

New measurements suggest 'antineutrino anomaly' fueled by modeling error

April 5 2017



Credit: Brookhaven National Laboratory

Results from a new scientific study may shed light on a mismatch between predictions and recent measurements of ghostly particles streaming from nuclear reactors—the so-called "reactor antineutrino anomaly," which has puzzled physicists since 2011.

The anomaly refers to the fact that scientists tracking the production of antineutrinos—emitted as a byproduct of the nuclear reactions that

generate electric power—have routinely detected fewer antineutrinos than they expected. One theory is that some neutrinos are morphing into an undetectable form known as "sterile" neutrinos.

But the latest results from the Daya Bay [reactor](#) neutrino experiment, located at a nuclear power complex in China, suggest a simpler explanation—a miscalculation in the predicted rate of [antineutrino](#) production for one particular component of nuclear reactor fuel.

Antineutrinos carry away about 5 percent of the energy released as the uranium and plutonium atoms that fuel the reactor split, or "fission." The composition of the fuel changes as the reactor operates, with the decays of different forms of uranium and plutonium (called "isotopes") producing different numbers of antineutrinos with different energy ranges over time, even as the reactor steadily produces electrical power.

The new results from Daya Bay—where scientists have measured more than 2 million antineutrinos produced by six reactors during almost four years of operation—have led scientists to reconsider how the composition of the fuel changes over time and how many neutrinos come from each of the decay chains.

The scientists found that antineutrinos produced by [nuclear reactions](#) that result from the fission of uranium-235, a fissile isotope of uranium common in nuclear fuel, were inconsistent with predictions. A popular model for uranium-235 predicts about 8 percent more antineutrinos coming from decays of uranium-235 than what was actually measured.

In contrast, the number of antineutrinos from plutonium-239, the second most common fuel ingredient, was found to agree with predictions, although this measurement is less precise than that for uranium-235.

If sterile neutrinos—theoretical particles that are a possible source of the

universe's vast unseen or "dark" matter—were the source of the anomaly, then the experimenters would observe an equal depletion in the number of antineutrinos for each of the fuel ingredients, but the experimental results disfavor this hypothesis.

The latest analysis suggests that a miscalculation of the rate of antineutrinos produced by the fission of uranium-235 over time, rather than the presence of [sterile neutrinos](#), may be the explanation for the anomaly. These results can be confirmed by new experiments that will measure antineutrinos from reactors fueled almost entirely by uranium-235.

The work could help scientists at Daya Bay and similar experiments understand the fluctuating rates and energies of those antineutrinos produced by specific ingredients in the nuclear fission process throughout the [nuclear fuel cycle](#). An improved understanding of the [fuel](#) evolution inside a nuclear reactor may also be helpful for other nuclear science applications.

More information: Evolution of the Reactor Antineutrino Flux and Spectrum at Daya Bay, arXiv:1704.01082 [physics.ins-det]
arxiv.org/abs/1704.01082

Provided by Brookhaven National Laboratory

Citation: New measurements suggest 'antineutrino anomaly' fueled by modeling error (2017, April 5) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2017-04-antineutrino-anomaly-fueled-error.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--