

# Mistake in fisheries statistics shows false increase in catches


February 7 2018, by Valentina Ruiz Leotaud

## Catches by Fishing sector in the Global Ocean

Global ocean | High seas | EEZs

[Download Data](#)

[Feedback](#)



Marine fisheries catches in the global ocean. Credit: Sea Around Us

Countries' improvements to their fisheries statistics have been contributing to the false impression that humanity is getting more and more fish from the ocean when, in reality, global marine catches have been declining on average by around 1.2 million tonnes per year since 1996.

A new study in *Marine Policy* explains why the reconstructed catch [data](#)

of the *Sea Around Us* show declining [fish catches](#), while the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations claims that catches have been more or less 'stable' since the 1990s. The *Sea Around Us* is a research initiative at the University of British Columbia and the University of Western Australia.

The problem - say authors Dirk Zeller and Daniel Pauly- occurs as an inadvertent side effect of well-intentioned efforts by countries to improve their national data monitoring and reporting systems. As they include new information, for example of a previously unmonitored or poorly-monitored fishery, region or fleet, these new data add additional catches to those of already monitored sectors, thus creating the impression of a growing trend.

But such upward tendencies in catches do not match reality in most countries because often national statistical systems do not correct their new numbers retroactively. This incidental by-product of updates in fisheries data collection systems is what Zeller and Pauly call a "presentist bias," which means that the emphasis is on the 'present' at the expense of the 'past.'

"In our paper, we use the example of Mozambique where officials reported that small-scale catches 'grew' by 800 per cent from 2003 to 2004. This is incorrect. What happened was that the small-scale sector was massively under-represented in the reported data for the longest time and when a new reporting scheme was put in place in the early 2000s, improved catch data by the always-present subsistence and artisanal fisheries were added. A very similar amount of fish was caught in previous years, it was just not registered in the reported data," says Zeller, who is the lead author of the study and head of the Sea Around Us – Indian Ocean at the University of Western Australia.

This means that when Mozambique submitted its data to the UN agency,

they were already biased as neither the country's statistical agency nor FAO insisted on undertaking retroactive adjustments. According to the researchers, many countries' statistics have the same issue.



Marine fisheries catches in the global ocean. Credit: Sea Around Us

"The problem is that these data are assembled by FAO and presented as global trends in the widely used *State of the World Fisheries and Aquaculture* report. But by not accounting for the presentist bias over an entire reported data history, FAO is misinterpreting trends," says Pauly, Principal Investigator of the *Sea Around Us* at the University of British Columbia.

Incomplete time series influenced by the presentist bias is what leads FAO to claim that fisheries catches peaked at 86 million tonnes in 1996

and kept growing until stabilizing at around 91 million tonnes per year. *Sea Around Us* data accounting for both reported and unreported catches show, on the other hand, that overfishing allowed for a peak number of 130 million tonnes in 1996 but also led to a sharp plunge in catches, which have decreased to about 110 million tonnes in recent years.

Zeller and Pauly emphasize that countries' efforts to improve the collection of fisheries statistics are highly commendable and should be encouraged. Yet, they stress that retroactive corrections that go as far back in time as any fishing activity existed ought to be made at the same time to avoid disseminating misleading fisheries trends.

The scientists suggest using methods such as the *Sea Around Us*' catch reconstruction approach to fill gaps with best estimates of unreported catches based on harmonized data and information from a wide range of sources.

The paper "The 'presentist bias' in time-series data: implications for fisheries science and policy" was published today in *Marine Policy*.

**More information:** Dirk Zeller et al, The 'presentist bias' in time-series data: Implications for fisheries science and policy, *Marine Policy* (2018). [DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.015)

Provided by Sea Around Us

Citation: Mistake in fisheries statistics shows false increase in catches (2018, February 7) retrieved 9 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-02-fisheries-statistics-false.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is

provided for information purposes only.