

Research collaboration could reshape injection molding

July 18 2018, by Liam Jackson



Alicyn Rhoades, assistant professor of engineering at Penn State Behrend, working on a flash differential scanning calorimeter (Flash DSC). Credit: Robb Frederick / Penn State Behrend

As Ralph Colby peers at the microscope image in front of him, he thinks

he can make them out—"shish kebabs," as polymer scientists call them. Nobody knows for sure what they are, but these shapes that appear at seemingly unpredictable times when certain plastics cool have a big impact on the overall properties of plastics. It's not a big deal when a plastic fork breaks, but if a bearing cage on an airplane were to break, it could put people in danger.

Colby has partnered with two other Penn State researchers to get a better basic understanding of how plastics cool from a liquid to solid shape in [injection molding](#). Their work, which involves some new techniques, is already helping industry partners.

"Ultimately, we're hoping to come away from our research with a better basic understanding of how these polymers crystallize during flow, and also the knowledge to put this information into injection molding software," said Colby, professor of materials science and engineering.

From pellet to product

Most plastics are produced by injection molding, a process through which small pellets of plastic are melted, forced into a mold of a shape and quickly cooled. In melted form, polymers are like a bowl of spaghetti, with individual molecules being a disarray of noodles. As they cool down, they start to form a structure and this process is known as crystallization. The way crystals form can affect the strength, durability and other properties of the material.

The goal of injection molding is to get polymers to orient themselves and crystallize in a specific fashion. It's not as simple as just heating and cooling the material; rather, it requires the right amount of pressure and temperature to make individual molecules play nicely with one another and get into the right order.

Injection molding is complicated enough that it requires the use of software to control different parameters of the machine throughout the process. That software is based on data from decades ago that sorely needs to be updated, said Alicyn Rhoades, assistant professor of engineering at Penn State Behrend, which has a renowned plastics engineering technology program.

"Since the 1950s, [polymer](#) engineers have been designing manufacturing processes like injection molding with baseline data that was generated with plastics changing 10 degrees per minute—but in manufacturing, polymers are subject to cooling at a rate of anywhere from 10 to 1,000 degrees per second," she said.

The rate of heat transfer, either into or out of a polymer, makes an incredible difference in how a polymer behaves once it cools down. It's similar to cooking, said Rhoades. Putting cake batter in the oven makes a far different product than plopping it on the griddle.

Rhoades knew she would be able to achieve the levels of heat transfer relevant to injection molding if she used a device known as a flash differential scanning calorimeter, or Flash DSC. The machine heats small amounts of polymers up thousands of degrees in a fraction of a second.

Rhoades began discussing the issue with General Motors Company, and the research concept immediately struck a chord with their polymer engineers. In 2013, GM made a gift to Penn State so that Rhoades could purchase a Flash DSC.

"Groups around the world use the Flash DSC to study glass or for pharmaceutical research, but we are the first to be using it for plastics engineering," she said.

Rhoades travels to the University Park campus often for her research to make use of the Materials Characterization Laboratory, part of the Materials Research Institute. The lab is designed to characterize, or quantify the properties of, different materials.

In her research, Rhoades knew she was missing a crucial piece: rheology, or how fluids behave while flowing.

"In the injection molder, you pressurize the melted polymer and shoot it just like a squirt gun into a shape mold," she said. "I began to realize that there's so much rheology that leads to the final crystallization conditions. The [injection molding process](#) is far too complicated to jump right in at the end and take a molded part and work from that."

Luckily, Rhoades knew just the person who had the polymer rheology expertise to complement her applied engineering background: Colby. The two had met at a National Science Foundation event in Washington in 2014 and had planned to collaborate. One day, while dropping off samples at the MCL, Rhoades showed up unannounced at Colby's office.

"I laid it on the table and basically said, here's what I can do, but I need a good collaborator on the rheology side because of how much the polymer flow drives crystallization," she said. "He said they had already begun doing polymer crystallization at slow-heating and -cooling rates but couldn't achieve high rates in their lab. The partnership was obvious and fell into place nicely."

Working with Colby also opened the door to a second collaborator, Scott Milner, the William H. Joyce Chair Professor in the Department of Chemical Engineering, whose expertise in theoretical polymer physics added to Rhoades' and Colby's backgrounds.

Getting hotter (and cooler)

The bulk of the trio's experiments mimic what happens during injection molding. They take a sample of material in solid form, heat it to just above its melting point, apply a force to the material to simulate how it flows into an injection mold and then, once the sample has been allowed to cool, they study it.

To inform what types of experiments they should run, the scientists rely on theories of how polymers should behave in different contexts. That's where Milner's expertise in theory comes into play.

"When you're an experimenter, you might be thinking, 'How can I measure that better than others can? How can I detect something that other people can't detect?'" Milner said. "As a theorist, you've always got in the back of your mind, 'How can I model this?' and that changes your perspective."

Milner's work in this project involves modeling what happens to individual polymer molecules as they are subjected to the forces of injection molding. He runs computer simulations that solve physics equations for each molecule, indicating where each molecule is likely to move in the next moment and what force it might apply to nearby molecules. This gives a picture of how molecules are likely to be oriented once they cool into a static shape. The amount of computing power required for this type of work can't be done on one desktop alone; instead, Milner relies on the Penn State Institute for CyberScience's Advanced CyberInfrastructure, a robust set of research computing tools available to Penn State researchers.

Milner has been collaborating with Colby since joining Penn State in 2008. The two first met decades ago, when Colby was working for Kodak and Milner was a postdoctoral researcher with ExxonMobil. Milner cites Colby as one of the reasons he came to Penn State.

"I wanted to know what kind of people there were to collaborate with, and it seemed attractive that Ralph was at Penn State," he said.

Their collaboration gained new heights when the two co-advised a graduate student from 2012 to 2015. It was then that they began studying the effects of flow on polymer crystallization. By the time Rhoades connected with Colby, the trio was ready to dive into the issue of flow-induced crystallization.

Already their initial experiments have shown other mysteries taking place within liquid plastics subjected to flow, in addition to the somewhat spontaneous rice grains and shish kebabs. For example, if a polymer is melted only briefly, it seems to "remember" its molecular orientation as a solid, said Milner, and recrystallizes into that much more quickly than if it stayed in liquid form for longer.

"The jury's still out on whether I or other theorists will be able to better describe what's going on using mathematical models," said Milner, "but we have a lot clearer picture of what's going on from the experiments that we've already done."

Retooling injection molding

Each new experiment the team takes on is yet another attempt to peek under the hood of polymers crystallization, and their goal is to use this new knowledge to update injection molding software. This could save companies hundreds of thousands of dollars, said Rhoades, in addition to ensuring the durability of their products.

"To cut a steel mold, it can easily cost more than \$100,000," she said. "If you then learn that your mold needs to be adjusted because the software is off, a company might have to scrap its mold and build a new one."

The team has been working closely with two major players in the plastics industry: GM, which makes a variety of products for the automotive industry, and SKF, which specializes in high-end engineering thermoplastics for the aerospace industry. Some of their work is already being fed into injection molding software so that it more accurately predicts how the final plastic product will behave.

But there's still much work to do, and many unknowns to solve. The key to making more progress, Rhoades believes, lies not in one person examining the problem but in a collaborative approach.

"You can't make the type of progress we've made without having a team that spans the discipline," she said. "Our work so far has been eye-opening and very exciting. We're showing that we're able to open a new chapter on polymer crystallization."

Provided by Pennsylvania State University

Citation: Research collaboration could reshape injection molding (2018, July 18) retrieved 29 June 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-07-collaboration-reshape-molding.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.