

# **Reaching rural America with broadband internet service**

January 17 2018, by Sharon Strover



Telecommunications wires stretch along a rural Kansas road. Credit: Technology & Information Policy Institute, University of Texas, CC BY-ND

All across the U.S., rural communities' residents are being left out of modern society and the 21st century economy. I've traveled to Kansas, Maine, Texas and other states studying internet access and use – and I hear all the time from people with a crucial need still unmet. Rural Americans want faster, cheaper internet like their city-dwelling compatriots have, letting them work remotely and use online services, to



access shopping, news, information and government data.

With an <u>upcoming Federal Communications Commission vote</u> on whether cellphone data speeds are <u>fast enough</u> for work, entertainment and other online activities, Americans face a choice: Is <u>modest-speed</u> <u>internet</u> appropriate for rural areas, or do <u>rural Americans deserve access</u> to the far faster service options available in urban areas?

<u>My work</u>, which most recently studies how people use <u>rural libraries'</u> <u>internet services</u>, asks a fundamental set of questions: How are communities in rural regions actually connected? Why is <u>service often so</u> <u>poor</u>? Why do 39 percent of Americans living in rural areas lack <u>internet</u> access that meets even the FCC's <u>minimum definition of "broadband"</u> <u>service</u>? What policies, beyond <u>President Donald Trump's new executive</u> <u>orders</u>, might help fix those problems? What technologies would work best, and who should be in control of them?

## The wide-ranging rural internet problem

Since the dawn of the internet, rural areas of the U.S. have had less <u>internet access</u> than urban areas. High-speed wired connections are less common, and wireless phone service and signals are weaker than in cities – or absent altogether. Even as rural America's wired-internet speeds and mobile-phone service have improved, the overall problem remains: Cities' services have also gotten better, so the <u>rural communities</u> still have comparatively worse service.

National standards have not helped: As people, businesses and governments need and want to do more online, <u>the FCC-set minimum</u> <u>data-transmission speeds for broadband service</u> has climbed. The current standard – at least <u>25 megabits per second downloading and 3 megabits</u> <u>per second uploading</u> – is deemed "<u>adequate</u>" to stream video and participate in other <u>high-traffic online activities</u>.



But those speeds are not readily available in rural areas. The FCC is actually considering <u>reducing the standard</u>, which critics say may make the <u>rural digital divide</u> disappear on paper, but <u>not in real life</u>.

Rural residents have <u>few choices of internet service providers</u> – or none at all. They <u>pay higher prices</u> for <u>lower quality service</u>, despite <u>earning</u> <u>less</u> than urban dwellers.

#### FCC changes to minimum broadband speeds

Every few years, the Federal Communications Commission reviews its minimum requirements for broadband speed. So far, every revision has increased speed requirements. Speeds in megabits per second (Mbps).



The Conversation, CC-BY-ND Source: Congressional Research Service

A related issue is that fewer rural Americans are online: 39 percent of



<u>rural Americans</u> lack home broadband access – in contrast to only 4 percent of urban Americans. And <u>69 percent of rural Americans</u> use the internet, compared to 75 percent of urban residents. That means less participation in the culture, society, politics and economic activity of the 21st century.

#### **Building a nationwide internet structure**

The basic problem is that high-speed internet has not yet reached huge swathes of rural America. There are two main ways to fix this problem: with wires, and without wires.

Smaller towns in rural areas typically have two options for wired connectivity. About <u>59 percent of all fixed broadband customers</u> use internet provided by the local cable company. <u>Another 29 percent</u> get their internet over phone lines, often called digital subscriber line service, or DSL. However, older systems in rural areas aren't upgraded as often, making them slower than those in metro areas.

<u>A few small rural towns</u> have fiber optic networks that are much faster, but they are exceptions.

One reason rural wired service is less available and less advanced is cost, which relates to population density. In urban communities, a mile-long cable might pass dozens, or even hundreds, of homes and businesses. Rural internet requires longer wires – and often <u>special signal-boosting</u> equipment – with fewer potential customers from whom to recoup the costs. Rural homeowners who complain to me that they can't get DSL, but say the farm down the road can, are probably just a bit too far from the phone company's networking equipment. That's much less common in cities and towns.



#### **Wireless options**

Covering these longer distances may be easier with wireless technologies, including satellite broadband, short-distance radio links and mobile-phone data.



