

# Why hot weather records continue to tumble worldwide

October 26 2017, by Andrew King

---



Extreme temperatures in Cordoba, Spain in June 2017. Credit: EPA/SALAS

It sometimes feels like we get a lot of "record-breaking" weather. Whether it's [a heatwave in Europe](#) or the "Angry Summer" in Australia, the past few years have seen temperature records tumble.

This is the case both locally – Sydney had its [hottest year on record in 2016](#) – and globally, with the world's hottest year in 2016 beating the record set only the year before.

Some of 2016's heat was due to the strong El Niño. But much of it can be linked to climate change too.


We're seeing more heat records and fewer cold records. In Australia there have been 12 times as many hot records as cold ones in the first 15 years of this century.

If we were living in a world without climate change, we would expect temperature records to be broken less often as the observational record grows longer. After all, if you only have five previous observations for annual temperatures then a record year isn't too surprising, but after 100 years a new record is more notable.

In contrast, what we are seeing in the real world is more hot temperature records over time, rather than less. So if you think we're seeing more record-breaking weather than we should, you're right.

## **Why it's happening**

In my [new open-access study](#) published in the journal *Earth's Future*, I outline a method for evaluating changes in the rate at which temperature records are being broken. I also use it to quantify the role of the human influence in this change.



Observed and model-simulated numbers of hot and cold global annual temperature records for 1861-2005. Observed numbers of record occurrences are shown as black circles with the model-simulated record numbers under human and natural influences (red box and whiskers) and natural influences only (orange box and whiskers) also shown. The central lines in the boxes represent the median; the boxes represent interquartile range. Author provided

To do it, I used climate models that represent the past and current climate with both human influences (greenhouse gas and aerosol emissions) and natural influences (solar and volcanic effects). I then compared these with models containing natural influences only.

## Lots of hot records, fewer cold ones


Taking the example of global annual temperature records, we see far more record hot years in the models that include the human influences on the climate than in the ones without.

Crucially, only the models that include human influences can recreate the pattern of hot temperature records that were observed in reality over the past century or so.

In contrast, when we look at cold records we don't see the same difference. This is mainly because cold records were more likely to be broken early in the temperature series when there were fewer previous data. The earliest weather data comes from the late 19th century, when there was only a weak human effect on the climate relative to today. This means that there is less difference between my two groups of models.

In the models that include human influences on the climate, we see an increase in the number of global record hot years from the late 20th century onwards, whereas this increase isn't seen in the [model simulations](#) without human [influences](#). Major [volcanic eruptions](#) reduce the likelihood of record hot years globally in both groups of model simulations.

Projecting forward to 2100 under continued high greenhouse gas emissions, we see the chance of new global records continuing to rise, so that one in every two years, on average, would be a record-breaker.



Chance of record hot global annual temperatures in climate models with human and natural influences (red) and natural influences only (orange). Grey curve shows the statistical likelihood of a new hot record each year (100% in the first year, 50% in the second year, 33% in the third year, and so on). Grey vertical bars show the timing of major volcanic eruptions through the late-19th and 20th centuries. Author provided

I also looked at specific events and how much climate change has increased the likelihood of a record being broken.

I used the examples of the record hot years of 2016 globally and 2014 in Central England. Both records were preceded by well over a century of temperature observations, so in a non-changing climate we would expect

the chance of a record-breaking year to be less than 1%.

Instead, I found that the chance of setting a new record was increased by at least a factor of 30 relative to a stationary climate, for each of these records. This increased likelihood of record-breaking can be attributed to the human influence on the climate.

## More records to come?

The fact that we're setting so many new hot records, despite our lengthening observation record, is an indicator of [climate change](#) and it should be a concern to all of us.

The increased rate at which we are getting [record](#) hot temperatures is controlled by the speed of global warming, among other factors. To meet the Paris target of keeping global warming below 2°C we will have to reduce our [greenhouse gas emissions](#) drastically. Besides keeping average global temperatures under control, this would also reduce the chance of temperature records continuing to tumble, both globally and locally.

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Why hot weather records continue to tumble worldwide (2017, October 26) retrieved 19 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2017-10-hot-weather-worldwide.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.