

Theory of the evolution of sexes tested with algae

November 29 2017, by Robyn Mills



Credit: University of Adelaide

The varied sex lives of a type of green algae have enabled a University of Adelaide researcher to test a theory of why there are males and



females.

The question of why different types of sex cells evolved, such as sperm and eggs (collectively known as <u>gametes</u>), remains uncertain.

"Why should there be <u>males</u> and females? Why would a situation evolve where some individuals produce small gametes that are motile or capable of motion (the males) and others produce large, non-motile gametes (the females)?" says Dr Jack da Silva, Senior Lecturer in the University of Adelaide's School of Biological Sciences.

"Sexual reproduction does not require males and females, all it requires is the fusion of sex cells from two different mating types."

The classic theory to explain the evolution of different size sex cells and therefore different sexes is the Disruptive Selection Theory and was proposed in the 1970s. It describes how a population evolves from different mating types producing same-sized sex cells (or gametes) to mating types producing different-sized gametes, such as where there are distinct males and females.

Dr da Silva has provided the first test specific to this theory – using the reproductive strategies of <u>green algae</u>.

"The theory is that as organisms evolve to be larger, the single-celled embryo (or zygote) is selected to be larger as well. This necessitates larger gametes to store more nutrients to give the embryo a head start in development," says Dr da Silva.

"Organisms have a limited budget for producing gametes. So if there are more they will be smaller, if there are less they will be larger. Because greater numbers of gametes gives one mating type a selective advantage – more chance of successful fertilisation – the other mating type will be



forced to produce larger, and therefore fewer, gametes to enable the production of the larger zygote."

Published in the journal *Ecology and Evolution*, Dr da Silva tested this theory using data from studies of Volvocine algae, in which species vary considerably in their reproductive patterns: some in which two mating types produce gametes of the same size that can move (motile); others that produce motile gametes of different sizes; and others in which one mating type produces small, motile gametes and the other <u>mating</u> type produces large non-motile gametes (males and females).

He derived a prediction from an existing mathematical model of the Disruptive Selection Theory that states that for the evolution of males and females to remain stable, the ratio of the size of the larger gamete to the smaller gamete has to be greater than three. The maths says that if the ratio is any less, they always evolve back to being the same size.

"The algae were perfect for testing this theory: they showed the whole range of variation of gamete size differences. And the theory held. Wherever there were gametes of different sizes, the larger ones were always at least three times bigger.

"This provides the first test that is specific to this theory – previous tests have been about predictions that are common to this and similar theories. To date there hasn't been a lot of strong evidence in support of any of the competing theories, but here we have confirmed that the classic <u>theory</u> is probably on the right track.

Dr da Silva says it's important to understand this evolution because it helps us understand differences between males and females, such as their morphology and behaviour. "These differences between males and <u>females</u> stem from this original evolution of the size and motility of the <u>sex cells</u>," he says.



More information: *Ecology and Evolution* (2017). <u>dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.3656</u>

Provided by University of Adelaide

Citation: Theory of the evolution of sexes tested with algae (2017, November 29) retrieved 17 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2017-11-theory-evolution-sexes-algae.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.