

# We reconstructed the genome of the 'first animal'

May 3 2018, by Jordi Paps

---



Credit: Tima Miroshnichenko from Pexels


The first animals emerged on Earth at least [541m years ago](#), according to the fossil record. What they looked like is the subject of an [ongoing debate](#), but they're traditionally thought to have been similar to sponges.

Like today's animals, they were made up of many, many different cells doing different jobs, programmed by thousands of different [genes](#). But

where did all these genes come from? Was the emergence of animals a small step in evolution, or did it represent a big leap in the DNA that carries the instructions for life?

To answer these questions and more, my colleague and I [have reconstructed](#) the set of genetic instructions (a minimal [genome](#)) present in the last [common ancestor](#) of all animals. By comparing this ancestral animal genome to those of other ancient lifeforms, we've shown that the emergence of animals involved a lot of very novel changes in DNA. What's more, some of these changes were so essential to the biology of animals that they are still found in most modern animals after more than 500m years of independent evolution. In fact, most of our own genes are descended from this "first animal".

[Previous research](#) on lifeforms that are closely related to animals – single-celled organisms such as [choanoflagellates](#), [filastereans](#) and [ichthyosporeans](#) – has shown they share many genes with their animal cousins. This means that these genes are older than animals themselves and date back to some common ancestor of all these creatures. So the recycling of old genes into new functions, a kind of genome tinkering, must have been an important force in the origin of animals.



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))


But Professor Peter Holland and I wanted to find out which new genes emerged when animals evolved. We used sophisticated computer programs to compare 1.5m proteins (the molecules that genes contain the instructions for) across 62 living genomes, making a total of 2.25 trillion comparisons to find out which genes are shared between different organisms today.

We then created a computer program that could combine this information with the evolutionary relationships of the animals to reconstruct which genes were present in the last common ancestor of all animals. The results don't represent the ancestor's full genome, as many genes and other genetic information will no longer exist in today's animals. But using evolutionary trees to infer what happened in the past in this way is one of the most powerful applications of evolutionary

biology, as close as we can come to travelling back in time.

Our results suggest the genomes of the first animals were surprisingly similar to those of modern ones, containing the same proportions of biological functions. Around 55% of modern human genes descend from genes found in the last common [ancestor](#) of all animals, meaning the other 45% evolved later.

By applying the same techniques to the genomes of modern relatives of animals, we also reconstructed the genome of even older ancestral organisms. We found that the first animal genome was in many ways very similar to the genomes of these unicellular ancestors.



Novel genes in animals and their relatives. Credit: Jordi Paps, Author provided

But then we looked at the novel genes in the first animal genome that weren't found in older lifeforms. We discovered the first animal had an exceptional number of novel genes, four times more than other ancestors. This means the evolution of animals was driven by a burst of new genes not seen in the evolution of their unicellular ancestors.

## **New origins**

Finally, we looked at those novel genes from the first animal that are still found in most of the modern animals we studied. Natural selection should mean that animals keep genes with essential [biological functions](#) as the species evolve. We found 25 groups of such genes that had been kept in this way, five times more genes than in other, older, ancestors. Most of them have never been associated with the origin of animals before.

These novel genes that are still widely found today control essential functions that are specifically related to lifeforms with multiple cells. Three groups of these genes are involved in transmitting different nervous system signals. But our analyses show that these genes are also found in animals that do not have a nervous system, such as sponges. That means the genetic basis of the nervous system may have evolved before the nervous system itself did.

Our research shows that both new genes and the recycling of old genes were important in the evolution of animals. But these results raise even more questions. Were novel genes also important in the rise of other types of large multicellular lifeforms such as plants or fungi? What was behind the explosion of novel genes that drove the evolution of [animals](#)?

Did it happen faster than in other groups or did animal ancestors take a long time to accumulate all the new genes? Answering those questions will require more and better genome data (or improved time-travelling capabilities).

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: We reconstructed the genome of the 'first animal' (2018, May 3) retrieved 24 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-05-reconstructed-genome-animal.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.