

# Paying people not to cut down their trees could be cost-effective way to reduce carbon emissions, study shows

July 20 2017

---



Innovations for Poverty Action field researcher talking with a Ugandan landowner. Credit: Meg Kearns

A new Northwestern University study suggests that paying people to conserve their trees could be a highly cost-effective way to reduce deforestation and carbon emissions and should be a key part of the global strategy to fight climate change.

The study, led by Seema Jayachandran, associate professor of economics in the Weinberg College of Arts and Sciences at Northwestern, sought to evaluate how effective "Payments for Ecosystems" (PES) is at reducing deforestation. PES is a program in which people are given financial rewards for pro-environment behaviors.

In the study, people who owned forest in 60 villages in western Uganda were given cash rewards if they kept their forest intact and refrained from deforesting it. Forest owners in another 61 villages in western Uganda received no monetary incentives.

"We found that the program had very large impacts on forest cover," said Jayachandran, also a faculty fellow with Northwestern's Institute for Policy Research. "In the villages without the program, 9 percent of the tree cover that was in place at the start of the study was gone by the end of it, two years later. In the villages with the PES program, there was 4 to 5 percent tree loss. In other words, there was still deforestation, but much less of it.

"It wasn't the case that only forest owners who were planning to conserve anyway enrolled," Jayachandran said. "The payments changed people's behavior and prompted them to conserve. And we didn't find any evidence that they simply shifted their tree-cutting elsewhere. This truly was a net increase in forest cover in the study region."

The first of its kind, the study applies the method of field experiments, or randomized controlled trials, to the question of how effective PES is. The study design helped the researchers accurately measure the averted



deforestation caused by the program.

Jayachandran said the cost effectiveness of the program compared to other approaches to reduce [carbon emissions](#), such as subsidies for hybrid or electric vehicles in the U.S., was eye opening.



Land burned to clear trees. Once it has been cleared it can be used for planting crops. Credit: Meg Kearns

"A major contribution of the study was to compare the benefit of reduced deforestation to the cost of the program. What's that extra forest

worth to society? We do that by applying what's called the 'social cost of [carbon](#),'" Jayachandran said.

"This is an estimate that others have come up with for the economic damage to the world from each ton of CO<sub>2</sub> that is emitted. We found that the benefit of the delayed CO<sub>2</sub> emissions was over twice as large as the program costs. For many other environmental policies, the value of the averted CO<sub>2</sub> is in fact smaller than the program costs."

The findings highlight the advantages of focusing on developing countries when working to reduce [global carbon emissions](#). While the benefit of conserving a tree is the same regardless of the location, paying individuals to conserve forests in developing countries like Uganda is less expensive, making it cheaper to reduce overall emissions.

Today, with deforestation accounting for a substantial portion of human-induced carbon emissions, the researchers describe the payment program they studied as "a cost-effective way to avert deforestation in developing countries—and hence a powerful tool to mitigate [climate change](#)."

"Cash for carbon: A randomized trial of payments for ecosystem services to reduce [deforestation](#)" will be published in the journal *Science* on July 21.

**More information:** S. Jayachandran at Northwestern University in Evanston, IL et al., "Cash for carbon: A randomized trial of payments for ecosystem services to reduce deforestation," *Science* (2017). [science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi ... 1126/science.aan0568](https://science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.aan0568)

Provided by Northwestern University

Citation: Paying people not to cut down their trees could be cost-effective way to reduce carbon emissions, study shows (2017, July 20) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2017-07-people-trees-cost-effective-carbon-emissions.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.