

Researchers develop a model that marries ecology and economics to determine how to protect biodiversity

June 28 2017, by Julie Cohen



Credit: University of California - Santa Barbara

Money may not grow on trees, but trees themselves and all that they provide have a dollar value nonetheless.



At least, that's the view of those seeking to quantify the myriad ways humankind benefits from nature's ecosystem services: clean air and water, food, even paper from trees. But it's complicated.

What financial value should be ascribed to, say, plants that improve water quality or wetlands that reduce flooding and property damage from storms? Many ecology and conservation organizations advocate for making such determinations in the interest of land management. Conservation biologists, meanwhile, argue that putting a price tag on nature could weaken the protection of threatened species that have a lower dollar value.

Therein lies the core issue in the debate: To what degree will biodiversity be protected by managing for ecosystem services?

To address this question, a team of UC Santa Barbara researchers has developed a new modeling framework that blends a novel mix of ecology and economics. Their findings appear in the journal *Ecology Letters*.

"We sought to assess the likely consequences of growing efforts to manage for the economic benefits of ecosystems rather than protecting species for their intrinsic value," explained co-author Steve Gaines, dean of UCSB's Bren School of Environmental Science & Management.

Because nature is so complex, scientists rarely know the roles all species play in providing benefits to people. This uncertainty is magnified by the fact that the environment is changing. Not all species contribute to ecosystem services, yet critical ones could be lost without conservation. And scientists don't know for sure which species are critical.

Still, conservation decisions for ecosystem services must be made today, and, as lead author Laura Dee noted, they incur financial costs. "The



framework we developed balances the currents costs of protecting species with the future risk of losing ecosystem services," said Dee, who earned her Ph.D. at UCSB and is now an assistant professor in the University of Minnesota's Department of Fisheries, Wildlife and Conservation Biology. "In this way, we can determine the optimal number of species to protect."

Co-author Christopher Costello, a professor at UCSB's Bren School, said: "We found that it is always optimal to protect more species than are considered 'economically critical.' You can think of this as insurance: If you lose a species that is critical to providing an ecosystem <u>service</u>, then the losses can be substantial and irreversible."

The team's framework generates simple criteria for determining how much the value of the service must exceed the costs of management to financially justify protecting all species. This defines the settings whereby protecting all species is the economically optimal choice. The group examined this criterion for six different services and ecosystems, ranging from the pollination of watermelon to carbon storage along coastlines or in tropical dry forests.

In some cases, protecting all species in an ecosystem is financially motivated. In others, management solely for financial benefits may leave many species at risk.

"Our results define when managing for <u>ecosystem services</u> alone could leave significant biodiversity unprotected," Dee explained. "The analysis also helps identify when additional policies such as endangered <u>species</u> regulation will be needed to avoid biodiversity losses."

More information: Laura E. Dee et al. To what extent can ecosystem services motivate protecting biodiversity?, *Ecology Letters* (2017). <u>DOI:</u> 10.1111/ele.12790



Provided by University of California - Santa Barbara

Citation: Researchers develop a model that marries ecology and economics to determine how to protect biodiversity (2017, June 28) retrieved 19 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2017-06-ecology-economics-biodiversity.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.