

# Research track multiplies proportion of researchers

May 3 2018, by Steinar Brandslet



By including research careers in the education to becoming a medical doctor, professor Geir Wenberg Jacobsen at NTNU in Norway can show to great results. Credit: Foto: Geir Mogen/ NTNU

Most medical students choose to practice medicine after graduation. Few

choose a career exclusively as researchers. The trend in the proportion of doctors who want to do research has been declining for several decades and has resulted in a recruitment problem. But a medical student research programme (MSRP) option built into Norwegian medical curricula shows a different picture. "The research programme yields 10 times as many physicians who complete a Ph.D. than medical schools that do not offer this option," says Professor Emeritus Geir Wenberg Jacobsen, author of an article now being published in *PLOS ONE*.

In addition, a much larger proportion of former students who opted for the research track aspire to an [academic career](#) after graduation. And about twice as large a proportion of these previous students consider a possible career in research.

## **Publishing more research**

The MSRP took effect in 2002. The programme faced no lack of criticism from those who felt such an option was unnecessary, since physicians were expected to do research anyway, and those who wanted to pursue a Ph.D. would do it regardless of the extra offering. Seven years later, in 2009, a report concluded that although the programme had increased the proportion of doctors who became researchers, the data was inadequate to gauge the outlook going forward. Now, such data have become available.

The article in *PLOS ONE* is based on the survey responses of 538 former [medical students](#). Of these, 221 had taken the MSRP option and the rest made up a representative control group. During the first eight years following students' graduation as MDs (from 2006-2014), 39 percent of the MSRP students had completed a Ph.D. as compared with four percent of the traditional medical students. Among students who had not yet completed a Ph.D., about half of those with an MSRP background were in the process of obtaining one. By contrast, about 12 percent of

the other students were pursuing a doctorate. The MSRP students had published more research and been abroad to study for a period of at least three months at twice the rate of the control group. The researchers behind the article in *PLOS ONE* found no gender differences.

## **Head start as researchers**

Clearly, some students opting for the research track may have already had their sights on an academic career. It can be argued that these students would have chosen an academic career regardless, perhaps with equally good results. Nevertheless, the article concludes that a specialized research track can provide additional benefits, since students gain several years head start as active researchers. Other factors may also be involved. The MSRP reduces the time it takes for students to complete a doctorate, since their supervisor from the research programme usually follows them while they work on their Ph.D. The price these students pay is that they spend about one and a half years longer specializing in a medical field. "From the perspective of a 40-year career, that's not very long," says Jacobsen.

## **Applicable to other fields of study**

The results show how effective a targeted programme can be when introduced to undergraduate university students early on. The MSRP can also provide a model for other fields of study across Europe, according to the article. Jacobsen says efforts are now being undertaken to create research programmes in faculties other than medicine. Gunnar Bovim, NTNU's rector, is among those working to develop new research programmes. He was one of four deans who initiated the medical study research programmes in Trondheim, Bergen, Oslo and Tromsø in 2002. "The research programme was a response to the fact that research activity among physicians had decreased. This study option has helped to

reverse that trend. It shows that connecting intelligent students to research environments is working. Students achieve a much higher level of competence than if they did the study programmes sequentially. Both Norwegian and international experience show that this approach works. We believe it will be equally effective in other fields of study," says Bovim.

Another prime mover for the establishment of a [research](#) track in medicine was John-Arne Røttingen, current Director General of the Research Council of Norway. The Research Council and Norway's Ministry of Education have been involved and secured funding since the start. The editor of *PLOS ONE* has also praised the article because of its national profile, the use of a relevant [control group](#), and because it can be followed up with regard to further long-term effects.

**More information:** Geir W. Jacobsen et al. Springboard to an academic career—A national medical student research program, *PLOS ONE* (2018). [DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0195527](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195527)

Provided by Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Citation: Research track multiplies proportion of researchers (2018, May 3) retrieved 18 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-05-track-proportion.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--