#### The rural digital divide in the US

Nearly all urban residents have access to true high-speed internet (25 Mbps download/3 Mbps upload), while less than two-thirds of rural Americans have the chance to use the internet at speeds like that.

The Conversation, CC-BY-ND Source: Federal Communications Commission

Satellite broadband – where a customer has an antenna that connects with an orbiting satellite linked to a faster internet connection back on Earth – is technically available anywhere in the country. But it is slower, and often more expensive, than wired broadband connections. And its



connections are <u>vulnerable to bad weather</u>.

Radio connections can vary significantly. One type, called "fixed wireless," requires customers to be within sight of a service tower, much like a cellphone. Speeds can be <u>up to 20 megabits per second</u>. Satellite broadband and fixed wireless are used <u>mostly in rural areas</u>, but account for <u>less than 3 percent</u> of the U.S. fixed broadband market.

Other options just being explored involve frequency ranges that are newly available. An approach using "white space" signals would transmit data on channels <u>previously used</u> by <u>analog television</u> broadcasters. Its signals, like TV broadcasts, can <u>travel several miles</u>, and are <u>not blocked</u> <u>by buildings</u>.

Another frequency range around 3.5 GHz, called "Citizens Broadband Radio Service," could let <u>rural internet companies</u> use frequencies previously reserved for coastal radar – even in places far inland. But the FCC may be <u>changing the rules</u> to favor large telecommunications companies instead.

## **Mobile wireless**

The fourth type of wireless internet is already quite widespread – it's on people's smartphones nationwide. Many people have higher-speed connections at home and use mobile data on the go. However, people who don't have access to, or can't afford, other internet service, <u>often use mobile wireless service</u> as their primary internet connection.

U.S. <u>wireless companies' coverage maps</u> can be deceptive. Just because a carrier has a cell tower along an interstate highway does not mean the rest of the surrounding county also has good coverage.

In our group's research trips to Maine in 2016 and 2017, four people had



phones with four different carriers, but there were plenty of places where not a single cell service was working. We have heard tales of small towns that have acceptable signals only in very specific spots – like in the middle of a side street.



Mobile phone data service has different speeds, and is often priced by how much data and how fast it travels – though even plans labeled "unlimited data" may slow down traffic after a customer transmits or receives a certain amount. Many companies promote their fourthgeneration, or 4G, networks for their potential download speeds of around 20 megabits per second. But <u>5 to 12 megabits per second</u> may be far more common, especially in rural regions – making it more comparable to DSL.

Mobile companies built massive networks to serve densely populated



cities, leaving less populous rural markets <u>without comparable</u> <u>improvements</u>. Some hold out hope for the next wireless-data standard, the even faster <u>fifth-generation</u> 5G system – but rural America <u>may not</u> <u>see that service</u> for a while.

#### Bringing high speeds to remote places

In our work, we have found a lot of people on tight budgets figuring out how to use local Wi-Fi connections to download content onto their phones, so they use (and pay for) less mobile data. Public libraries, which generally have fast and free Wi-Fi, are popular options in rural areas. Many rural librarians have told us about people in their parking lots after hours simply using the library Wi-Fi. Those connections aren't always the fastest, but are a testament to the <u>efforts of public libraries</u> over many years to provide their communities' residents with computer and internet services.

The policy debates in Washington provide the U.S. with the opportunity to choose to provide equal access to high-speed internet all across the country, or to relegate rural users to their smartphones, library parking lots and slow home connections. Real high-speed internet could change the lives of rural Americans: The FCC itself has reported that people use fixed broadband differently, and get more benefits from it than mobile data.

Fundamentally, it is a question of values. In the 1930s and '40s, the public sentiment was that the nation would be better off if everyone had reasonably comparable electricity and telephone <u>service</u>. As a result, the federal government established a <u>system of loans and grants</u> to ensure universal access to those key utilities. To help, the FCC set up a system to charge businesses and urban customers slightly higher fees to <u>subsidize the higher costs</u> associated with bringing phone lines to <u>rural areas</u>.



The question facing the FCC and Congress – and really, the U.S. as a whole – is whether we are willing to <u>invest in providing broadband</u> <u>service</u> equitably to <u>both urban and rural Americans</u>. Then we need to make sure it is affordable.

This article was originally published on <u>The Conversation</u>. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Reaching rural America with broadband internet service (2018, January 17) retrieved 6 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2018-01-rural-america-broadband-internet.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.