

Invasive and native marsh grasses may provide similar benefits to protected wetlands

February 27 2017, by Tracey Peake



From left to right, Katelyn Theuerkauf (NC State), Samantha Godwin (NC Coastal Reserve) and Brandon Puckett (NC Coastal Reserve) deploy stakes to monitor marsh erosion at Currituck Banks Reserve. Credit: Seth Theuerkauf

An invasive species of marsh grass that spreads, kudzu-like, throughout North American wetlands, may provide similar benefits to protected wetlands as native marsh grasses. According to new research from North Carolina State University, the invasive marsh grass's effects on carbon



storage, erosion prevention and plant diversity in protected wetlands are neutral. The findings could impact management strategies aimed at eradicating the invasive grass.

Phragmites australis, known as the common reed, is an invasive marsh grass that can spread at rates up to 15 feet per year. It thrives throughout North American wetlands, and studies have demonstrated that its densely packed growth pattern chokes out native marsh plants, thereby reducing plant diversity and habitat used by some threatened and endangered birds.

However, other studies have shown that *Phragmites* may help reduce shoreline erosion in marshlands and store carbon at faster rates than <u>native grasses</u>.

Since managing the threat is costly - in 2013, efforts to eradicate *Phragmites* cost about \$4.5 million - Seth Theuerkauf, a Ph.D. candidate in marine, earth and atmospheric sciences at NC State, decided to look at how relative abundance of the marsh grass affected the <u>ecosystem services</u> that humans value from marshes, such as their ability to stabilize shorelines.

Theuerkauf and his colleagues looked at impacts of *Phragmites* on marshes in two protected reserves within the northeastern portion of the North Carolina Coastal Reserve system. In particular, they wanted to compare ecosystem services - <u>plant diversity</u>, shoreline stabilization and carbon storage - between marshes with varying amounts of *Phragmites*: those with only native grasses, those with a mix of grasses and those with only *Phragmites*.

The findings were encouraging. The team found no significant differences between ecosystem services of the marshes they studied, indicating that *Phragmites*' effect was largely neutral. However,



Theuerkauf points out that the neutral effect could be due to the protected status of the wetlands they studied and the specific ecosystem services evaluated.

"Studies that associate *Phragmites* with negative impacts on wetlands are often conducted in areas that have seen significant human interventions, such as shoreline development or construction of drainage canals, whereas our study was conducted in undisturbed marsh habitat within a protected reserve system," Theuerkauf says.

"Our findings highlight the importance of maintaining protected reserves, as they may provide a strong defense against the negative impacts of <u>invasive species</u> and could reduce the time and money spent on trying to eradicate these species," adds Theuerkauf. "Additionally, our results suggest that *Phragmites* management efforts should also take ecosystem services into account."

More information: Seth J. Theuerkauf et al, Density-dependent role of an invasive marsh grass, Phragmites australis, on ecosystem service provision, *PLOS ONE* (2017). <u>DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173007</u>

Provided by North Carolina State University

Citation: Invasive and native marsh grasses may provide similar benefits to protected wetlands (2017, February 27) retrieved 19 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2017-02-invasive-native-marsh-grasses-similar.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.