


Wood pellets: Renewable, but not carbon neutral

March 22 2018



Turning forests into fuel comes at a cost to the environment. Credit: Antonia Gravante

A return to firewood is bad for forests and the climate. So reports William Schlesinger, President Emeritus of the Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies, in an Insights article published today in the journal *Science*.

In the race to meet clean [energy](#) standards, biomass energy is often touted as [carbon](#) neutral. To satisfy European Union (EU) demand, forests in the United States are turned into wood pellets and shipped overseas, to the tune of 7 million metric tons annually. When these pellets are burned in the EU, the electricity they generate helps fulfill Paris Agreement commitments.

The stage is also being set for a potential uptick in biomass energy in the US, as Congress may declare biomass carbon neutral in an effort to revive the American forest product industry. A tax on fossil carbon would further incentivize US demand for wood pellets.

But turning forests into fuel has hard limitations. Accounting for [biomass energy](#) often ignores the critical role forests play as a sink for [carbon dioxide](#) that might otherwise accumulate in the atmosphere. As Schlesinger reports, each year, an estimated 31% of the carbon dioxide emitted from human activities is stored in forests.

Native forests store more carbon dioxide than their plantation counterparts. Harvested pellets require fossil energy during manufacturing and overseas shipping. As Schlesinger explains, "The benefits of wood power must be discounted by the loss of the carbon

sequestration that would have occurred in the original forests if they had not been harvested."



The Southern US is more intensively harvested and has far fewer forest reserves than the North and West. At the same time, the region is a hotspot for plant and animal biodiversity. Credit: David Allen

He notes, "It makes no sense to have Europeans embracing wood pellets as carbon neutral, while overlooking the carbon dioxide emitted during shipment and the losses of carbon storage from forests in the United States."

Then there is biodiversity to consider. In the southeastern US, pine plantations are a major source of pellet wood. Yet pines are of limited value in preserving the region's rich biodiversity. As demand for wood pellets rises, old growth forests are also put under pressure of harvest. A myriad of species rely on these globally rare ecosystems.

Schlesinger concludes, "Ultimately, the question is what kinds of forests are most desirable for the future. Recent research indicates that unless forests are guaranteed to regrow to carbon parity, production of wood pellets for fuel is likely to put more carbon dioxide into the atmosphere and preserve fewer species on the landscape during the next several decades."

Biomass shortfalls include:

- **Fossil fuels power wood pellet export.** Wood pellets produced in managed forests in the southern hemisphere are shipped to Europe where they are burned. The amount of energy required to power this shipping process can account for 25% of the total carbon emissions associated with biomass-fueled energy generation in Europe.

- **Timber plantations do not store as much carbon as natural forests.** It would take 40-100 years for a managed forest to store as much carbon as a natural one. Trees planted to produce wood pellets are often cut within 20 years, which is not enough time for them to take in the carbon released by the harvest and combustion of the previous 'generation' of natural forest.
- **Monoculture degrades biodiversity.** Timber plantations, which are typically dominated by a single tree species, cannot support the diversity of life found in natural forests. Also, increasing demand for wood [pellets](#) drives up the price of raw [wood](#), incentivizing the harvest of biologically diverse old-growth forests.
- **Cleared forests are vulnerable to non-forest development.** New trees are not always planted where forests have been cut for fuel. In such cases, the [carbon sequestration](#) potential of the existing [forest](#) is completely eliminated.

More information: "Are wood pellets a green fuel?" *Science* (2018). [science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi ... 1126/science.aat2305](https://science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.aat2305)

Provided by Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies

Citation: Wood pellets: Renewable, but not carbon neutral (2018, March 22) retrieved 20 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-03-wood-pellets-renewable-carbon-neutral.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.