. The resulting "<u>left step</u>" table is still preferred by many physicists. Interestingly, Janet also provided space for elements right up to number 120 despite only 92 being known at the time (we're only at 118 now).






FIGURE 14.—BAUMHAUER'S SPIRAL

Heinrich Baumhauer's spiral. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Types of graphic classifications of the elements. III. Spiral, helical, and miscellaneous charts. Credit: G. N. Quam, Mary Battell Quam. Copyright (1934) American Chemical Society.



## Settling on a design

The modern table is actually a direct evolution of Janet's version. The alkali metals (the group topped by lithium) and the alkaline earth metals (topped by beryllium) got shifted from far right to the far left to create a very wide looking (long form) periodic table. The problem with this format is that it doesn't fit nicely on a page or poster, so largely for aesthetic reasons the f-block elements are usually cut out and deposited below the main table. That's how we arrived at the table we recognise today.

That's not to say folks haven't tinkered with layouts, often as an attempt to highlight correlations between elements that aren't readily apparent in the conventional table. There are literally hundreds of variations (check out Mark Leach's <u>database</u>) with <u>spirals</u> and <u>3-D versions</u> being particularly popular, not to mention more tongue-in-cheek variants.

																													***	***
н																														Не
Li																								Ве	В	c	N	0	F	Ne
Na																								Mg	Al	Si	P	s	СІ	A
ĸ	Ca														Se	Ti	v	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr														Y	Zr	Nb	Мо		Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	Jn	Sn	Sb	Те	J	Хn
Cs	Ba	La	Ce	Nd	Pr	 	Sa	Eu	Gd	ть	Но	Er	Tu	Y			Та	w		Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi			
	Ra	Laa	Th			 		U					Ac													Pbα	Βία	Теα		

FIGURE 8.—WERNER'S PERIODIC TABLE

Heinrich Werner's modern incarnation. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from Types of graphic classifications of the elements. I. Introduction and short tables, G. N. Quam, Mary Battell Quam. Credit: American Chemical Society (1934)



How about my <u>own fusion of two iconic graphics</u>, Mendeleev's table and Henry Beck's London Underground map below?

Or the dizzy array of imitations that aim to give a science feel to categorising everything from <u>beer</u> to <u>Disney characters</u>, and my particular favourite "<u>irrational nonsense</u>". All of which go to show how the periodic table of elements has become the iconic symbol of science.




Charles Janet's left-step table. Credit: Wikipedia, CC BY-SA





3D 'Mendeleev flower' version of the table. Credit: <u>Тимохова Ольга/Wikipedia</u>, <u>CC BY-SA</u>





The author's underground map of the elements. Credit: Mark Lorch, Author provided

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The periodic table is 150 – but it could have looked very different (2019, January 2) retrieved 10 April 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2019-01-periodic-table.html">https://phys.org/news/2019-01-periodic-table.html</a>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.