

Beyond the oceans—microplastics pollute rivers and lakes, too

April 27 2018, by Kennedy Bucci And Chelsea Rochman



Credit: Pok Rie from Pexels

When you think of microplastic pollution, plastic debris less than five millimetres in size, you likely envision the ocean —probably because ocean gyres gained notoriety for being a microplastic soup.



But what about our lakes, rivers, forests and fields? They can be <u>just as</u> <u>contaminated</u> with <u>microplastic</u> debris as the oceans.

Until recently, these environments were described as conduits —ways for plastics to get to the oceans. But now we're seeing rivers, lakes and soil in a different light, as reservoirs for plastic particles.

We now know that <u>agricultural land</u>, <u>surface waters</u>, <u>freshwater lakes</u> and <u>river sediments</u> are also contaminated.

In the past five years, researchers have started to study the sources, fates and effects of microplastics in <u>freshwater</u> and <u>terrestrial ecosystems</u>, but <u>only a handful of studies</u> have been done so far.

Microplastics in our Great Lakes

Here in North America, when we think of freshwater, we often think of the Laurentian Great Lakes. They hold more than <u>one fifth of the</u> <u>world's freshwater</u>, are the basis of <u>billions of dollars</u> in economic activity and are a point of pride for those living on their shorelines.

For the Indigenous peoples of Canada, the Great Lakes hold even more importance. There are <u>more than 75 First Nations communities</u> in the Great Lakes watershed, all of whom fish the waters for food or sport.

It is no secret, however, that the Great Lakes have had their share of ecological problems. Most have been caused by us, including the <u>ongoing issues</u> of nutrient-loading, invasive species like zebra and quagga mussels, tributary dams and reduced ice cover.

Recent research now shows the Great Lakes also contain microplastic pollution, with the highest concentrations in heavily urbanized areas, like Toronto and Detroit.



Another study found that a litre of sediment from the St. Lawrence River contained up to 1,000 spherical microplastics —on par with the world's most polluted marine sediments.

Microplastics are now globally distributed in <u>freshwater lakes</u> and rivers. They've been found in <u>North America</u>, <u>Europe</u> and <u>Asia</u>.



Plastic debris washed up on the shore of Lake Ontario, near Toronto. Credit: Kennedy Bucci, Author provided

Contaminated habitats, contaminated wildlife

Microplastics fill the digestive tracts of the wildlife that inhabit freshwater ecosystems.



In a Texas river basin, for example, <u>45 per cent of captured sunfish</u> had reportedly consumed microplastics. Another study found that <u>12 per cent of gudgeons</u>, a small bottom-dwelling fish, caught in a French stream had microplastics in their guts.

Closer to home, <u>our lab</u> has found that fish from the Great Lakes can have up to 40 microplastics in their digestive tracts. Many of these are microfibres—small strands of synthetic textiles, some of which come from our clothing.

In marine animals, <u>microplastics can alter gene expression</u>, <u>cause inflammation in tissues</u> and <u>affect reproductive success</u>. But we know much less about the effects in freshwater wildlife, which may be different than in marine animals. For example, marine fish drink water, whereas freshwater fish absorb water through their gills and skin; this may lead to different exposure concentrations of microplastics.

Although some studies have examined population- and community-level effects, most have focused on the effects on individual animals. As a result, evidence regarding the effects of microplastics on <u>populations of animals are scarce</u>. We need to ask how these pollutants affect survival, reproduction and the interactions between species in a community.

Our lab is beginning to do just that. For example, an ongoing study is comparing the effects of a range of microplastics found in Lake Ontario, and measuring their effects on freshwater fish survival, development and reproduction.

Calling all scientists

The oceans cover more than 70 per cent of our globe. Still, the biodiversity on land and in freshwater is <u>more than five times</u> that in the oceans. In addition, the global population relies on freshwater and



terrestrial ecosystems for food, water and recreation. As such, microplastic research must include all ecosystems.

We are using plastic products at an unprecedented rate, and have already generated an estimated <u>6,300 metric tons</u> of plastic waste. Although some of this is recycled, about 79 per cent is accumulating in landfills or the environment.

Moving forward, we must invest in gathering more scientific evidence about the sources, fate and effects of microplastics in terrestrial and <u>freshwater ecosystems</u>. At the same time, we know enough about plastic pollution to act.

As Canada takes presidency of the G7 this year, we applaud our government, which has <u>committed to take leadership</u> in preventing further contamination of the planet by plastic pollution.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Beyond the oceans—microplastics pollute rivers and lakes, too (2018, April 27) retrieved 26 June 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2018-04-oceansmicroplastics-pollute-rivers-lakes.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.