

# Climate victims seek justice, in the courtroom and on the street

May 24 2018, by Marlowe Hood, Amélie Bottollier-Depois

---



The climate justice movement highlights the fact that rich nations are overwhelmingly to blame for causing climate change, but that poor ones have been the first to cope with its impacts

People around the world beset by drought, heatwaves, rising seas and

storm surges made worse by global warming are calling for "climate justice," and many are pleading their case in court.

Families from eight nations joined their ranks Thursday when they collectively sued the European Union over the impact of rising temperatures on their livelihoods.

Currently, the EU accounts for about nine percent of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Taking into account accumulated emissions since 1850, that share rises to a quarter, second only to the United States (27 percent).

Globally, there are at least 1,000 active legal cases related to [climate change](#), more than two-thirds of them in the United States, according to a recent tally from the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, in London.

## Concept

The climate justice movement highlights the fact that if rich nations are overwhelmingly to blame for climate change, it is the poor countries which have been hit first and worst.

The 1992 UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) recognised that inequality, declaring that developed countries bear a larger responsibility for fixing the problem.

After a climate justice "summit" in The Hague in 2000, a coalition of global non-governmental organisations (NGOs)—which play a crucial role in channeling grassroots activism—adopted 27 principles.

These included the right to not suffer [climate change impacts](#), a moratorium on new fossil fuel exploration, access to affordable and sustainable energy, and the notion that rich nations and industry owe

humanity an "ecological debt".

"Climate justice affirms the rights of unborn generations to natural resources, a [stable climate](#) and a healthy planet," they declared.

These ideas slowly gravitated from the fringes toward the centre of formal UN negotiations—and finally into the preamble of the 2015 Paris Agreement, the 196-nation treaty that enjoins the world to cap [global warming](#) at "well under" two degrees Celsius (3.6 degrees Fahrenheit), and 1.5 C if feasible.

## Cases

In parallel to the diplomatic arena, citizens and civic groups also tested the concept's power within a legal framework.

Some plaintiffs have targeted governments, while others have taken on individual companies. A few have made headlines:

- In 2015, a landmark court ruling in the Netherlands ordered the government to slash greenhouse gases by a quarter by 2020. The case was brought by 900 Dutch citizens. The government is appealing.
- In November last year, a German court agreed to hear a Peruvian farmer's case against energy giant RWE over climate change damage in the Andes, a decision hailed by campaigners as a "historic breakthrough". Farmer Saul Luciano Lliuya says that RWE must share in the cost of protecting his hometown Huaraz from a glacier lake overflowing with melting snow and ice.
- Also in 2015, 21 young people sued the US federal government for allegedly violating their constitutional rights by failing to ensure a livable future. A trial date has been set for October.

The judge could decide whether the United States has "violated these fundamental constitutional rights, and, if so, whether to compel the government to come up with a plan to move us from disaster to security," Daniel Galpern, one of the attorneys for the plaintiffs, told AFP.

## Compensation

The Paris Agreement "recognises the importance... of addressing loss and damage" caused by climate change, and has set up a mechanism to do so. At the same time, however, this provision "does not involve or provide a basis for any liability or compensation," it stipulates.

This does not mean that rich nations are off the hook, analysts say.

As some island nations literally sink beneath rising seas, pressure is mounting for a clear commitment to rescue climate-damaged economies and societies.

On the legal front, plaintiffs are pointing to scientific evidence that extreme weather events can be directly linked to [climate change](#). Other research apports historic responsibility for [greenhouse gas emissions](#), whether to countries or companies.

A 2014 study in *Climatic Change*, for example, calculated that accumulated CO<sub>2</sub> and methane pollution stemming from oil, gas and coal produced by 90 major energy companies accounts for nearly two-thirds of all greenhouse gas emissions since 1850.

RWE—the firm being sued by the Peruvian farmer—was found to have emitted 0.47 percent of the total.

"The possibility of assigning contributions of individual regions to

damage could have the potential to reshape environmental litigation," a quartet of scientists wrote in the journal *Nature Climate Change* last month.

"This raises questions regarding damage and responsibility in national jurisdictions, and thus climate justice."

© 2018 AFP

Citation: Climate victims seek justice, in the courtroom and on the street (2018, May 24)  
retrieved 28 June 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-05-climate-victims-justice-courtroom-street.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.