

Why don't turtles still have tail spikes?

January 17 2018, by Tracey Peake



Two Ankylosaurus exchange blows using their tail clubs. Credit: Jack Mayer Wood (used with permission)

We're all familiar with those awesome armored giants of the Jurassic and Cretaceous periods - *Stegosaurus* and *Ankylosaurus* - and their amazing, weaponized tails. But why aren't similar weaponized tails found in animals living today? In a study covering 300 million years of evolutionary history, researchers from North Carolina State University and the North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences found four



necessary components to tail weapon development: size, armor, herbivory and thoracic stiffness.

"Weapons like <u>tail</u> clubs and bony spikes are found only in a few extinct <u>animals</u> - such as ankylosaurs, glyptodonts (large extinct armadillos) and in some ancient turtle species," says Victoria Arbour, former postdoctoral student at NC State, current postdoctoral fellow at the Royal Ontario Museum and corresponding author of a paper describing the research. "These same weapons just don't occur in modern-day animals, and we wanted to know why they were so rare even in the <u>fossil</u> <u>record</u>."

Study co-author Lindsay Zanno, professor of biological sciences at NC State and head of paleontology at the NC Museum of Natural Sciences, agrees, "We kicked off this study with a simple observation: most animal weapons used for combat are located on the most critical part of the body for survival, the head, as opposed to more expendable ones such as the tail. Why, we asked, wasn't evolution producing more animals with weaponized tails, when this would seem to be far less dangerous?"

To answer this question, Arbour and Zanno looked at a data set of 286 amniote species, both living and extinct, to see if there were patterns that pointed to the evolution of three specific types of tail weapons: bony spikes, a stiff tail or a bony knob at the tip of the tail. Amniotes refer to backboned, four-legged reptiles and mammals, as well as birds.

In the case of bony tail weaponry, the researchers found the animals had four things in common. First, they were usually large, weighing over 200 pounds (or 100 kilograms) - about the weight of the glyptodonts that used to roam South America or a living mountain goat - or were over three feet (a meter) long.

Second, armor was key. Ancient turtles, armadillos and armored



dinosaurs were covered in some sort of hard carapace or bony plated armor. Thoracic stiffness - referring to a body that doesn't bend side to side easily, perhaps so that it could easily counteract the forces needed to swing a large clubbed or spiked tail - was also important. Finally, every animal in the fossil record that developed elaborate tail weaponry was an herbivore, or vegetarian.

"It's rare for large herbivores to have lots of bony armor to begin with," Arbour says, "and even rarer to see armored species with elaborate head or tail ornamentation because of the energy cost to the animal. The evolution of tail weaponry in Ankylosaurus and Stegosaurus required a 'perfect storm' of traits that aren't seen in living animals, and this unique combination explains why tail weaponry is rare even in the fossil record."

Zanno continues, "This study is an elegant example of how the fossil record can be used to better understand the world around us today."

More information: Victoria M. Arbour et al, The evolution of tail weaponization in amniotes, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (2018). DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2017.2299

Provided by North Carolina State University

Citation: Why don't turtles still have tail spikes? (2018, January 17) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2018-01-dont-turtles-tail-spikes.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.