

Want to help animals? Don't forget the chickens

June 9 2017, by Garrett M. Broad



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Summertime is "kitten season" – unspayed female cats go into heat and give birth to more adorable kittens than animal shelters can give away.

That's why local humane societies encourage prospective pet owners to bring cats into their homes in June, also known as <u>Adopt-a-Cat Month</u>. <u>Animal protection organizations</u> like the American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals also step up their appeals to the public for donations to fund widespread spaying and neutering that will help



bring the companion animal population under control.

But a growing number of animal advocates insist that these well-intended, altruistic efforts should <u>change course</u>. They're using mathematical reasoning to deliver a sharp message to mainstream animal charities and their donors: The money you spend to help cats, dogs and other human companions could be used more effectively to improve the lot of chickens, pigs and other <u>animals</u> raised in farms for food.

As a vegan who has long been troubled by society's hypocritical treatment of different kinds of animals, I find that this argument makes sense. And as a researcher who studies nonprofit animal advocacy and food justice, I believe this demand for what supporters call "effective animal advocacy" has a chance to reshape the animal protection movement.

A big business

When it comes to making life good for our beloved companion animals, our generosity knows few bounds. Americans spend nearly US\$70 billion annually on feeding, grooming and boarding our pets, as well as paying for their medical care and adoption fees.

But sadly, we also <u>euthanize more than 1.5 million shelter dogs and cats</u> every year because the supply of companion animals outpaces demand. For a nation of unabashed animal lovers, that's a sad and shocking statistic.

That contradiction may explain why so many Americans give generously to charities that promote <u>animal welfare</u>. Charity Navigator lists more than <u>300 of these nonprofit groups</u>. According to my calculations, they collectively raise close to \$1.9 billion a year.



But peruse that list of major animal welfare nonprofits and one thing becomes clear – the primary beneficiaries of their compassion are companion animals. Less than 1 percent of all the money donated to animal charities supports groups that exclusively advocate for farmed animals.



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

This charitable giving reflects a cultural bias that insists <u>some animals</u> <u>are more important than others</u>. An increasingly vocal set of animal advocates are using science and math to prove this bias wrong.

Why cats but not chickens?



The number of cats and dogs euthanized every year pales in comparison to the <u>more than nine billion farmed animals killed</u> for food Americans eat or export, as well as the more than 46 billion fish and shellfish killed worldwide and destined for U.S consumption.

At the same time, scientific research shows that animals such as chickens, pigs, cows and even fish are as smart and self-aware as dogs and cats. They all seem able to build emotional relationships and think in complex ways. Yet they continue to suffer greatly in a system that breeds them to be unnaturally large, excuses cruel treatment as standard practice, generates a lot of pollution and is deemed by scientists to be environmentally unsustainable.

Animal Charity Evaluators is a nonprofit that tries to help people who donate to animal welfare causes identify groups that will make the best use of their gifts. It argues that donations that impact the lives of farmed animals are more effective than donations to places like animal shelters. It's simple math – farmed animal welfare is a much bigger problem and there are cost-effective ways to make a difference. It calls for changes – like moving chickens out of battery cages and pigs out of crates – and advocates for dietary shifts that will lead to far fewer animals being killed for food. Persuading large numbers of people to eat less meat can impact thousands of animals at a time, whereas every kitten adoption only helps one feline.

Helping animals effectively

The effective animal advocacy movement sees companion and farmed animals as having equal moral value. They are inspired by the <u>ethical</u> <u>arguments of Peter Singer</u> that tactics which reduce animal suffering the most – no matter the species – do the most good.

In 2016, Animal Charity Evaluators recommended a dozen charities –



Institute. Most of these groups aim to improve farmed animal welfare in various ways, such as investigating conditions at factory farms, reaching out to corporations, promoting plant-based diets and researching so-called "clean meats" grown in labs. Each makes a case that their work is particularly effective at saving animals' lives, now and into the future.

Donors gave more than \$3.5 million to these charities in 2016 based on its recommendations, the group says.

U.S. donations to animal welfare charities

More than 99 percent of the animals used and killed by humans in the U.S. are farmed. But by far most donations to animal welfare charities support causes that help companion animals. "Other" mainly includes clothing, entertainment, service animals and animal abuse. Charities in this category may do some farmed animal advocacy.

	Percent of all animals used and killed by humans	Percent of total donations to animal charities
Farmed	99.6%	0.8%
Laboratories	0.2%	1%
Companion animal shelters	0.03%	66%
Other	0.17%	32.5%

The Conversation, CC-BY-ND

The Open Philanthropy Project – an "effective altruism" foundation funded by Facebook co-founder Dustin Moskovitz and his wife Cari Tuna – is a new and major player in this movement. Since early 2016, it has awarded nearly \$20 million in grants aimed at improving farmed animal welfare in the U.S. and in the developing world.



Some leading animal advocates question the wisdom of this approach, including <u>Ingrid Newkirk</u>, president of People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals. With a <u>\$67 million annual budget</u>, PETA is one of the largest animal-focused charities. It seeks to help both farmed and <u>companion animals</u>. Newkirk has criticized "<u>effective animal advocates</u>" for "reducing animals to numbers" and for failing to see how different animal protection efforts reinforce each other.

In addition, some researchers <u>question the rigor</u> of effective animal advocacy research. Other activists accuse groups like Open Philanthropy Project of <u>favoring organizations</u> with which they are personally connected. <u>Responding to these critiques</u>, effective animal advocacy leaders have admitted to some flaws in their previous studies.

The future of animal charity

Despite these critiques, I've watched the movement kickstart a conversation that's encouraging groups like <u>the Humane League to research</u> which tactics improve animals' lives the most and <u>why people go vegetarian or vegan</u>.

The movement has also helped channel more energy and charitable dollars toward one of the most important and neglected issues of our time – the plight of farmed animals.

Now, I love cats and dogs as much as anybody, and I don't want anyone to feel guilty about donating to their local animal shelter. But it's clear to me that animal lovers should care about all animals equally. Since farmed animals suffer most and the issue has long been neglected, there's a greater need to support that cause.

At least that's what the math seems to suggest.



Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Want to help animals? Don't forget the chickens (2017, June 9) retrieved 28 June 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2017-06-animals-dont-chickens.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.