

Comparison of the genomes of two species of coral demonstrates unexpected genetic diversity

January 29 2018



KAUST researchers have sequenced the genome of the robust coral Stylophora pistillata. Comparisons with another coral species demonstrates that the two are far more genetically diverse than anticipated. Credit: Eric Tambutté

The first comparative genome study between two corals reveals significant evolutionary differences. These findings could help scientists



understand the resilience of corals and how they might respond to climate change.

Reef-building corals diverged into two genetically distinct groups, called the robust clade and the complex clade, at least 240 million years ago. Until now, the only complex coral <u>genome</u> available has been for the complex coral Acropora digitifera. An international team, led by Christian Voolstra and Manuel Aranda from KAUST, sequenced the genome of the robust coral Stylophora pistillata and then compared it with the existing Acropora genome.

"Before we began sequencing, we had to develop a method to obtain Stylophora DNA that was not contaminated by DNA from the coral's symbiotic algae," says Aranda. "We removed the symbionts by isolating the coral nuclei before extracting the DNA."

Corals exhibit high levels of genetic variation within the same species. This makes it even more difficult to stitch the genome together from the fragmented pieces of DNA.

"Once you have sequenced your genome, you must pick out and label each individual gene," says Voolstra. "It's like trying to read a book with no spaces between the words!"

Once they had the complete genome, the researchers searched for proteins and genes conserved across the two corals. Genes that matched one-to-one across both species tended to be those that control the basic necessities for staying alive. Where the corals differed, however, was in areas like immunity and specific symbiotic relationships.

"If one coral has one copy of a gene while the other has many, it implies that selective, evolutionary forces have come into play, such as building immunity to a specific pathogen," says Aranda. "On the other hand,



where the corals have selected to live with different <u>symbiotic algae</u> and bacteria, completely different <u>genes</u> and proteins are expanded by each coral."

Their results indicate that Stylophora and Acropora are surprisingly genetically disparate. It remains to be seen whether all corals in one clade follow similar genetic patterns or simply that the team happened to pick out two species that are wildly different. Further clarification will be sought through a larger-scale project called Reef Future Genomics (ReFuGe 2020), which will sequence an additional eight coral genomes by 2020.

"Rather beautifully, with the completion of the Stylophora pistillata genome, we now have the genome for an entire coral holobiont-the <u>coral</u>, the symbiont and its associated bacteria," says Voolstra.

More information: Christian R. Voolstra et al. Comparative analysis of the genomes of Stylophora pistillata and Acropora digitifera provides evidence for extensive differences between species of corals, *Scientific Reports* (2017). DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-17484-x

Provided by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

Citation: Comparison of the genomes of two species of coral demonstrates unexpected genetic diversity (2018, January 29) retrieved 2 May 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2018-01-comparison-genomes-species-coral-unexpected.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.