

## We've been wrong about the origins of life for 90 years

August 16 2016, by Arunas L Radzvilavicius



Credit: NOAA Photo Library/Flickr, CC BY-SA

For nearly nine decades, science's favorite explanation for the origin of life has been the "primordial soup". This is the idea that life began from a series of chemical reactions in a warm pond on Earth's surface, triggered by an external energy source such as lightning strike or ultraviolet (UV) light. But recent research adds weight to an alternative idea, that life arose deep in the ocean within warm, rocky structures called hydrothermal vents.



A study published last month in Nature Microbiology suggests the last common ancestor of all living <u>cells</u> fed on hydrogen gas in a hot ironrich environment, much like that within the vents. Advocates of the conventional theory <u>have been sceptical</u> that these findings should change our view of the origins of life. But the hydrothermal vent hypothesis, which is often described as <u>exotic and controversial</u>, explains how <u>living cells</u> evolved the ability to obtain <u>energy</u>, in a way that just wouldn't have been possible in a primordial soup.

Under the <u>conventional theory</u>, life supposedly began when lightning or UV rays caused simple molecules to join together into more complex compounds. This culminated in the creation of information-storing molecules similar to our own DNA, housed within the protective bubbles of <u>primitive cells</u>. Laboratory experiments confirm that trace amounts of molecular building blocks that make up <u>proteins</u> and <u>information-storing</u> <u>molecules</u> can indeed be created under these conditions. For many, the primordial soup has become the most plausible environment for the origin of first living cells.

But life isn't just about replicating information stored within DNA. All living things have to reproduce in order to survive, but replicating the DNA, assembling new proteins and building cells from scratch require tremendous amounts of energy. At the core of life are the mechanisms of obtaining energy from the environment, storing and continuously channelling it into cells' key <u>metabolic reactions</u>.

Where this energy comes from and how it gets there can tell us a whole lot about the universal principles governing life's evolution and origin. Recent studies <u>increasingly suggest</u> that the primordial soup was not the right kind of environment to drive the energetics of the first living cells.

It's classic textbook knowledge that all life on Earth is powered by energy supplied by the sun and captured by plants, or extracted from



simple compounds such as hydrogen or methane. Far less known is the fact that all life harnesses this energy in the same and quite peculiar way.



Did life evolve around deep-sea hydrothermal vents? Credit: U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration/Wikimedia Commons

This process works a bit <u>like a hydroelectric dam</u>. Instead of directly powering their core metabolic reactions, cells use energy from food to pump protons (positively charged hydrogen atoms) into a reservoir behind a biological membrane. This creates what is known as a "concentration gradient" with a higher concentration of protons on one



side of the membrane than other. The protons then flow back through molecular turbines embedded within the membrane, like water flowing through a dam. This generates high-energy compounds that are then used to power the rest of cell's activities.

Life could have evolved to exploit any of the countless energy sources available on Earth, from heat or electrical discharges to naturally radioactive ores. Instead, all life forms are driven by proton concentration differences across cells' membranes. This suggests that the earliest living cells harvested energy in a similar way and that life itself arose in an environment in which proton gradients were <u>the most</u> <u>accessible power source</u>.

## Vent hypothesis

Recent studies based on sets of genes that were likely to have been present within the first living cells trace the origin of life back to <u>deep-</u> <u>sea hydrothermal vents</u>. These are porous geological structures produced by chemical reactions between solid rock and water. Alkaline fluids from the Earth's crust flow up the vent towards the more acidic ocean water, creating natural proton concentration differences remarkably similar to those powering all living cells.

The studies suggest that in the earliest stages of life's evolution, chemical reactions in primitive cells were likely driven by these non-biological proton gradients. Cells then later learned how to produce their own gradients and escaped the vents to colonise the rest of the ocean and eventually the planet.

While proponents of the primordial soup theory argue that electrostatic discharges or the Sun's <u>ultraviolet radiation drove</u> life's first <u>chemical</u> <u>reactions</u>, modern life is not powered by any of these volatile energy sources. Instead, at the core of life's energy production are ion gradients



across biological membranes. Nothing even remotely similar could have emerged within the warm ponds of primeval broth on Earth's surface. In these environments, chemical compounds and charged particles tend to get evenly diluted instead of forming gradients or non-equilibrium states that are so <u>central to life</u>.

Deep-sea hydrothermal vents represent the only known environment that could have created complex organic molecules with the same kind of energy-harnessing machinery as modern cells. Seeking the origins of life in the primordial soup made sense when little was known about the universal principles of life's energetics. But as our knowledge expands, it is time to embrace alternative hypotheses that recognise the importance of the energy flux driving the first biochemical reactions. These theories seamlessly bridge the gap between the energetics of living cells and nonliving molecules.

**More information:** Madeline C. Weiss et al. The physiology and habitat of the last universal common ancestor, *Nature Microbiology* (2016). DOI: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.116

*This article was originally published on* <u>The Conversation</u>. *Read the* <u>original article</u>.

Source: The Conversation

Citation: We've been wrong about the origins of life for 90 years (2016, August 16) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2016-08-weve-wrong-life-years.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.